	1				
Before	the		TABLE OF CONTENTS		
COPYRIGHT ROYA		WITN		EDIRECT R	ECRO
Washington	n, D.C.	I .	SAUNDERS s. Plovnick 7,15,47 161,	191	
			r. Boydston 91	189	
	-		r Dire on page 9,45		
IN THE MATTER OF:	:	İ			
	:Docket No.	I .	ER KOWALSKI		
Phase II Distribution of the 2004-2009	:2012-6		r. MacLean 208 26 r. Boydston 241	59 272	
Cable Royalty Funds	: CRB CD	By Mi	r. Boydston 241	2/2	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:2004-09	RAUL	GALAZ		
	:(Phase II)	Ву Мо	r. Olaniran 283		
	- <u>†</u>	Ву Мэ	r. MacLean 315		
IN THE MATTER OF:	:	PVUTI	BIT NO. DESCRIPTION	TD	RE
	:Docket No.	IPG	SII NO. BEBCKIFIION		
Phase II Distribution	:2012-7	53	Email Referencing Attachment		2
of the 1999-2009	: :CRB SD	122	-		
Satellite Royalty Funds	:1999-2009		of IPG		
	: (Phase II)	125	Copyright Office Public Records		1.
	_‡	127	Excerpt of MPAA Production		1
_					
Volume 4		MPAA			
Thursday,		1			
December 11, 201	14	306	Distribution of 1993-97		:
Room LM-403		337	Cable Royalty Funds MPAA Certification,		
Madison Building		] ""	Allied Communications		:
Library of Congr 101 Independence		339			
Washington, DC			including King World		:
The above-entit	led matter came on for	340			:
hearing, pursuant to notice,	at 9:15 a.m.	342	Compact Collections MPAA Certification, Fox		
BEEODE. THE HOMODARY P. C.	JZANNE M. BARNETT	343	MPAA Certification, FOX		
BEFORE: THE HONORABLE SU THE HONORABLE JE		344			
	AVID R. STRICKLER	1	PGA Tour		:
Copyright Royalt		345	MPAA Certification,		
	2				
	2				
ADDENDANCES			Transworld Int		
Appearances:		346	Transworld Int.		:
	wide Subsidy Group,	346	Correspondence with		:
APPEARANCES:  On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers		346			
On Behalf of the World	Group:		Correspondence with Fintage	 	
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston,	Group: ESQ. LLP		Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS		
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte A	Group: ESQ. LLP wenue	347	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS		
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte A Los Angeles, CA	Group: ESQ. LLP wenue	347	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from		
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte A	Group: ESQ. LLP wenue	347 348 349	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA		
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996	Group: ESQ. LLP Evenue 90024	347 348	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with		6
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte A Los Angeles, CA	Group: ESQ. LLP Evenue 90024	347 348 349 359	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB		6
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settl	Group: ESQ. LLP ivenue 90024 .ing Devotional	347 348 349	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with		6
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING	Group: ESQ. LLP vvenue 90024 .ing Devotional EAN, ESQ. ETON, ESQ.	347 348 349 359 361	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB		6
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNG	Group: ESQ. LLP LVenue 90024 Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. ETON, ESQ. EH, ESQ.	347 348 349 359	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB		6
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte F Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNG Pillsbury Winth	Group: ESQ. LLP ESQ. LLP Sivenue 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. ETON, ESQ. CH, ESQ. COP Shaw Pittman	347 348 349 359 361 SDC	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery		6
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers	Group: ESQ. LLP ESQ. LLP Sivenue 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. FTON, ESQ. HTON, ESQ. TON Shaw Pittman W	347 348 349 359 361 SDC	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery		6
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNC Pillsbury Winthm 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2	Group: ESQ. LLP ESQ. LLP Sivenue 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. FTON, ESQ. HTON, ESQ. TON Shaw Pittman W	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery		: : : :30
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte F Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNC Pillsbury Winth 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2 (202) 663-8000	Group: ESQ. LLP LVenue 90024 Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. ETON, ESQ. CH, ESQ. COP Shaw Pittman W 10037-1122	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement		
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNC Pillsbury Winthm 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2	Group: ESQ. LLP EVENUE 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. ETON, ESQ. COP Shaw Pittman EM 10037-1122 ER, ESQ.	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from COMPACT and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter	      	3 3 2 2 2 2 2
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNO Pillsbury Winth 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKE	Group: ESQ. LLP ESQ. LLP Sivenue 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. STON, ESQ. H, ESQ. Opp Shaw Pittman W 10037-1122 ER, ESQ. ESQ.	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Cover Letter		23 23 22 23
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte F Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNC Pillsbury Winth 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKE BEN STERNBERG, E Lutzker & Lutzke 1233 20th Street	Group: ESQ. LLP svenue 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. FTON, ESQ. CH, LLP	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Cover Letter Fax Cover		23 22 22 22 22
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNO Pillsbury Winth 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKE BEN STERNBERG, F Lutzker & Lutzke 1233 20th Street Suite 703	Group: ESQ. LLP ESQ. LLP Sivenue 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. EATON, ESQ. EATON, ESQ. EATON STAN EATON ESQ. EATON STAN EATON EAT	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602C	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Cover Letter Fax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston		23 22 22 22 22 22
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers	Group: ESQ. LLP ESQ. LLP Sivenue 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. EATON, ESQ. EATON, ESQ. EATON STAN EATON ESQ. EATON STAN EATON EAT	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602G 602H	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Cover Letter Fax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston Request by Mr. Hammerman Letter from Worldwide Subsidy Group		23 22 22 22 23 23 23 23
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNO Pillsbury Winth 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKE BEN STERNBERG, F Lutzker & Lutzke 1233 20th Street Suite 703	Group: ESQ. LLP ESQ. LLP Sivenue 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. EATON, ESQ. EATON, ESQ. EATON STAN EATON ESQ. EATON STAN EATON EAT	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602G 602H	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Cover Letter Fax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston Request by Mr. Hammerman Letter from Worldwide Subsidy Group Letter from Mr. Hammerman		22 22 22 22 23
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte F Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNC Fillsbury Winth 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKE BEN STERNBERG, E Lutzker & Lutzke 1233 20th Street Suite 703 Washington, DC 2 (202) 408-7600	Group: ESQ. LLP EVENUE 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. FTON, ESQ. H, ESQ. Op Shaw Pittman W 10037-1122 ER, ESQ. ESQ. ESQ. ESQ. ESQ. ESQ. ESQ. ESQ.	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602G 602H	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Cover Letter Fax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston Request by Mr. Hammerman Letter from Worldwide Subsidy Group Letter from Mr. Hammerman Notification Regarding		23 23 22 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte F Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNC Pillsbury Winth 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKE BEN STERNBERG, F Lutzker & Lutzke 1233 20th Street Suite 703 Washington, DC 2 (202) 408-7600  On Behalf of the Motice	Group: ESQ. LLP EVENUE 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. FTON, ESQ. H, ESQ. Op Shaw Pittman W 10037-1122 ER, ESQ. ESQ. ESQ. ESQ. ESQ. ESQ. ESQ. ESQ.	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602G 602H 602I 602J	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Cover Letter Pax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston Request by Mr. Hammerman Letter from Worldwide Subsidy Group Letter from Mr. Hammerman Notification Regarding Money Owed		23 22 22 22 23 23 23 23
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNC Pillsbury Winthn 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKE BEN STERNBERG, E Lutzker & Lutzke 1233 20th Street Suite 703 Washington, DC 2 (202) 408-7600  On Behalf of the Motic of America:	Group: ESQ. LLP INVENUE 90024  Ling Devotional GAN, ESQ. STON, ESQ. SH, ESQ. OP Shaw Pittman W 10037-1122 GR, ESQ. SSQ. GR, LLP GR, NW 10036  OR Picture Association	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602G 602H 602I 602J	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from COMPACT and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Fax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston Request by Mr. Hammerman Letter from Worldwide Subsidy Group Letter from Mr. Hammerman Notification Regarding Money Owed Claim Form From All		23 22 22 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte F Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNC Pillsbury Winth 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKE BEN STERNBERG, F Lutzker & Lutzke 1233 20th Street Suite 703 Washington, DC 2 (202) 408-7600  On Behalf of the Motice	Group: ESQ. LLP EVENUE 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. ETON, ESQ. CH, ESQ.	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602G 602H 602I 602J	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from Compact and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Cover Letter Pax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston Request by Mr. Hammerman Letter from Worldwide Subsidy Group Letter from Mr. Hammerman Notification Regarding Money Owed		23 23 22 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers	Group: ESQ. LLP INFORMATION LING Devotional GAN, ESQ. FION, ESQ. F	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602G 602H 602I 602J	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from COMPACT and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Fax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston Request by Mr. Hammerman Letter from Worldwide Subsidy Group Letter from Mr. Hammerman Notification Regarding Money Owed Claim Form From All		23 22 22 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers	Group: ESQ. LLP INVENUE 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. STON, ESQ	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602G 602H 602I 602J	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from COMPACT and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Fax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston Request by Mr. Hammerman Letter from Worldwide Subsidy Group Letter from Mr. Hammerman Notification Regarding Money Owed Claim Form From All		23 22 22 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers  BRIAN BOYDSTON, Pick & Boydston, 10786 Le Conte P Los Angeles, CA (213) 624-1996  On Behalf of the Settl Claimants:  MATTHEW J. MacLE CLIFFORD HARRING VICTORIA N. LYNC Pillsbury Winthr 2300 N Street, N Washington, DC 2 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKE BEN STERNBERG, E Lutzker & Lutzke 1233 20th Street Suite 703 Washington, DC 2 (202) 408-7600  On Behalf of the Motic of America:  GREGORY O. OLANI LUCY HOLMES PLOV WHITNEY NONNETTE Mitchell Silbert 1818 N Street N.	Group: ESQ. LLP INVENUE 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. STON, ESQ	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602G 602H 602I 602J	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from COMPACT and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Fax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston Request by Mr. Hammerman Letter from Worldwide Subsidy Group Letter from Mr. Hammerman Notification Regarding Money Owed Claim Form From All		23 22 22 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2
On Behalf of the World d/b/a Independent Producers	Group: ESQ. LLP ESQ. LLP EVENUE 90024  Ling Devotional EAN, ESQ. ETON, ESQ. H, ESQ. OP Shaw Pittman W 10037-1122  ER, ESQ. ESQ. EVENUE	347 348 349 359 361 SDC 602A 602B 602C 602D 602E 602G 602H 602I 602J	Correspondence with Fintage Correspondence from Screenrights/AVCS Correspondence from CBS to MPAA Correspondence from COMPACT and IFTA Fintage claims with the CRB Document from Discovery  Letter Transmitting Mandate Agreement Cover Letter Fax Cover Letter to Mr. Boydston Request by Mr. Hammerman Letter from Worldwide Subsidy Group Letter from Mr. Hammerman Notification Regarding Money Owed Claim Form From All		23 22 22 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2

5 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S THE WITNESS: This time the purpose of (9:17 a.m.) my testimony is to address some of the issues JUDGE BARRETT: Good morning. Please raised in IPG's rebuttal as well as various of the parts of Mr. Galaz's testimony that have been be seated. We were going to take Ms. Saunders first thing this morning. Is that correct, given so far in this proceeding. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PLOVNICK: MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, if I may 8 Now Ms. Saunders, yesterday you very quickly, a housekeeping issue. Do you 9 testified about MPAA Exhibit 341 which was recall, not yesterday but the day before, we had 10 admitted, and that contains copies of some MPAA's sort of introduced Exhibit 122 but we had to make 11 certifications for Fintage. the copies of it. We did, but again I handed You also identified MPAA Exhibits 337 12 12 and 339 through 340, and 342 through 345 as MPAA 13 those around at the beginning of yesterday. I 1.3 certifications for some of its claimants. forgot to move that they be admitted into 14 14 evidence. A That's correct. 15 15 Can you take a look at those Again, they were documents that were 16 16 0 documents? They've been premarked. 17 produced in discovery and parties had them in 17 discovery beforehand, but we hadn't moved them Yes. I'm sorry. You say 341? 18 18 19 into evidence. 341, which is already admitted. 20 JUDGE BARRETT: I was intending that Right. counsel have overnight to look at them, but any 21 And then MPAA Exhibits 337, 339 through 340. MS. PLOVNICK: We don't have an Hang on. Hang on. Sorry. 339 and objection. 340, yes. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. Your 0 And 342 through 345. Yes. Got it. Yes. Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: Okav. IPG Exhibit 122 I believe you testified yesterday that 0 is admitted. these are MPAA certifications? (Whereupon, the above-referred to Α Yes, they are. document was received into evidence as Are these all copies of MPAA's IPG Exhibit No. 122.) business records? MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 10 They are. 11 MS. PLOVNICK: MPAA calls Jane And were all of these documents 12 Saunders to the stand. 12 produced in discovery to IPG? 13 JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Saunders, you 13 14 remain under oath. 14 MS. PLOVNICK: So Your Honor, I move 15 MS. PLOVNICK: Good morning, Ms. to admit MPAA Exhibits 337, 339 through 340, and 16 Saunders. For the record, I'm Lucy Plovnick, 16 342 through 345. 17 counsel for MPAA. 17 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I voir 18 Ms. Saunders, this is the second time 18 dire the witness? you've been called to the stand, or I guess the 19 JUDGE BARRETT: You may. 19 third time you've been called to the stand in MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 20 this proceeding. What's the purpose of your 21 21 Ms. Saunders. let's look at one of testimony this time? these, I think the first in line, if I'm correct,

Ms. Plovnick, is 337. Is that right? MS. PLOVNICK: That's right.

- MR. BOYDSTON: And the questions I'm
- asking apply to all of them, but I want to look
- at one just so that we're all on the same page.
- MS. PLOVNICK: Sure.
- MR. BOYDSTON: Now my understanding in
- looking at 337, if you could turn to the second
- and third pages which list the programs.
- JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry. These are 10
- 11 marked as restricted.
- 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, thank you, Your
- 13 Honor.
- 14 JUDGE BARRETT: For those of you in
- the courtroom who have not signed the 15
- confidentiality agreement, if you could wait out 16
- 17 in the anteroom please so we can conclude this
- 18 portion of the testimony.
- 19 MR. BOYDSTON: May I continue?
- 20 JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
- VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
- MR. BOYDSTON: Ms. Saunders, so these

- and the others were created, right?
- That is my understanding, yes.
- So these were not -- and now were
- these used when you created the appendices to
- your declaration in May or around May of this
- Α Remind me please what my declaration
- in May of this year was.
- That your declaration, and I thought
- it was May. If I'm wrong, I apologize. You have 10
- 11 a declaration which you attached Appendices A and
- 12
- 1.3 Oh, my testimony. My testimony.
- 14 Yes, your testimony.
- I'm so sorry. Yes, I believe that, 15
- well, the certification reports, as I have said 16
- or testified prior, are the basis for Exhibits A 17
- 18 and B that are appended to my testimony in both
- the cable and the satellite proceedings. 19
- And when you say they're the basis for 20
- it, is what you're saying is that they were used
- to create those documents?

- lists, I think you testified yesterday very
- clearly, these came from Mr. Whitt, correct?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- BY MR. BOYDSTON:
- I guess, technically speaking, well,
- no, I guess all the ones that we're concerned
- with here would have been Mr. Whitt since he was
- doing these through 2009 and maybe a little
- 10 Actually it would have been the
- 11 accounting firm Resnick that prepared these
- 1.2 certifications reports for us in 2009. But it
- was a similar arrangement, all are outside 13
- 14 vendors.
- 15 0 Okay, I understand. But before that
- 16 it was IT Processing which is Mr. Whitt's
- 17 company?
- 18 For many years, yes.
- 19 Yes. okav. Now when these were
- created they were brought over, you said, in
- 21 paper format and then manually put together with
- 22 the certifications, and that's how this exhibit

- 1 The Exhibit A and B only, well, with
  - respect to the lists of titles that are in the
  - exhibits, Exhibit B, I think it is, it would have
- been all the titles except those that have been,
- as you can see here on the allocations, crossed
- out. That title would not be in mine, or should
  - not be.
- Okay. And what about, so these were
- not used to create Exhibit A or --
- 10 Oh, I'm so sorry. With respect to
- claimants, yes, the certification report would --11
- 12 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, could he
- 13 direct -- you know, this is already in the
- record. Her written testimony has been admitted, 14
- 15 and I think he's confusing Ms. Saunders about
- 16 which part of it he's asking her.
- MR. BOYDSTON: Sorry, I'm not trying 17
- 18
- JUDGE BARRETT: Well, is this voir 19
- dire on the admissibility of these certifications 20
- 21 or is this cross examination, Mr. Boydston?
- MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm trying

15 13 to understand where these come from and what Mr. Boydston. So Exhibits 337, 339 through '40, and 342 through '45 are admitted. they've been used for. MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I --(Whereupon, the above-referred to documents were received into evidence JUDGE BARRETT: That's asked and as MPAA Exhibit Nos. 337, 339-340 and answered. 342-345.) MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. MS. PLOVNICK: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. PLOVNICK: We did this at length Now Ms. Saunders, you heard Mr. Galaz yesterday. testify earlier in this proceeding about IPG JUDGE BARRETT: I said it was asked 9 receiving payment for international CMOs such as and answered, Ms. Plovnick. 10 AGTCOA and CCC? 11 Any other voir dire for the witness 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 12 with regard to these exhibits? DIRECT EXAMINATION (continued) 13 13 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. I can obtain that BY MS. PLOVNICK: 14 right now, Your Honor. 14 Do you recall Mr. Galaz's testimony 15 In focusing on Exhibit 337, the 15 program lists, they came from Mr. Whitt and his about that? 16 16 А I certainly do. 17 database and then after that from the other 17 And are you involved with AGICOA and entity? 18 19 THE WITNESS: You keep using that 20 word, Mr. Boydston. You clearly have a Yes. As I have previously testified, I am directly responsible for CCC operations. I 21 partiality to the word database. And I will say it more clearly than I did yesterday. Data is am the chairman of the CCC board. MPAA actually 16 not a database. You can have lots of data, it is 1 founded CCC more than, it's more than two decades ago but close enough. not a database. 3 They were a co-founder of AGICOA in 3 I do not know if Mr. Whitt had a the late '80s. I have worked within AGICOA as a database. I do know that he had data and based 4 4 member of the identification committee. I am 5 on that data he generated these lists. MR. BOYDSTON: Fair enough. currently on the executive committee or the Nothing further, Your Honor. Oh, executive board. well, I do have -- that's the end of the voir I'm also on the AGICOA finance committee and I'm intimately involved with the dire. I do renew the same objection that these are created from information that we asked for operations of both CMOs. Familiar with, I should that was not given to us, and the witness has say. I don't have that much time in my day, but 11 12 testified that the information was passed on to 12 I am familiar with. 13 counsel prior to us asking for it, and so I renew 13 So I direct your attention to IPG 14 my objection. 14 Exhibit 104, if you can find that please. That would be in one of IPG's exhibit binders. And 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. 15 this is already admitted. Let me know when you MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, to make it 16 16 clear for the record, MPAA was trying to admit 17 have it. 17 not just this document of course but all the ones 18 18 Α What's the number again? that she stated. My objection was as to all It is IPG Exhibit 104. 19 19 20 stated, and I understand you're overruling it to (Off the record discussion) 20 21 all stated. 21 MS. PLOVNICK: Oh yes, this exhibit is

not restricted, I don't believe.

JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. Thank you,

19 17 And is IPG identified on those JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. documents as receiving the funds? Ms. Saunders. 2 Well, that's a good question. I do 3 (Off the record discussion) not, I don't see, frankly, any reference to IPG. MS. PLOVNICK: Okay, so you have IPG I see a list of titles. And again simply the Exhibit 104? compensation by AGICOA or sending some royalty or THE WITNESS: I do. I have IPG 104. remunerations for titles again is in no way ves. indicative or probative, certainly not probative, BY MS. PLOVNICK: of rights that one may hold elsewhere. And do you remember Mr. Galaz testifying about this document? 10 In fact, MPAA in this proceeding 10 11 11 represents, and in respect of our administration Α Yes, I do. 12 of U.S. royalties, represents an enormous number 12 0 And based on your own personal knowledge from your work with the CCC and AGICOA of claimants that in the United States for purposes of claiming U.S. royalties that are 14 was Mr. Galaz's characterization accurate? No. it was not. 15 represented by agents such as Compact, Fintage or IFTA that are represented by those agents within 16 16 Well, can you explain? 17 Mr. Galaz implied, or actually, I 1.7 AGTCOA. JUDGE STRICKLER: If I may interrupt, 18 think, stated that the payment or the receipt by 18 Ms. Saunders, you said a moment ago that AGICOA 19 IPG retransmission royalty payments from both the 19 does not now seek retransmission royalties in the 20 CCC and AGICOA was somehow probative or 20 United States. How about during the years in 21 indicative of IPG's entitlement to represent 21 question in this proceeding? certain claimants on whose behalf he had received 2.0 18 THE WITNESS: To my recollection, Your these monies. 1 Honor, is that AGICOA filed a claim one time for And I can assure you that in no way is that correct. The receipt of retransmission U.S. retransmission royalties. They filed it with the MPAA. We worked extremely hard to find royalties from a CMO in a given country is not only not probative, it is in no way indicative of a single incidence of a retransmitted title that AGTCOA claimants could claim in the United the right to receive retransmission royalties in an entirely different country such as the United States. Now I can't tell you for certainty States. that they asserted that claim in respect of every 9 0 And what country is the CCC in? 9 single program they represented. They may have Canada. 10 10 taken a representative sample. 11 And where does AGICOA operate? 11 But the fact is, when you're dealing AGICOA operates around the world. But 12 12 13 in a world of distant signal compensation or 13 one has to, specifically when registering claims for titles, indicate for which countries one that compensation for distant signal retransmission of 14 programs that are broadcast at least in the cable holds the rights and is able to be paid. And I 15 do not recall any testimony by IPG that the fund on non-network stations, that scope is very, 16 royalties they had received from AGICOA came from 17 17 18 And to the represented claimants by 18 the United States. I also happen to know that AGICOA does 19 AGICOA on whose behalf they were filing, because 19 not claim, currently, any U.S. retransmission 20 as I've said before, AGICOA, well, perhaps I 20 didn't make this clear. royalties through, they don't claim any 21 21

22

AGICOA doesn't, for example, have the

retransmission royalties in the United States.

24

21

```
right to claim retransmission royalties in the
    United States for many of its claimants because
     those claimants claim directly with MPAA. The
    reason for that is why would you pay two
     administration fees for royalties you can claim
     vourself directly?
                 So many of AGICOA claimants, at least
    U.S. producers have affiliated directly with
     MPAA. They claim royalties in the U.S. directly
     through MPAA. They don't need AGICOA for that.
10
                 So AGICOA is left with a basket of
11
     claimants that are largely European and whose
12
     licensing deals in the United States are such
     that they may go on cable-only channels, they may
     be licensed to network channels, but we could not
     find a way to pay them.
16
17
                 And I think the year that they filed
18
     that I recall most particularly, and I cannot
19
     tell you which of the royalty years, if it was
     one of these or a later one, I think they might
20
21
    have been entitled to $700.
```

And they concluded and they informed

```
attention to what's been premarked as MPAA
    Exhibit 306 and that's in the other orange
     binder.
                 Okay, got it.
                 Okay, so what is that document?
                 This is the Federal Register, a copy
     of a decision in the Federal Register, Volume 66
     Number 247 dated December 26, 2001, and it's an
     opinion or an order from the Library of Congress
     in Docket Number 2000-2 CARP CD 93-97 regarding
     distribution of 1993, '94, '95, '96 and '97 cable
11
     royalty funds.
12
                 Thank you, Ms. Saunders. Can you
13
     please turn to Page 66435 of that decision?
14
                Yes, ma'am. Got it.
15
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I'm going
16
     to move this in. I don't know if I need a little
17
     bit more foundation to link this to the title
18
     Dragon Ball Z. I'm going to do that in one more
19
     question, but if you want me to move it now I'm
20
```

JUDGE BARRETT: Well, it's a published

happy to do that.

22

```
me that they would no longer claim retransmission
     royalties in the United States because it really
     wasn't worth the time and the effort.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: And so Ms. Saunders,
    let me direct your attention to what's already
     been admitted as MPAA Exhibit 336.
                 THE WITNESS: Hold on.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: That's in the orange
     binder so we can put the red binder away.
10
11
                 THE WITNESS:
                 BY MS. PLOVNICK:
12
13
                 So were these certifications executed
     by ABC Family?
                 Correct. Formerly known as Fox
16
     Family, yes.
17
                 Formerly known as Fox Family. So
    during Mr. Galaz's testimony he talked about the
18
     title of Dragon Ball Z, and do you see that title
19
     on these certifications?
20
21
                I certainly do.
           Α
```

Okay. So now let me direct your

```
record. I don't know how much foundation you
     need to lay for that.
                 Mr. Boydston, any objection?
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No. Your Honor.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean?
                 MR. MACLEAN: No. Your Honor.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just
     would like to note it was vacated, but it is
     public record.
10
                 JUDGE BARRETT: You'll have a chance
     to talk about that, Mr. Boydston. 306 is
11
12
     admitted.
                 (Whereupon, the above-referred to
13
14
                 document was received into evidence as
                 MPAA's Exhibit No. 306.)
15
16
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Thank you, Your Honor.
                 So now Ms. Saunders, if you could look
17
18
     at Page 66435 of admitted MPAA Exhibit 306. And
     if you look in the right hand column near the top
19
     of the page, subsection D over there. Do you see
20
21
     that?
22
                 THE WITNESS: I do.
```

```
27
                                                      25
                                                                   controls the right to collect retransmission
                 BY MS. PLOVNICK:
                                                                  royalties for Dragon Ball Z?
                 Do you see the title, Dragon Ball Z,
                                                                               In no way, shape or form.
     discussed?
                                                                               Why not?
                 I do.
                                                                               Because when programs are copyrighted
                 All right. So Ms. Saunders, if you'd
                                                                   they are subsequently licensed.
     please read into the record the second full
     sentence there which starts with the words, "The
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor. I object.
                                                                   This calls for the expert opinion. She's not
     CRB determined."
                 "The CRB determined that Fox Family
                                                                   been qualified as an expert in that regard.
     Worldwide, not Funimation Productions, was the
                                                              10
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: It's not calling for
     proper syndicator for Dragon Ball Z and therefore
                                                              11
                                                                   expert opinion, but foundation is insufficient in
                                                              12
     IPG was not entitled to a distribution for this
                                                                   any event.
13
     program."
                                                              13
                                                                               Ms. Polnick, ask some more questions.
14
                 Okay, and I think you previously
                                                              14
                                                                               MS. PLOVNICK: Ms. Saunders, based on
     testified that ABC Family was formerly known as
                                                                   your personal knowledge, based on your experience
15
                                                              15
     Fox Family Worldwide?
                                                                   at MPAA and also with the other lines of work
16
                                                              16
17
                I did. It's on the certification
                                                              17
                                                                   that you do, do you know if a public search of
     report under their signature.
                                                                   copyright records would dictate who is the proper
18
                                                              18
                                                                   claimant for retransmission royalties?
19
                So what do you conclude from comparing
                                                             19
     MPAA Exhibit 306 and 336?
                                                                               THE WITNESS: In my experience it
20
                                                              20
                That Fox Family is -- I'm sorry, hold
                                                                   would not. It would be probative of the
21
                                                              21
     on. I want to make sure I get the right name
                                                                   possibility that the claimant or the applicant
                                                      26
     here. That ABC Family Worldwide, formerly known
                                                                   for copyright and even the owner of copyright may
     as Fox Family Worldwide, is the proper claimant
                                                                   have the right to claim retransmission rights,
     for Dragon Ball Z.
                                                                  but retransmission rights are a separate
                 Now I'm going to have you look at
                                                                   exclusive right that are fully assignable as a
     what's already been admitted as IPG Exhibit 22
                                                                   matter of contract law and frequently are
     which is in another IPG binder over there.
                                                                   assignable, or assigned, excuse me.
                 (Off the record discussion)
                                                                               So the fact that one might be a
                 MS. PLOVNICK: So Ms. Saunders, what
                                                                   copyright owner, unless one can also demonstrate
     is IPG Exhibit 22?
                                                                   if challenged that you have currently the rights
10
                 THE WITNESS: This appears to be or
                                                                   to license the title and have retained
     this is a copy of a page that's from the
11
                                                                   retransmission rights in any license you may have
12
     copyright office records, the public catalog. It
                                                                   entered into with a licensee -- I got myself a
     is a page pertaining to Dragon Ball 2, a
13
                                                                  little turned around in there.
14
     particular episode that I will not attempt to
                                                                               But I know what I'm saying is unless
15
     pronounce, and it appears to be an application
                                                                  you could demonstrate that the mere holding of
                                                             15
```

18

19

20

21

22

copyright is insufficient.

claims among claimants.

BY MS. PLOVNICK:

How do you know that, Ms. Saunders?

Because I deal with conflicting

claims. One of my primary jobs within the MPAA

as well as the CCC is to deal with conflicting

16

17

18

19

20

21

for copyright.

That is correct.

catalog?

BY MS. PLOVNICK:

Is this a search of the public

It's a search of the public catalog.

So does this document tell you who

```
31
                                                      29
                                                                              BY MS. PLOVNICK:
                  I also, in my capacity, in my role at
                                                                              Okay. So now let me direct your
     the MPAA, from time to time I see excerpts of
                                                                  attention to MPAA Exhibit 305 which has already
     licensing agreements between claimants, including
                                                                  been admitted and it's in the other binder.
     our studios, and I know for a certainty that
     retransmission rights are, I don't want to say
                                                                              JUDGE STRICKLER: What Bates Number
                                                                  page is Victim of Love?
     frequently, but they can be and are granted.
                  It's often to a broadcaster. That is
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: I'm sorry?
                                                                              JUDGE STRICKLER: The Bates, I'll ask
     a very normal and standard business practice when
     licenses are executed with broadcasters, for
                                                                   counsel. Do you have it?
     example. They can be granted to syndicators.
                                                              10
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: I don't actually have
     They can be granted to distributors. It's a
                                                              11
                                                                              JUDGE STRICKLER: You said next to the
                                                              12
     negotiated item.
                 All right. Thank you, Ms. Saunders.
                                                             13
                                                                  last page.
                                                                              THE WITNESS: I have it. It's Bates
     So has MPAA ever encountered a situation where
                                                              14
                                                                  Stamp S as in Sam, 04266, and there's a hole
     two parties claimed to work with the same name or
                                                             15
                                                                   punched through the last number. But it might be
16
     a similar title and the two works were really
                                                              16
17
     different?
                                                             17
                                                                  a 6 because the next one is 7.
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: And it's Exhibit 337.
                                                             18
18
                 Yes, it happens all the time,
     particularly with movies, titles with movies are
                                                                              And oh, I'm sorry. I'm not really
19
                                                             19
                                                             20
                                                                  going to get into the contents of it, but --
     often the same.
20
                                                                              MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry. We're on
                                                             21
              All right. So let me direct your
21
          Q
     attention to Exhibit 337, which has already been
                                                                   Exhibit 335. Is that correct?
                                                      30
                                                                                                                   32
    admitted. This is a certification for Allied
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: 305.
                                                                              MR. BOYDSTON: Excuse me. Thank you.
     Communications. And do see the title, Victim of
    Love, on this certification?
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. So Ms. Saunders,
                                                                  do you have MPAA Exhibit 305?
                JUDGE BARRETT: That's one I missed --
                                                                              THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
                MS. PLOVNICK: Oh, I'm sorry. It is
                                                                              BY MS. PLOVNICK:
 б
    337.
                                                                              All right, so can you please turn to
                COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Your
                                                                  transcript pages 1887 and 1888, the first portion
    Honor. Could you repeat that?
                JUDGE BARRETT: I could. I could even
                                                                  of that exhibit.
                                                                              Yes, I have them.
    use the microphone. I missed the exhibit number.
10
                                                                              Who's testifying in this transcript
11
    337, is that correct?
                MS. PLOVNICK: 337 is the correct
                                                                  excerpt?
12
                                                                              This is Marsha Kessler, the famous
    exhibit.
13
                JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
                                                                  Marsha Kessler.
14
                                                             15
                                                                              And what topic is she addressing?
                THE WITNESS: And I do see a title,
15
16
    Victim of Love. Yes, it is on the next to the
                                                             16
                                                                              She is addressing a program entitled,
    last page of the title attachment to that
                                                             17
                                                                  Victim of Love.
17
     certification.
                                                             18
                                                                        Q
                                                                              And if you take a look at Page 1888 or
                                                                  1888, what does Ms. Kessler explain on that page?
                MS. PLOVNICK: And that was a
                                                             19
20
    certification for Allied Communications for 2000?
                                                             20
                                                                              Ms. Kessler explains that as I have
                                                                  just stated, titles are often the same and
                THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. For 2000,
21
                                                             21
                                                                  therefore there can be confusion among claimants
```

```
33
     as to which title is actually being claimed.
                 She refers to the title, Victim of
    Love, saying that it is a movie and that there
     are actually two movies called "Victim of Love"
     that are in no way related to one another. She
     coes on to make an entertaining comparison
     between Gone With the Wind and Wizard of Oz.
                All right. So now do you see
     references made to MPAA Exhibit 14 in the
    transcript?
                 Yes, exactly. Yes, I do, so at the
     very bottom of 1888.
1.3
                 So if you flip to the back of MPAA
     Exhibit 305, MPAA Exhibit 14 should be there.
14
15
    And do you see that document?
16
                Hang on a second. Oh, okay, yes. I
17
     see MPAA Rebuttal Exhibit 14, yes.
                Okay. Could you flip to the page that
18
    has the title information about Victim of Love?
19
                Yes. It appears to be an IMDB extract
20
    or a copy of an IMDB page, and it shows there are
21
```

```
35
     Exhibit 305 is an extract of the CRB hearing in
     the matter of distribution of '93, '94, '95, '96
     and '97 cable royalties.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll move
     to strike just because it doesn't appear she has
     personal knowledge of that. She's simply relying
     on this document and she's testified that she
     wasn't involved in these matters in her present
     position in the time in question.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. The
10
11
     document's in evidence.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: So Ms. Saunders, when
12
     Mr. Galaz testified he talked a lot about MPAA's
13
     representation of agents. Does MPAA represent
14
15
     agents?
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, we do.
16
                 BY MS. PLOVNICK:
17
18
                 And does MPAA also represent the
     copyright owners that those agents represent?
19
20
                 Yes, we do.
```

21

8

9

10

11

17

0

So how does that work?

Our certification form specifically

listed one after the other. 2 The first is Victim of Love, which is 3 a 94-minute, 1992 drama or telefilm featuring Pierce Brosnan about a deadly love triangle between a psychiatrist and a patient and a man. The next one is Victim of Love, the c. Shannon Mohr, M-O-H-R, story, which is a 120minute telefilm directed by, starring no one I've ever heard of and directed by John Cosgrove. So one of those is related to Cosgrove 10 0 11 and one is not? Yes. One is directed by Cosgrove and 12 Α 1.3 the other is directed by Jerry London. 14 Is MPAA claiming the Cosgrove title? No, we are not. My understanding is we are claiming the Victim of Love, the Pierce Brosnan, sorry, directed by Jerry London title. 17 18 So was this issue regarding Victim of 19 Love or the difference between the two Victim of 20 Loves, is that previously litigated between MPAA

I believe so, since the beginning of

two works entitled "Victim of Love" that are

indicates, and you can look at any one of them to see it, that we represent or will accept claims on behalf of owners, agents of owners or entities, the representative of an entity that's entitled to claim the royalties. Does MPAA's representation agreement Ω also address --А Yes, it does. 0 -- agents? Our representation agreement has a specific section for representation. I think I 12 testified to this on Monday. Yes, you did. So does MPAA routinely ask claimants to provide documentation supporting their representation of entities that they list 16 on joint claims or agent claims? No. No, we do not. Not for the 18 agents that we currently represent. We have that prerogative in our representation agreement. but 19 thus far the agents that we have represented, and 20 I can mention a few that have been talked about 21 22 in this proceeding, Screenrights, Fintage, EGEDA,

and IPG?

21

40

37

```
IFTA and Compact, those agents are all very well
```

- 2 known to be personally and professionally, I work
- 3 with them all the time. I know claimants that
- 4 they represent.

1

- 5 I serve on the board of collecting
- societies with many of them. MPAA itself, might
- not me personally, but MPAA colleagues are on the
- 8 board of EGEDA. So I am very familiar with those
- 9 entities. I have met, as I said, personally many
- of the claimants they represent, and -- I thought
- I had another point but I'll just leave it at
- 12 that.
- 13 Q So you're very familiar from your own
- 14 personal work and experience --
- 15 A Yes, yes.
- 16 Q -- with many of these agents?
- 17 A Yes. What I was going to say is I
- 18 have seen, for Screenrights and EGEDA in
- 19 particular and I believe we also have in some of
- 20 the exhibits that have been put before this
- 21 court, exemplars of representation agreements by
- 22 Fintage, but I am quite familiar with the

- 1 A Oh, I directed my counsel to inquire
  - 2 with each of those agents to substantiate or tell
  - 3 us, talk to us about the basis to confirm the
  - 4 representation of underlying copyright owners and
  - 5 to provide us some explanation particularly with
  - 6 regard to the claimants and the works that IPG
  - 7 challenged.
  - 8 JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Saunders, I'm just
  - 9 going to remind you not to begin your answer
  - 10 until the question is finished.
  - 11 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
  - MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, and I'll try to do
  - 13 a better job of that too. I'm talking a little
  - 14 slow, I guess. So to your knowledge did your
  - 15 attorneys do as you requested?
  - 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, they --
  - 17 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor.
  - 18 Calls for hearsay, and it's really double
  - 19 hearsay. I understand she's saying she asked her
  - 20 attorneys to do something, technically that's
  - 21 hearsay but I wasn't going to object to that.
  - Now she's asking what the attorneys

38

- membership agreements that EGEDA has with its
- members as well as with those that Screenrights
- 3 has with their members.
- 4 And -- go ahead.
- 5 Q Is that from your personal experience
- 6 working with --

1

- A Yes, of course.
- 8 Q All right. And you say you're
- 9 familiar with all of those documents. All right.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay, let me -- are you aware that --
- 12 you stated earlier you reviewed IPG's written
- 13 rebuttal statement in this proceeding. Is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q You're aware that IPG challenged the
- 17 authority of MPAA to represent certain MPAA-
- 18 represented copyright owners in that filing?
- 19 A Represented copyright owners who were
- 20 themselves represented by agents.
- 21 Q So what, if any, action did you take
- 22 in response to IPG's --

- 1 did and what they heard, and that is at least
  - 2 double hearsay if not triple. So objection on
  - 3 hearsav.
  - 4 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
  - 5 You can re-form the question, Ms.
  - 6 Plovnick.
  - 7 MS. PLOVNICK: All right.
  - 8 Do you know if your attorneys took any
  - 9 action in response to --
- 10 THE WITNESS: Well, what I do know is
- 11 that I received a series of letters from these,
- 12 many of these claimants directed to me personally
- 13 that began to arrive in my office sometime during
- 14 the month of November.
  - BY MS. PLOVNICK:
- 16 Q All right, so let me direct your
- 17 attention to MPAA Exhibit 346.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Have you seen this before?
- 20 A Yes, I have.
- 21 O And what is it?
- A This is a letter to me sent by Marcel

41

```
Hoogenberk of Fintage. Marcel and I have worked
     together for many years, and he describes or
     responds to, or actually he expresses great
     surprise that IPG would challenge --
                 Ms. Saunders.
                 Oh, I'm sorry.
                 Before you get into the substance
     there, so is Fintage a claimant addressed in
     IPG's written rebuttal statement?
10
                 It is.
11
                 And is MPAA Exhibit 346 a true and
12
     correct copy of the correspondence that you
     received from Marcel Hoogenberk of Fintage?
13
                 Yes. it is.
14
                 MS. PLOVNICK: All right. So I move
15
16
     to admit MPAA Exhibit 346.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll
17
18
     object. It's hearsay. I also know it is not a
19
    declaration. It's not been signed under penalty
20
    of perjury and therefore it differs in
```

substantial regard from a legal standpoint from

the declarations that we are regularly admitting

```
43
     business records. And so we would ask that it be
     admitted on that basis.
                 JUDGE BARRETT; You need to lay the
     foundation then for a business record.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I was just going to say
     the business record exception would simply lay a
     foundation. It would not address the hearsay
     matter.
10
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Well, let's get the
11
     foundation.
12
                MS. PLOVNICK: Okav.
13
                 So Ms. Saunders, is this a business.
     did you receive this document for a normal course
14
```

THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 17 BY MS. PLOVNICK: 18 And is this a document that you would 19 maintain as a business record at MPAA? 20

21

15

of business at MPAA?

And it's in fact a letter that you personally received at your office?

```
in these proceedings. That's my objection.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, this is a
     business record of Ms. Saunders' and she is
     testifying here that she received this in her
     normal course of business.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Well, for what
     purposes is it being offered? For the truth of
     the matter or for the fact that it was received?
                 MS. PLOVNICK: It is being offered for
     the fact that she received it and that she
11
     requested her claimants to send her
12
     documentation, and it was sent. So it's being
13
     admitted as an MPAA business record.
14
                 So also, Your Honor, we have an
15
    understanding in these proceedings based on the
16
    regulations that hearsay is permitted. So --
```

JUDGE BARRETT: May be permitted.

Honor. So we believe that hearsay is admissible

in these proceedings. We've seen many other

documents admitted here in this correspondence

with IPG's claimants that were admitted as IPG

MS. PLOVNICK: May be permitted, Your

```
Yes. It is a letter that I more or
     less I requested.
           0
                 And, but it is maintained in your
     office?
           Α
                 Yes.
           o
                 And you, please just describe the
     chain of custody of how that letter was --
                 Well, as I said, I asked my counsel to
     confirm MPAA's representation of --
10
                 No, for this letter. So it was you
     received it then?
12
           A
                 Oh. I received it and I tendered it
     to my counsel.
13
                 And your counsel then included it --
14
15
                 Yes, they have included it, I
16
     understand, as part of our rebuttal, no? Or --
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I'll object. That
17
18
     calls for speculation. But I will believe the
     point on the business record. I think she's
```

established that. I'll stipulate the business

record. That doesn't address the hearsay though.

MS. PLÓVNICK: And our response is

19

20

21

17

18

19

20

45 47 document was received into evidence as that hearsay is permitted. MPAA Exhibit No. 346.) MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor. MS. PLOVNICK: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, just for MR. MACLEAN: May I voir dire on this the record, may I just point out that this was exhibit? JUDGE BARRETT: You may. Might as prepared in response to these things and it could have been made into a declaration but was not. well. JUDGE BARRETT: You've made your point VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION on the record, Mr. Boydston. Thank you. MR. MACLEAN: Ms. Saunders, was this 10 MS. PLOVNICK: Ms. Saunders, if you 10 record received and kept in the regular course of look at MPAA Exhibit 346, where is Fintage based? MPAA's business? 11 THE WITNESS: In the Netherlands. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was. DIRECT EXAMINATION (continued) MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, asked and 13 BY MS. PLOVNICK: 14 answered and stipulated to, and we're tight on 14 And is Fintage an individual claimant 15 15 or an agent claimant? MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, based on 16 16 that I have no objection to this record as a 17 They are an agent claimant. 17 All right. So if you look at MPAA business record which is an exception to the 18 18 Exhibit 341, this exhibit contains Fintage hearsay rule even under the federal rules of 19 19 certifications. In what capacity did Fintage, 20 evidence. and they may also say in the letter which is MPAA 21 MR. BOYDSTON: It is in regard to Exhibit 347 that, do you know what capacity foundation, it is not regarding to hearsay. 48 1 JUDGE BARRETT: Just a minute please. Fintage represents its claimants? Yes, I do. And when I said agent I am 2 Thank you. I will now read. 3 MR. BOYDSTON: I beg your pardon? merely using MPAA's vernacular. We consider them an agent even though they execute all their JUDGE BARRETT: I said I will now 4 certifications as the representative of the 5 read. MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, before you assignee of the underlying retransmission rights make a ruling, I also wanted to add two points. and that is the basis on which they have First, in the 1997 Cable Phase II proceeding, I maintained their claims in regards to or the representation of each of these entities that know from in review of that record that there were challenged by IPG, Bell Phillip, Televisa, were letters that were admitted as business records in that proceeding from claimants. TV Azteca and EGEDA. 12 JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Plovnick, if you 12 So in MBAA Exhibit 346, what issues 13 want my ruling --13 does Mr. Hoogenberk address in his letter? 14 MS. PLOVNICK: I'm sorry. 14 Well, he first addresses where it 15 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, if I could 15 mentions his great surprise that IPG would 16 just address that current --16 challenge the representation by Fintage of the entities I just mentioned, because, and attaches JUDGE BARRETT: No, you may not. I'm 17 17 18 striking it because I've already heard everything 18 to the correspondence, rather, a copy of a joint I need to hear on the 803 of the whatever notice that was sent to Tanya Sandros of the 19 19 exception to the hearsay rule. Your objection's copyright office from Fintage and IPG expressly 20 20 21 overruled. The exhibit is admitted. confirming. I guess, based on a settlement 21 22 (Whereupon, the above-referred to agreement, because heretofore Fintage and IPG

49

```
apparently collaborated in some respect in terms
     of asserting claims.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I want to
     object on the same grounds that were objections
     raised for, that Your Honor directed us that when
    we have a declaration in the record already the
     witness should not simply recite it or go into
    it. So for the same reasons, I think the record
     speaks for itself now that it's admitted.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
11
                 MS. PLOVNICK: We have questions.
12
                JUDGE BARRETT: Is there questions,
13
    Ms. Plovnick?
14
                MS. PLOVNICK: Is the documentation
15
    you just referenced attached to the letter?
                THE WITNESS: It is.
16
                BY MS. PLOVNICK:
17
                And is there other documentation
18
    attached to the letter?
19
20
                Yes, there are two lists of claimant
    names. Attached is Exhibit 1-2, the joint
```

letter that indicate which entities will be

```
51
     to object to the attachments because that's a
     second level of hearsay. And so the letter is
     one level, this is now a completely second level
     of hearsay. I object on that ground.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, Exhibit
     3 to the Fintage House letter is a joint venture
     representation agreement, the joint venture being
     between Fintage and WSG. I believe we admitted
     that yesterday at your request.
10
                 MR. BOYDSTON: You're right, and I
     have no objection to that. But these others \ensuremath{\mathtt{I}} do
11
     object to because they're second and I think a
12
13
     third level of hearsay.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, Go ahead.
14
                 MS. PLOVNICK: So Ms. Saunders. I'm
15
     not going to belabor the point as this document
16
     is already in the record, but do you see in there
17
     agreements for Televisa, TV Azteca --
18
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, TV Azteva, EGEDA
19
```

and some others. Yes, I do.

BY MS. PLOVNICK:

And some of the documentation in there

50

20

```
represented by Fintage and which will be
     represented by, I guess, ICG is another dba for
     IPG -- boy, that was a lot of acronyms -- with
     their separate list of entities and I think IPG
     represents.
                 So Ms. Saunders --
                 JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry. It's ACG.
                 THE WITNESS: ACG. Oh, excuse me,
     thank you.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: So, and Mr. Hoogenberk,
     there are other attachments to his letter?
12
                 THE WITNESS: Oh yes. I'm so sorry,
13
     yes. I only got to the first couple.
14
                 BY MS. PLOVNICK:
19
                 So what are they?
16
                 He has attached exemplars of Fintage's
17
     representation agreements.
18
           0
                 For which claimants, I guess.
                 Oh, all right. Hang on. You have to
19
    give me a second here. Bell Phillips regarding
20
    DBL Distribution.
21
```

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm going

is actually between some Fintage claims and IPG, I believe, especially with regard to Bell Phillips? There is voluminous correspondence in here and if you give me --So anyway, can you confirm that there is correspondence in there which is directed at Oh yes, I can. I see it now, yes. And were those documents ever produced to MPAA in discovery? Α To my understanding they were not. 12 13 All right. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm going to object additionally to these documents on the 15 16 grounds that they were never produced in discovery by the MPAA. 17 18 Now obviously I'm not referring to IPG documents, but I am referring of course to the 19 non-IPG documents which they never produced in 20 discovery despite our requests for all evidence 21

of agreements with the owners of copyright. And

```
EGEDA?
     they were directed, first, in that regard of --
                                                                               Yes, I am.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, the
                                                                               And how are you familiar with it?
 3
     legal basis of the objection is generally
                                                                               I don't want to belabor the point. I
     sufficient without the narrative.
                                                                   went into great detail about my relationship and
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                                   association with EGEDA and my understanding and
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Plovnick, how do
                                                                   familiarity, knowledge of their membership
     you respond to the objection?
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Well, I respond that
                                                                   agreements.
                                                                               I can also tell the court if it's of
     MPAA first received these records on the date of
     the Fintage letter which was in November.
                                                                   interest that under Spanish law EGEDA is
10
1.1
                 THE WITNESS: November 21st.
                                                              11
                                                                   authorized by the Ministry of Culture to
                                                              12
                                                                   represent all the rights of, all the remuneration
12
                 MS. PLOVNICK: November 21st of 2014,
     so, and they were not in MPAA's possession. And
                                                              13
                                                                   rights of all producers in Spain.
     as soon as, and we received them in response to
                                                              14
                                                                               It is a function of something called
                                                                   the Spanish national implementation in their
     IPG, and as part of our effort to respond to
                                                              15
                                                                   copyright act of the Cable and Satellite
16
     IPG's written rebuttal statement.
                                                              16
17
                 So there would have been no, we
                                                              17
                                                                   Directive.
18
     wouldn't have had them and so we wouldn't have
                                                              18
                                                                               So EGEDA is presumed to be by law in
     produced a document we didn't have. And I don't
                                                                   Spain the representative of Spanish producers.
19
                                                              19
                                                                   Furthermore, in EGEDA's membership agreements
20
     think it would have been an obligation for us to
                                                              20
                                                                   which, and the descriptions of these can be found
21
     go, after the judges ruled that, on this as well
                                                              21
                                                                   on EGEDA's website, admittedly in Spanish but
     in the July 30 order that MPAA was not required
                                                      54
                                                                                                                    56
     to go to its agents further to obtain further
                                                                   it's there, the EGEDA membership terms provide
     documentation unless IPG brought a further motion
                                                                   that EGEDA is entitled to collect -- or sorry,
    or made a further showing.
                                                                   not entitled -- mandated, authorized by its
                MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, if that's
                                                                   members, any member to claim remuneration
     the case then I withdraw my objection. If they
                                                                   including for retransmission around the world.
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: So Ms. Saunders, I'm
    didn't have it time and only got it now, that's a
    reasonable explanation.
                                                                   going to now show you what's been premarked as
                                                                   MPAA Exhibit 359.
                JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
                                                               8
                Was it offered? Is that why we had
                                                              9
                                                                               (Off the record discussion)
9
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: And so Ms. Saunders,
    the objection?
                                                              10
10
                                                                  what is MPAA Exhibit 359?
11
                MS. PLOVNICK: No. Your Honor, It's
                                                              11
                                                              12
                                                                              MR. BOYDSTON: Can we get it first?
12
    already admitted.
                JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. Thank you.
                                                              13
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: Yes. The clerk allowed
13
                                                                   us to do all of it as one.
    Yes, it was. Go ahead.
14
15
                MS. PLOVNICK: All right.
                                                              15
                                                                               So I'm sure you guys are getting
```

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

copies for the judges as well.

been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 359?

Board in respect of cable and satellite

So while we're doing that, so Ms.

THE WITNESS: These are claims submitted by Fintage with the Copyright Royalty

Saunders, what is MPAA Exhibit 359, or what's

All right, so Ms. Saunders, let's talk

THE WITNESS: We represent EGEDA in

All right. Are you familiar with

for a minute about EGEDA. Does MPAA represent

these proceedings through Fintage.

BY MS. PLOVNICK:

EGEDA?

16

17

19

20

21

57 59 MS. PLOVNICK: They were rebuttal 1 retransmission royalties. There are two from 2007, one from 2008, and this one. Two from 2007 exhibits. and two from 2008. MR. BOYDSTON: Okay, can we have an BY MS. PLOVNICK: offer of proof as to what they're rebutting? And if you look, is it 2006 or 2008? 5 JUDGE BARRETT: I think we're good I said '08. there, and if we don't I will reconsider, okay? So, but for what royalty years were MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. they for? JUDGE BARRETT: Go ahead, Ms. Q А Oh, I'm sorry. Well, let's see. 9 Ploynick. 10 2006, which of course would have been filed in 1.0 MS. PLOVNICK: So I move to admit 11 2007, right, of course. And then 2006, and all 11 these exhibits. right, filing's 2006, filing's 2007. Sorry. JUDGE BARRETT: They are admitted 12 12 13 So you have cable claims and satellite 13 without prejudice. 14 claims for Fintage for 2006 --14 (Whereupon, the above-referred to And for 2007. 15 document was received into evidence as 16 -- and for 2007? 16 MPAA Exhibit No. 359.) 17 Yes, that's correct. 17 MS. PLOVNICK: All right. So Ms. 18 And does MPAA maintain these as Saunders, if you can look at MPAA Exhibit 359, 19 business records? do you know if, from looking at these exhibits, 20 Α Yes, we certainly would. if Fintage listed EGEDA claimants on its claims? Were these documents produced to IPG THE WITNESS: It appears that Fintage 21 0 21 22 in discovery? represented, or sorry, listed on these claims the 58 60 In fact we require these in our individual names -representation unit. I'm sorry? JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, what page? So MPAA maintains these documents as THE WITNESS: I apologize, Your Honor. business records? This is, I'm now looking specifically at the А cable filing for 2006 which was filed July 30th 6 0 And were they produced to IPG in at 10:56 in the morning. discovery? And there's attached to this claim a А Well, they're Bates stamped so I'm voluminous list of claimants on whose behalf 9 going to say yes. Fintage is claiming. It does not specifically MS. PLOVNICK: All right, so I move to 10 say EGEDA. However, I can assure the court that admit MPAA Exhibit 359. 11 EGEDA is featured here or its members are 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll featured because the address of EGEDA, a location object. They were produced in discovery but they 13 I have been to many times, is Luis -- I'm not 14 weren't attached as exhibits to the MPAA even going to try. 15 15 The address, the physical address of 16 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, this is our EGEDA is listed for each of the claimants that 17 rebuttal to IPG, so -begin with 12 Penguins. Let's see, that would be 18 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. on Bates stamped Page C 02179, about halfway down 19 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, why were they not when the lines go from very small to a little bit 20 in our exhibit book is the question? bigger. All of those claimants are listed 21 JUDGE BARRETT: They're rebuttal as I individually by name, and the address given for 22 understand. them is the physical address of the offices of

63 61 the correspondence that you received --EGEDA in Madrid. JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are MS. PLOVNICK: And is the same true for 2 the, so you were looking at the 2006 -you looking at? 3 MS. PLOVNICK: MPAA Exhibit 347. THE WITNESS: '06 cable. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MS. PLOUNICK: THE WITNESS: Yes, it is a true and -- cable. And is the same true for the 2006 satellite, 2007 cable and 2007 correct copy. MS. PLOVNICK: So I move to admit MPAA satellite? Yes. I see Luis Bunuel addresses in Exhibit 347. Madrid, throughout the 2007 cable, starting as of 10 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection that this is not a declaration and Bates stamped Page C 02447, and then, sorry, that 11 it is hearsay. And I also object that the was 2007 cable. attachments that are two constitute an additional And 2006 satellite, I see EGEDA's 13 address again starting on Bates stamped Page S level of hearsay. JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, 347 is 02654. And for 2007 satellite, I see EGEDA's 15 address again as of Bates stamped Page S 02917. 16 admitted 17 So Fintage filed claims on behalf of 17 (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as 18 EGEDA's individual claimants --18 MPAA Exhibit No. 347.) 19 Correct. 19 MR. BOYDSTON: And also, Your Honor, -- for 2006 and 2007 cable and 20 20 I object that it was not produced in discovery. 21 21 satellite? 22 JUDGE BARRETT: Likewise overruled. Α 62 So what do you conclude from that? MS. PLOVNICK: So Ms. Saunders, what That Fintage was the authorized issues, well, I don't want to have you read the claimant for EGEDA; that EGEDA is the document, but can you please just describe what representative of the claimants that were is addressed in this letter without really, I don't want you to read it but just give me -individually named in each of the 2006 and 2007 THE WITNESS: Okay. Screenrights filings by Fintage. confirms to me that they are claiming within as Right. So let's turn to MPAA Exhibit an MPAA claimant as an agent not as an owner, 347. property owner, and they also address what they 9 Okay. say is confusion between works claimed by IPG and Have you seen this before? 10 10 by MPAA on behalf of Screenrights, specifically 11 I have. This is a letter I received 11 from Screenrights on November 24th, 2014. of programs called From the Heart, and also the 12 12 And is this a document that you said Outdoorsman that I believe we've discussed or Mr. 13 13 -- you received it. Did you receive it in your Galaz discussed, earlier. They indicate that the 14 IPG title claim in respect of or on behalf of capacity at MPAA? 15 Yes, it is addressed to me as the SVP Lawrence Welk Syndication --16 17 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, objection. 17 of Rights Management Policy, yes. And is this a business record that is 18 This is getting to the point of reciting the maintained in the regular course of business at 19 document. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. Ask 20 20 another question, Ms. Plovnick. 21 It is. 21 22 MS. PLOVNICK: Oh. I believe my 22 And is this a true and correct copy of

67 65 MPAA Exhibit Number 348.) counsel just asked was 347 admitted, Your Honor? MS. PLOVNICK: All right, so Ms. I just need to clarify. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. Saunders, now that MPAA Exhibit 348 has been admitted, can you please, without reading it, MS. PLOVNICK: All right, thank you. So was there other attachments to MPAA just tell us what did you learn from this letter? THE WITNESS: CBS, Mr. Kryle, informed 347 to the letter? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? me that CBS was claiming two works based on BY MS. PLOVNICK: licensing agreements and he attached redacted Are there attachments to the letter in copies of those agreements to his correspondence to indicate that CBS in fact had received or been MPAA Exhibit 347? 11 There's a Screenrights membership form 11 assigned the right to receive retransmission 12 which confirms Screenrights' authority to claim 12 royalties in the United States. 13 retransmission royalties on behalf of its 13 BY MS. PLOVNICK: 14 claimants. 14 And the attachments to Mr. --15 0 And does this address --15 For those works. 16 And I believe these forms --16 -- to the CBS letter from. I believe it's from Mr. Kryle, yes, from Sanford Kryle --I'm sorry, let me ask a question. Do 17 17 Sandy Kryle, yes. Sanford Kryle, I these forms address the titles that are at issue Α 18 18 in the letter? believe. 1.9 19 Yes, those cover the titles that are Yes, they do. 20 20 All right, so let's move on to what's addressed in his cover letter? 21 21 been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 348. Have you 22 22 Exactly. They do. 66 68 seen this document before? All right. Let's move to MPAA Exhibit 2 I have. This is a letter I received 349. from CBS Broadcasting dated November 21st, 2014. 3 MR. BOYDSTON: Same objection, Your And is this correspondence that you Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. received in your official capacity at MPAA? 5 Boydston. Noted, overruled. And this is something you received MS. PLOVNICK: All right, so I move to Q personally? 8 admit the Exhibit 349. JUDGE BARRETT: 349's admitted. Yes, it is. And is this a document that is (Whereupon, the above-referred to 10 10 maintained as an MPAA business record --11 document was received into evidence as 11 12 12 MPAA Exhibit No. 349.) -- once it was received by you? 13 MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. So Ms. Saunders, Q 14 14 well, I mean I guess I'm going to do the, just MS. PLOVNICK: So I move to admit MPAA 350 through 352. These are all going to be the Exhibit 348 into evidence. 16 17 So should I just give you, do you want 17 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, same 18 objection. me just to go ahead and offer them at, I mean if 19 JUDGE BARRETT: Noted and overruled. 19 we're going to have the same objection it might be faster for the court. It's up to --20 Thank you, Mr. Boydston. 20 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, do you 21 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 21 repeat your three objections with regard to 350 22 document was received into evidence as 22

69 71 right. through 352? So going back to MPAA Exhibit 349, 2 2 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. Your Honor, and just on a very high level, I do not want you to let me just clarify them. We object on the 3 3

read the letter or the documents - what did you grounds, as long as we're doing it collectively, learn from MPAA Exhibit 349? we object on the grounds that these are not

MS. SAUNDERS: That Fox substantiated declarations and they're hearsay. We also object

to their attachments which are a second level of its claims to an AFI work involving Barbra

Streisand, as I recall, and attached a license hearsav.

We object to the extent that some of we had the redacted license agreement and a quite

10 these are not rebuttal. I realize they're being extensively highlighted licensing agreement in

offered as rebuttal. To the extent they were not 11 that letter in support of its claim.

rebuttal, then they should have been produced in 12 MS. PLOVNICK: And moving to MPAA

14

document?

discovery. 13 Exhibit 350, what did you learn from that

And we object on the grounds that they

were not kept and prepared in the normal course 15 MS. SAUNDERS: That our joint

claimant's or agent's compact and IFTA were 16 of business. They were prepared in response to 16

our rebuttal and therefore they're not 17 confirming their right to claim the work

traditional business records. challenged by IPG, I believe the work is 18

JUDGE BARRETT: And earlier you lost "Presumed Guilty" and they indicated that - as I 19

20 or rejected on the basis that they had not been recall that they had agreed to share royalties. 20 produced in discovery. Is that -21 They both claimed this work for

22

MR. BOYDSTON: I think I said that, particular royalty years and they had made a deal

between them as to who would get the royalties.

JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you. Any But they attached support to their letter, as I

objections, Mr. MacLean? recall, of their claims.

70

MR. MACLEAN: No objection, and I had MS. PLOVNICK: Do they distinguish -

actually thought that there was a stipulation of is this another situation where there are two

these core business records but no objection. titles for a similar thing? MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, he did

MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. It appears to be

stipulate that they were business records. because they've attached - they describe the work

JUDGE BARRETT: 350 through 352 in the letter that they are claiming and assert

inclusive are admitted. that it is different than the work that IPG is

12

11 MR. BOYDSTON: And just for the 11 claiming.

record, I only stipulated on the one exhibit. I MS. PLOVNICK: And let's look to the 13 am not stipulating further. last - the last page of that. So is this a

JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you. search of the public catalog?

MS. PLOVNICK: Ms. Saunders, just to 15 MS. SAUNDERS: It is. It is a search

16 - just to make the record clear, all of these 16 of the public catalog of the copyright office and

17 documents that we've been discussing in MPAA 17

18 Exhibits - MPAA Exhibits 348 through 352, are all 18 MS. PLOVNICK: What work is it - is it

those documents MPAA business records? 19 19 for?

20 MS. SAUNDERS: Yes, they are. 20 MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry. Where are

> 21 We?

MS. PLOVNICK: All right. So may I 22 22 have one moment to confer with my client? All MS. PLOVNICK: The last page of MPAA

12 13

14

15

17

18

19

6

10

12

15

21

but yes.

73 75 claimed by TPG which is claimed under or on Exhibit 350. 2 behalf of the group IWV Media Group and not TWI, MS. SAUNDERS: And it deals with the which is Mr. Hochberg's claim and those are work or it's a search for the work "Presumed those seem to be two different works - the IPG Guilty," which is a Cosgrove Production. work on behalf of IWV featuring Jane Seymour and MS. PLOVNICK: All right. And if you the TWI work claimed by Mr. Hochberg not - let's see. Flip back one page. I got one page featuring Jane Seymour. ahead of myself. The second to the last page of MS. PLOVNICK: So, Ms. Saunders, so we MPAA Exhibit 350. Is that - is that also a just discussed several pieces of correspondence copyright insert? that you received regarding issues that were 10 MS SAUNDERS: It is. It is. MS. PLOVNICK: Who was the director of 11 raised in IPG's written rebuttal statement. 11 12 Did you receive correspondence from 12 the Cosgrove work? MS. SAUNDERS: Paul Wendkos, all the claimants IPG challenged? 13 MS. SAUNDERS: No, we did not. W-E-N-D-K-O-S. 14 14 MS. PLOVNICK: And is that - in the 15 MS. PLOVNICK: And do you know why 15 cover letter to this who was the director of the 16 16 work claimed by Compact and IFTA? 17 MS. SAUNDERS: Unfortunately, we didn't have sufficient time to have answers from MS. SAUNDERS: Lawrence Simeone, 18 all of our claimants and also some of our 19 S-I-M-E-O-N-E. 19 claimants responded that the royalty years that 20 MS. PLOVNICK: So are they different 20 were at issue were too long ago and they had not 21 works? maintained sufficient business records to MS. SAUNDERS: They certainly seem to 22

74

respond.

2 MS. PLOVNICK: But were all of the this same title. MS. PLOVNICK: So flipping to the next titles listed in your written direct testimonies, MPAA exhibit, MPAA Exhibit 351, so what did you learn from reviewing MPAA Exhibit 351? MS. SAUNDERS: That the PGA Tour, who sent - the PGA Tour confirmed their entitlement to claim and the fact that they had certified to 8 claim royalties for work involving Bob Hope 9 discovery? 10 "Inside the Ropes." 10 MS. PLOVNICK: And then looking at 11 11 12 MPAA Exhibit 352, that - reading that what did 12 you learn from reviewing that correspondence? 13 13 MS. SAUNDERS: This is a letter I 14 15 received from Bill Hochberg on November 26th and binder. he was - he has claimed as an agent and confirmed 16 17 certification of his claim as an agent on behalf 17 18 of his client, which is TWI with respect to a work entitled "Healthy Living" and he attached a 19 licensing agreement from - involving his client 20 and if I'm not - yes, he also attached Exhibits 21 22 or Pages, sorry - copies of extracts for the work

be. There seem to be two different works with

which are MPAA Exhibits 309 and 310, certified by MPAA's claimants? MS SAUNDERS: The titles listed in Exhibit B, yes. Each one was certified. MS. PLOVNICK: And were all of those paper certifications produced to IPG in MS. SAUNDERS: Yes, they were. MS. PLOVNICK: All right. Now, if you could take a look at IPG Exhibit 124. That might be in the red binder. MS. SAUNDERS: No, it's not in the red MS. PLOVNICK: Oh, no. You're right. I think it's a loose document. You put it in the binder? Oh, it might be at the end then. I think the clerk might have put it in. MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MS. PLOVNICK: Ms. Saunders, one more

question before you go there. Does MPAA create 1 like that and certifications that address those

- question before you go there. Bots with create
- 2 certifications for litigation?
- MS. SAUNDERS: No. No, we create them
- 4 manually for purposes of distribution of
- 5 royalties.
- MS. PLOVNICK: So you maintained them
- 7 as business records in the regular course of
- 8 business?
- 9 MS. SAUNDERS: Yes, of course.
- 10 MS. PLOVNICK: All right. Thank you.
- 11 Now look at IPG Exhibit 124.
- 12 JUDGE STRICKLER: Can I ask a quick
- 13 question? This is to you, counsel, rather than
- 14 to the witness, at least in the first instance.
- You said some of IPG's challenges
- 17 documents because of the passage of time is what

were not the subject of rebuttal with additional

- documents because of the passage of time is what
- 18 the witness said. Can you state now which ones
- 19 were not rebutted, just for the record, or are
- 20 you not aware?

16

- MS. PLOVNICK: Well, we are we don't
- 22 have specific correspondence from the claimant

- 2 so it's as but I just don't understand your
- 3 question, your Honor.
- 4 JUDGE STRICKLER: That's fair. That's
- 5 fair. My question was probably too broad.
- 6 Yesterday Mr. Galaz spoke about and he
- 7 ballparked it at about 15 or so that he was
- 8 saying that he believed that the claimant that
- was being represented through MPAA was not in
- 10 fact the appropriate claimant and you've now
- 11 presented testimony through Ms. Saunders that,
- 12 according to her testimony and the documents that
- 13 you've introduced into evidence, suggest
- 14 otherwise.
- 15 Out of that group are there some that
- 16 you have not attempted to rebut at this point
- 7 with documents through Ms. Saunders?
- 18 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, if I can
- 19 answer that. So I believe that there are some
- 20 individual titles that we have not produced a
- 21 letter addressing.
- JUDGE STRICKLER: Can you tell me

- for some of our entities, but we are rebutting
- all of them. I mean, some of their claims are
- 3 broad claims.
- 4 JUDGE STRICKLER: I understand, and
- 5 the witness in response to your question said
- 6 you're rebutting it and relying on the fact that
- there were certifications to that effect.
- MS. PLOVNICK: Yes.
- 9 JUDGE STRICKLER: So which of the -
- 10 let me say it that way which of the ones that
- 11 were challenged by IPG are you relying solely on
- 12 the certifications rather than subsequent
- 13 documentation?
- 14 MS. PLOVNICK: Well, your Honor,
- 15 because some of IPG's arguments, and I'm focusing
- 16 now like, they have one large and general
- 17 argument aimed at all of MPAA's agents and
- 18 claimants are represented through agents. So I
- 19 don't know if I could list I mean, I think this
- 20 exhibit list --
- 21 So we have documents that we've put in
- 22 here like representation agreements and things

- 1 which ones offhand, if you know?
  - MS. PLOVNICK: I may I may need to
  - 3 get back to you on that. But I don't have that
- 4 right in front of me or memorized. But I we
- 5 are not I believe that that would be limited to
- 6 particular titles, not to any of our claimants or
- our representation of claimants.
- 8 JUDGE STRICKLER: It would be titles?
- 9 MS. PLOVNICK: It would be specific
- 10 titles.
- 11 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. I don't
- 12 want to certainly I don't expect if you don't
- 13 have it in front of you know now -
- 14 MS. PLOVNICK: And it would it would
- 15 be a very short list but I think but we can
- 16 prepare that for you if you would like the
- 17 specific titles.
- 18 JUDGE STRICKLER: It doesn't even have
- 19 to be written. If it can be orally put into the
- 20 record and you can even do it during closing
- 21 arguments. It would just be it would be
- helpful for the court if I could at least this

84

```
81
    part of the court.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Of the ones - so it
     would be specific titles for which we did not put
     in a letter or correspondence addressing them?
     Is that - I'm just trying to understand what you
    are asking for.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Right. Yes.
                 MR. OLANIRAN: May I be heard just
    quickly, your Honor? I think I understand. You
    want to know that we've addressed some of IPG's
10
11
    challenges with some of the testimony in that Ms.
12
    Saunders has given.
                 And there's also been - there's a
    question eventually responding to the challenge
     and then there's a question of whether the
     challenge actually rises to the level of the
17
     challenge, by a bare assertion by Mr. Galaz.
18
                 So in some cases - so it's a mix of
19
     those, some we have definitely addressed - there
```

are some - there were certainly questions that we

didn't address because we don't believe that they

- because Mr. Galaz's - their assertion amount to

```
1 to your claimants for confirmation on some of
    these things that were challenged, did any of
    them come back with correspondence saying you
    know what, they were right - just don't have the
     rights?
                MS. SAUNDERS: No. No, your Honor.
                JUDGE FEDER: Thank you.
                MS. PLOVNICK: Any other questions?
                JUDGE STRICKLER: Did anybody get back
10
     to you and say well, we don't know if IPG had the
11
     rights but we know that the MPAA claimant did not
12
13
     have the rights?
1.4
                MS. SAUNDERS: No. No.
15
                JUDGE STRICKLER: You didn't get that
16
     either?
                MS. SAUNDERS: The closest we came to
17
     any assertion that they did might not have held
18
     the right to the time they claimed were responses
19
     that suggested that the business records - it was
20
```

just too old and they could not confirm. But

they had - they did confirm that they certified

82

21

```
- Mr. Galaz's bare assertions that something is
     wrong amounts to the fact that something is wrong
     to warrant a response.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: To use your phrase,
     it wasn't a trick question. I wasn't trying to -
    I wasn't going to trick you with an admission. I
     just wanted a sense of what the record shows that
     was given specifically in response.
 8
 9
                MR. OLANIRAN: Absolutely. I
10
     understand.
11
                MS. PLOVNICK: So and I - so yeah, so
     we're not - if we were to give you a list it
     would be a list of titles that we didn't have
     specific correspondence on but it would not
15
     necessarily mean that we are conceding them or
16
    that he has met their burden of proof as to them
17
     actually. Is that -
                JUDGE STRICKLER: So noted. It was
18
    never suggested.
19
                MS. PLOVNICK: All right.
20
                JUDGE FEDER: I have a question for
21
22
    the witness. Ms. Saunders, when you reached out
```

1 those titles to MPAA.

```
JUDGE STRICKLER: When you say they
     confirmed that, they confirmed it orally?
                 MS. SAUNDERS: No. Well, I think I
     had a conversation with my contact at ABC, I
     think. I don't want to get too far out here
     because I may be misremembering but I remember -
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, don't
     speculate.
                 MS. SAUNDERS: Oh, sorry. Okay. But
     I would have - no, yes, I do orally, yes, by
12
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: But you couldn't -
     but it would be speculation to try to identify
15
     which ones?
                 MS. SAUNDERS: It would be speculation
16
     to identify which claimant or which titles.
17
                MS. PLOVNICK: You're testifying based
18
     on what you remember?
19
                MS. SAUNDERS: Exactly, based on my
20
```

recollection, yes, and there was only one

instance of recollection - of that kind of

21

22

20

```
ahead.
     recollection.
                                                                               MS. SAUNDERS: Sorry.
                MS. PLOVNICK: So I was directing your
     attention to IPG Exhibit 124. Did you find that?
                                                                               MS. PLOVNICK: So explain that you
                                                                   said there was a huge kerfuffle at MPAA. Please
                MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. Wait. 124 or
     123? Oh, I'm sorry. It's - you know what? The
                                                                   explain.
     tabs are attached to the pages and so I thought
                                                                               MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. Ms. Morgan
     120 - yeah, I have it.
                                                                   contacted the MPAA website which you can see from
                                                                   the second page at the bottom on Wednesday the -
                MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. So do you
     remember Mr. Boydston showing these to you
                                                                               MS. PLOVNICK: Ms. Saunders, before
                                                                   you get into this, I want to move the admission
     previously in these proceedings?
                MS. SAUNDERS: I do and it confused me
                                                              11
                                                                   of MPAA Exhibit 360.
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm not
12
     from the start.
                                                              12
13
                MS. PLOVNICK: Yeah, you testified you
                                                              1.3
                                                                   sure why this wasn't introduced earlier and
                                                                   identified. It was clear in our opening with
14
     did not recognize this document.
                                                              14
                MS. SAUNDERS: I did testify that I
                                                                   oral statements that we were making an issue out
15
                                                              15
                                                                   of this. I don't know why this -
     did not recognize that.
16
                                                              16
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: What's the legal basis
17
                MS. PLOVNICK: So now I'm going to
                                                              17
18
     show you a document that's been premarked as MPAA
                                                              18
                                                                   of your objection?
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: It was not identified
19
     Exhibit 360 and we have copies - this has already
                                                              19
                                                              20
                                                                   in the exhibit list and that's the basis of my
20
     got the clerk stamp on it but we have copies for
                                                                   objection.
21
     defendant.
                MS. SAUNDERS: Thank you.
                                                                               MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, it's - maybe
```

86 88

```
1
                 MS. PLOVNICK: And this has been
     premarked as MPAA Exhibit 360. Do you recognize
     this document?
                 MS. SAUNDERS: Yes, I do. Yes, I do.
 5
                 MS. PLOVNICK: What is it?
                 MS. SAUNDERS: The document you just
 6
     handed me is an email exchange from between my
     counsel, Greg Olaniran, and Ashley Morgan of
     Amity Media TV. Hang on.
                 Let me just make sure I got all of the
10
11
     ~ yes. Some exchange between what was initiated
12
     by Ms. Morgan and I actually remember the
     initiation of this exchange.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Well, before you go
     into a narrative, let me ask a question here. So
     is - now that you've reviewed this document do
17
     you recall the circumstances of this email?
1.8
                 MS. SAUNDERS: I do. because Ms.
     Morgan's original email caused a huge kerfuffle
19
    inside of the MPAA. Ms. Morgan contacted the
20
     MPAA website. Do you want me to explain that?
21
```

MS. PLOVNICK: No, no. Yeah. Go

```
1 I'm missing something. It's fairly apparent to
     me that MPAA 360 is being offered in response to
    IPG 124, which was not on IPG's exhibit list. So
     I have no objection.
                MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, that is
 5
 6
     exactly the case here.
                JUDGE BARRETT: 360 is admitted.
 8
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. So now that this
     document has been admitted there's something I
10
     think you were beginning to go into the contents
                 MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. Yes. Back in
12
     2011, Ms. Morgan contacted MPAA by our website
13
14
     seeking to report what she called mail fraud
15
     activity based on a letter she received from
16
     Worldwide Subsidy Group and that enquiry made its
     way through the MPAA and eventually found its way
17
18
     to me and I alerted Mr. Olaniran and asked him to
     contact Ms. Morgan in response to her July 27th
19
20
     email.
                MS. PLOVNICK: Now. Ms. Saunders. you
21
22 have in front of you IPG Exhibit 124 and MPAA
```

89

```
Exhibit 360. Do those two documents look the
                MS. SAUNDERS: No. The only thing
     that looks the same is - well, there are two
     parts. Well, no. I'm sorry.
                The only part that looks the same is
     the obviously - well, it seems very odd because
     the message in the middle on the first page of
     124 - IPG's 124 has Mr. Olaniran's inquiry or his
     response to her - Dear, Ms. Morgan, I'm outside
     counsel for MPAA - but that response is on MPAA
     Exhibit 360 at the top of Page 2.
                Interestingly, in IPG's 124 the two of
    Mr. Olaniran's email has been deleted somehow.
15
    Mr. Olaniran - so that's the only part that I see
    here. The only point of comparison between 124 -
17
    IPG 124 and MPAA 360 that appears to be the same.
                MS. PLOVNICK: All right. And what
18
19
    part - what is in the content that's missing from
    IPG Exhibit 124?
20
                MS. SAUNDERS: I'm sorry. What's in
21
```

91 I'd just note we normally take a break. I don't know if we - I just don't want to get going and then stop. JUDGE BARRETT: I couldn't agree more. We're going to take a morning recess. Fifteen minutes is our goal. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, never mind. (Whereupon, the above-entitled proceeding recessed at 10:55 a.m. and resumed at 11 10:59 a.m.) JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. Mr. 12 13 Boydston? CROSS EXAMINATION 14 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 Ms. Saunders, again I'm Brian Boydston. I 1.6 17 represent Independent Producers Group. You were asked some questions about 18 IPG's Exhibit 104 which was a number of documents 19 from AGICOA and also from some other entities. 20

And you made the comment that these don't

necessarily -- I think your comment, and correct

90

```
1
                 MS. PLOVNICK: What is the content
     that is missing from IPG Exhibit 124?
 3
                 MS. SAUNDERS: Well, Exhibit 124 seems
     to imply that MPAA solicited Ms. Morgan on a mail
     fraud inquiry and MPAA Exhibit 360 makes it clear
     that Ms. Morgan came to MPAA in order to report a
     concern about mail fraud involving WSG and that
     MPAA through Mr. - I'm sorry, Mr. Olaniran
     reached out to her and she responded to him on
     the cover page - the first page, sorry, then Page
10
     360 thanking him for his email and offering to
11
12
     discuss it and referencing, as I see here on the
13
     first page, an email that she had received from
     Pick & Boydston lawyer Mike Cutler, which
14
     interestingly is included in what must be a
     compendium or some sort of compilation of this
     email that was provided in IPG's 124.
17
18
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
     Saunders. I have no further questions for the
19
20
     witness at this time.
21
                JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston.
```

MR. BOYDSTON: Before I go forward,

the content of -

me if I'm wrong, was that those merely represent monies paid from external sources, obviously not the Copyright Office. And therefore I think your point was they wouldn't establish that IPG had any rights to the copyright royalties in this proceeding. Was that the point? MS. SAUNDERS: That is yes, more or less the point. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. Understood. Would it not though, I think it would, would it not show that IPG has some sort -- had rights to collect royalties from those foreign distributors or 15 those foreign entities that paid them? 16 In -- if those documents could in fact be linked, and by this I refer I think only to 17 18 the AGICOA distribution statement, which did not indicate or confirm any relationship that I could 19 see with IPG. If that were -- if there was a 20 21 link that could be established, then it would in fact indicate that IPG had claimed certain titles

96

```
assignment of the rights to DragonBall Z to a
     with AGICOA for which it was claiming monies in
                                                                   distributor?
     other countries outside the U.S.
                 And based upon your position with
                                                                               I'm sorry, are you talking about in
     AGICOA, are you relatively familiar with AGICOA's
                                                                   the record in front of me, or the paper in front
                                                                   of me, in the Exhibit? Or in the entire record
     process of making payments like that?
                                                                   of this proceeding?
                                                                               The entire record. I'm not aware of
                 And do they generally accompany them
           0
     with some sort of documentation?
                                                                   any.
                 Document -- they usually accompany
                                                                               Actually I am. And that would be the
     them with a titles list.
                                                                   CRB Decision or the CRB Decision that said that
11
                                                              11
12
                 Which is what I think we saw here.
                                                              12
                                                                               Well I know what the CRB Decision
                 Okay. Thank you. With regard to the
13
                                                              13
                                                                   says. Are you aware of any contractual
14
     issues regarding DragonBall Z, in your
                                                              14
                                                                   assignment of those rights?
     communications and in your organization's
15
                                                             15
                                                                              Other then that which may have been
     communications, did Fox Family or ABC Family ever
                                                                   relied upon by the CRB in making that ruling, no.
16
                                                             16
                                                                              Okay. And are you aware of any
17
     provide any agreements that had regarding an
                                                             17
     entitlement to collect royalties for DragonBall Z
                                                                   particular documents that the CRB relied on in
18
                                                             18
    form 1999 to 2009?
                                                                  making that ruling in 1997?
19
                                                             19
                 In this proceeding I don't believe
                                                                        А
                                                                              No, I was not a party to that
                                                             20
     that we asked Fox Family for such documents.
                                                                  proceeding.
22
                 Okay. And they certainly didn't
                                                                              Right. Now with regard to -- just to
```

93

provide any then, right, if you didn't ask them?

- clarify again -- well, never mind, I already
- Thank you. With regard to let's see,
  - you said that you're familiar with membership
- Yes, please.

Do I need to look at this Mr.

you were asked some questions about IPG 22.

Okay, hang on, give me a second then. 10

Exhibit 22 rather.

Α Boydston?

11 Do you -- is that volume one?

Correct.

- 12
- 13 Yes. I think it's volume one. Okay.
- 14 Yes. ves.
- 15 Now you were asked questions about
- that and actually maybe you don't need to look at 16
- it that much, but anyway I'm glad you have it in 17
- 18 front of you just for reference.
- 19 Now my understanding is that -- are
- 20 you aware of any -- I'm not aware of anything.
- 21 Are you aware of anything in the record that
- establishes that there was some sort of an

- clarified it.
- With regard to Screenrights and EGEDA,
- agreements that they have.
- - Now when you said that membership
- agreements, what is that exactly? And I think I
- have a good guess, but.
- That means that when one becomes
- associated as a member of either of those two
- CMOs, one would normally fill in a membership
- agreement. And Screenrights attached an exemplar
- of their membership agreement to the letter they
- sent to me which we discussed earlier this
- 16 morning.
- 17 And EGEDA membership agreements I have
- 18 seen -- I've seen them in the course of my
- professional career. 19
  - Okay. And do those membership
- 21 agreements based upon your understanding of them,
- I assume that they -- and I am assuming as I'm

97

```
asking the question.
```

```
JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, I'm

sorry to interrupt, but so far every question

you've asked since the break, you've said, you

know, I don't know, but do you know.

MR. BOYDSTON: I'll stop.

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Because

what you know or don't know or what you assume or
```

9 don't assume is really not -
MR. BOYDSTON: Irrelevant.

11 JUDGE BARRETT: Relevant.

MR. BOYDSTON: Correct. What do the

13 membership agreements provide? And what I mean

14 by that more specifically is let's say I'm a

15 producer in Australia and I sign a membership

16 agreement with Screenrights. Does that mean that

17 then Screenrights will take claim applications

18 from me for royalties?

MS. PLOVNICK: I'm going to object.

 $20\,$   $\,$  Ms. Saunders is just testifying from her own

21 knowledge. And unless she knows, I mean, this is

22 just a hypothetical situation that may be beyond

agreements that EGEDA has?

2 A They're not -- they are -- in this

3 respect, they are similar. Except that in the

4 EGEDA membership agreements that I have seen,

5 there's a specific assignment to EGEDA of those

6 rights.

Moreover, as I said the Spanish law

8 presumptively designates EGEDA as the

9 representative of Spanish producers with respect

10 to cable transmission rights in Spain.

11 Q Understood. Does the MPAA have those
12 membership agreements for EGEDA and Screenrights?

13 A Other then -- no. No. we do not. The

14 Screenrights as I said was attached to their

15 letter. So I have that one. But I do not have

16 one for EGEDA.

17

Q Okay. And obviously not -- other then

18 the individuals ones that have been presented,

19 clearly not all of them have been presented.

20 A Clearly not all of them.

21 Q Do you have a sense as to how many

22 different individual claimants there are in this

98

her personal knowledge. So I object.

2 MR. BOYDSTON: And if it is, so be it.

3 I'm -- she said she was familiar with the

4 membership agreements. I'm just trying to ask

5 what they're --

JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled.

7 MS. SAUNDERS: So in the membership

8 agreement, the language that I recall, and I can

9 look at it more specifically if you'd like,

10 refers to the fact that claimants -- that

11 claimants understand or authorize, and I think

12 it's more strongly then that. I think it's that

13 they authorize Screenrights to claim royalties in

14 Australia and elsewhere in the world, on their

15 behalf.

I do not know how claimants who sign

17 that agreement then confirm their titles to

8 Screenrights.

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

20 Q Okay. How about EGEDA? Is there a

21 similar -- when you said membership agreements,

22 were you also meaning similar membership

1 proceeding for EGEDA?

A For EGEDA I think there are a great

3 many. I don't -- based on the certification

4 reports that I've seen. And for Screenrights I

5 do not know.

6 Q Okay. And with regard to contracts,

again, there's no -- correct me if I'm wrong, but

8 there's nothing in the record demonstrating a

9 contract between EGEDA and Fintage, correct?

10 That you're aware of?

11 A Actually that is not correct. I am

12 aware of the joint letter that was sent to the

13 Copyright Office that confirms that Fintage is

14 the designated representative of EGEDA.

15 And inferring from that letter that

16 WSG and Fintage were previously both

17 representative of EGEDA.

Q I understand it's a fine point. But

19 correct me if I'm wrong, I don't see any contract

20 between EGEDA and Fintage. Are you aware of a

21 contract between EGEDA and Fintage?

MS. PLOVNICK: Objection, Your Honor.

104

101

I feel that -- well, I'll just say. He is again saying what he sees or what he knows. He's also asking Ms. Saunders to characterize the entire record and she can -- so can he please direct her to specific documents or to what kind of term or scope he's going for here. I mean she can't comment on the whole record. JUDGE BARRETT: I believe the question was are you aware of? 11 MS. SAUNDERS: I'm sorry, repeat that 12 question please Mr. Boydston? 13 MR. BOYDSTON: Are you aware of the existence of a contract between EGEDA and 14 15 Fintage? 16 MS. SAUNDERS: Fintage wrote to me to 17 say that they had a representation agreement with

EGEDA. So in that capacity I'm aware. I have

Understood. And we talked about the

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

membership agreements between EGEDA and its

efforts that you described to prepare for this

2 proceeding and in the aftermath of receiving

3 IPG's rebuttal statement, do you recall

4 approximately when that was?

5 They were filed in the middle of

6 October. But do you remember when you were

provided with them?

8 A I'm sorry, when I was provided with

9 the rebuttal? IPG's rebuttal? I do not recall.

10 I am so sorry.

11 Q Okay. Does mid-October sound

12 familiar?

13 A Yes. I mean what are we now? Did I

14 know that the direct cases were filed in May,

15 we're now in December, that sounds fair.

16 Q And I understand that the time was

17 difficult as it was for all of us. You said that

18 some of the people you contacted said they didn't

19 have records any longer?

20 A I said one person that I spoke with --

Q Oh, I'm sorry.

22 A Did not. Said they could not produce

102

members if you will. And the membership

not seen that contract, no sir.

agreements between Screenrights and its members

3 if you will.

18

19

20

A Um-hum.

9 Q And I understand that MPAA doesn't

 $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{G}}$  have those. Does MPAA have any other contracts

between EGEDA and its individual members?

A No. We do not.

9 Q And how about Screenrights?

10 A No, we do not.

11 Q Thank you.

12 A I -- Mr. Boydston, I forgot to mention

one thing with respect to EGEDA and their

14 agreement with Fintage. I actually have spoken

personally with representatives of EGEDA, the

 ${\tt 16}$  managing director who has confirmed to me

17 personally that he has an agreement with Fintage

18 for the years in question.

19 Q Did you ask him to produce it to you?

20 A No. We were having dinner.

dinner I would assume. With regard to your

those records because they were too old.

Q Okay. Were there other people you

3 contacted that just didn't respond at all?

A I infer that there were. We contacted

5 everyone. I received letters from only the ones

6 that -- from those that have been produced today.

7 Q Okay. Did you receive any documents

8 or any letters or anything back from anyone that

9 you did not produce in this proceeding?

0 A No, we did not.

11 Q Now, some of those entities responded

12 and they addressed some of the programs at issue,

13 , but not all, correct?

14 A I can't -- I'm so sorry, I can't

15 square to that.

16 Q Fair enough.

17 A I have to take your word for it.

18 Q CBS did not respond in any way

19 regarding the Late Show or the Emmy Awards, did

20 it?

21 A I do not recall seeing anything

22 concerning those programs. I don't believe we

1.07 105 respond Your Honor, is that I travel extensively are claiming the Emmy Show in this proceeding. and it would have taken far too much time for me So I would not have expected to see anything in to individually reach out to each claimant. I'm regards to that show. sure that would have been my counsel's My question was just that they didn't provide anything regarding it. Or did they? preference. JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. So your And my answer is the same. knowledge of what was in fact received --So is it no? 0 MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. That is a no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Comes from whether Okay, thanks. Now with regard to the show Critter Gitters and the company Litton, did counsel's providing the -- providing the 10 you ask Litton for confirmation that it had the 11 responsive documents to you or the responsive 12 documents came directly to you? rights to Critter Gitters? MS. SAUNDERS: The responsive 1.3 I assumed that my counsel did as I 13 instructed. 14 documents were all sent to me. 14 JUDGE STRICKLER: As far as you know? 15 Okay. Are you aware of any kind of 15 MS. SAUNDERS: Sir ves. The 16 confirmation from Litton to that regard? 16 17 I am not. 17 responsive documents that I have in my possession were sent to me and they are in this record. 18 With regard to --1.8 JUDGE STRICKLER: Excuse me, can I JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 19 19 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 20 just interrupt for a second? 20 21 Back to CBS. CBS did not give any MR. BOYDSTON: Sure. 21 22 JUDGE STRICKLER: You said you assume 22 confirmation that it owned the rights to 106 108 your counsel contacted them as you instructed. Singsation either, did it? In all of these instances that you're talking 2 Not to me. about, did you instruct counsel to make the Do you know if they gave it anybody else? MS. SAUNDERS: I did. I instructed my А I do not know. counsel to confirm. And the letters were sent to 6 With regard to Transworld Incorporated. I believe that they provided a me Your Honor. confirmation that they did -- they addressed the MR. BOYDSTON: Staying -- oh, sorry. 8 JUDGE STRICKLER: You instructed issue with regarding to Healthy Living Mysteries 9 of the Mind, correct. counsel to check with the copyright owners? 10 10 1.1 MS. SAUNDERS: That was simply yes, 11 Α Yes. Not the Jane Seymour work, but the other work. sir. Yes sir. 12 12 And I -- by the Jane Seymour work, why 13 JUDGE STRICKLER: And did you direct 13 0 do you say it that way? I think I know what -- how do you know whether or not counsel 14 14 you're referring to, but what I think is not 19 received the responses as opposed to you not --15 you didn't receive the response? 16 important of course. 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

don't recall.

Q

MS. SAUNDERS: Well, that's a good

The reason that I asked my counsel to

point. And I don't know if counsel. I assume

I -- but I obviously was not in his office.

that my counsel, as my counsel would have shared

those responses with me had he received them. So

TWI did not respond with regard to

I would have to look at their

Well, let's do that real quick.

Healthy Living Parenting Beyond, did it?

correspondence. I'm so sorry Mr. Boydston, I

17

18

19

20 21

111 109 the letter into the record. Okav. 2 MS. PLOVNICK: I have an objection. А Just take a look at it. My question I think his mischaracterizing the Millen 3 -- my original question was did they address Affidavit. I don't recall Healthy Living Healthy Living Beyond --Parenting and Beyond even in that declaration. 6 And I --MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think Excuse me, I almost said Beyond Parenting. That would be a curious title. that's argument. And they can make that 8 All right, something about Parenting. 9 argument. 10 10 MS. PLOVNICK: But I mean, so anyway, I was asking about if they addressed I think that this is Irrelevant to the extent it 11 Healthy Living Parenting Beyond. 12 I do not see any reference to that said title Healthy Living Parenting and Beyond. It's not the title that's been addressed here. 13 MR. BOYDSTON: And I disagree and I 14 0 Thank you. And at no --14 And I assume that's an episode? Is 15 think that's an argument not an objection. 15 16 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. We'll let 16 that fair? 17 Mr. Boydston finish his case. 17 0 Well, I'm not supposed to answer the 18 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 questions. Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Of course. Of 19 Please take a look at Exhibit 352. 19 Α 20 That's the --20 course. I ask them and you do. With regard to 21 MS. SAUNDERS: I had it open right 21 the Healthy Living series of Jane Seymour, you 22 here. 110 112 BY MR. BOYDSTON: already said that that's not being claimed and that certainly wasn't addressed in this letter or Oh, great. these materials, correct? Now, looking at that letter, I see The Jane Seymour work was referenced they addressed Healthy Living Mysteries of the in the materials only in regard to the fact that Mr. Hochberg's client TWI is not claiming that б Mind on the first page of the letter. Um-hum. Jane Seymour work. They mentioned it a couple of times. Understood. That was consistent with 8 And I don't see -- and then I look at the third 9 your prior answer, yes. page, which is another letter dated October 3. 10 Okav. Yes. 10 2003, which addresses the same show, Healthy 11 11 Are you aware that those are -- that's Living Mysteries of the Mind. owned by Five Star? 12 12 13 Looking through the rest of this I'm not aware of that. 1.3 14 Exhibit, I didn't see any other Healthy Living Okay. Are you familiar with the 14 titles referenced. And so, looking at that, I entity IWV and it's ownership of Healthy Living 15 renew my question, I don't believe they addressed 16 Parenting and Beyond? 17 the issues about Healthy Living Parenting and Only that IWV is the claimant 17 18 Beyond. Would you agree with me? indicated in the Exhibit 3 of this -- of Mr. 18 19 So my understanding Mr. Boydston is 19 Hochberg's letter of November 26. And the 20 now you actually want me to read this letter into 20 reference under Healthy Living, the description 21 the record or you do not? of the show with Jane Seymour indicates IWV Media 21 22 No, no, no, I don't want you to read 22 Group as it does on the following page.

116

113

```
Okay. I'm going to ask you to -- I'm
     sorry, I'm just checking my notes so I don't ask
     a question twice. It's always a good idea.
                 Please take a look at Exhibit 345.
    And these are the certifications, the first one
    is calendar year 2003, Satellite.
                 Um-hum.
                 We've talked about these at length.
           0
                 Um-hum.
                 And moving to the list of programs
10
    which appear at the second pages and following.
11
12
                 And just to frame it, you testified
    and it's very clear now. These lists were
     generated by many of these third party vendors, I
     don't who they are. And then provided by to the
17
18
          Α
                 IIm-hum.
19
                 And then the third party vendor's
20
    information was passed on to the MPAA.
21
          А
                 Um-hum. Yes.
```

already covered extensively. He's crossed her

2 now, I think this is the third time on this

3 topic.

MR. BOYDSTON: I just -- as I said,

5 there were a lot of questions about this. I'm

6 just quickly confirming it and I'm going to move

on.

8 She said correct. But now she wanted

to say something else.

10 JUDGE BARRETT: Go ahead.

MS. SAUNDERS: I'm sorry.

JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is

13 overruled. Let's just get this done.

14 MS. SAUNDERS: So, Mr. Boydston. As

15 I have said many times now, Exhibit B was

16 prepared from MPAA certification reports very

17 full stuff. Certification reports were returned

18 to the MPAA. That is the basis for Exhibit B.

19 Those titles are what is in Exhibit B.

20 MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. As a result, to

21 the extent that there were programs in the list

in Exhibit 45 that were crossed out, is it then

114

in 345 and the other ones as well, my

Now, with regard to these lists here

understanding from your testimony is that those

3 were used to create Exhibits A and B to your

testimony.

5 A Correct.

Q And I'm asking again because there's now a lot of questions. As you know, there was

8 kind of a blizzard of questions about that. And

9 I got confused.

10 So that's all I just wanted to

11 confirm. Now -- and I think you said correct.

12 A I -- what's I'm saying Mr. Boydston is

13 --

14 MR. MacLEAN: Objection.

MS. SAUNDERS: Oh, go ahead.

MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I don't see

17 how this witness can testify where it was correct

18 that Mr. Boydston was confused.

19 MR. BOYDSTON: Humor appreciated.

20 JUDGE BARRETT: Noted.

MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I also just

22 object that we're retreading ground that we've

true that those that are crossed out would not

2 appear in Appendix B?

3 MS. SAUNDERS: If those titles had

4 been crossed out, yes it -- you're correct, they

5 would not have been -- those titles, the marked

out titles would not be in Exhibit B.

7 MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. Thank you.

8 JUDGE STRICKLER: And when you said

9 Exhibit B, you mean Appendix B, just so the

10 record is clear.

MS. SAUNDERS: I'm sorry, yes. I'm

12 sorry Your Honor. Exhibit B to both my --

JUDGE STRICKLER: No, Appendix.

14 MS. SAUNDERS: Cable and Satellite

direct testimonies.

16 JUDGE STRICKLER: Appendix A and

17 Appendix B.

18 MS. SAUNDERS: Appendix A and Appendix

19 B. Yes. Now I am also getting confused.

20 BY MR. BOYDSTON:

21 Q And you said in response to my

22 question you said Appendix B was prepared from

119 117 certification face page and the list following. these lists --That was about 5,800 pages. 2 Δ The titles. That excluded Appendix A. And I And the cable certifications were about 3,400 pages. So that's a lot of pages assume that was intentional? No. it was not intentional. I thought obviously. It's over 9,000 pages. So were all those pages gone through we were focusing on the titles. 6 Okay. Thank you. With regard to manually and the information derived from it and then typed into this list that became Appendix A Appendix A which was the owners, was that list in and a list that became Appendix B? Was that how your -- was Appendix A to your testimony created from the -- from these lists attached to Exhibit it was done? 11 345, et cetera? 11 I do not know. My counsel prepared those exhibits Mr. Boydston. And remember, we're 12 The information in Exhibit A was 12 dealing with an enormous number of royalty years. 13 compiled based on the information on the cover 13 So it was unfortunate but not surprising that 14 page, the certificate of entitle. 14 15 Understood. 15 there would be that many pages. Fair to understand that it would be MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, the witness 16 16 that many pages. Did you ever have any is -- he hasn't shown her these Exhibits. 17 1.7 They're admitted MPAA Exhibits 309 and 310 and discussions with counsel in which they indicated 18 18 the Appendices are attached. And I think he's to you how it was that they prepared that? 19 confusing her as to which one is A and which one 20 MS. PLOVNICK: Objection. It's 21 privileged. So I mean I can redirect, however, I 120 just -- you know, if they're here, she can look 1 MR. BOYDSTON: Sorry, Your Honor, withdrawn. at them and that might make all of us you know, be able to see and be clear. So I --And those Appendices were Appendices 3 MR. BOYDSTON: Ma'am, do you to your testimony that was prepared I think in 4 understand what I'm talking about? Are you the spring of this year, correct? 5 clear? Or would it help to look at those? MS. SAUNDERS: The cases were filed in б MS. SAUNDERS: So let me try to sum this up. Certification -- the certifi -- the BY MR. BOYDSTON: certification of entitlement, which indicates the claimants' names, those names are in Exhibits A 10 So it would have been prepared before to each of my cable and my Satellite direct 11 the direct cases were filed is my --12 testimony, which I think are 309 and 310 as filed 12 Okay. And obviously before that -- it was before that that Mr. Whitt gave the hard 13 in this proceeding. 13 drive to you. I think you said it was 2010, is 14 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 14 Understood. 15 15 that correct? Ms. Kessler retired in 2010. I have 16 Okay. 16 said that. I do not recall any longer if I have Okay and I think we already clarified 17 17 B. so I won't belabor that. 18 -- I don't believe I've said when Mr. Whitt gave 18 us that hard drive. And I don't believe I 19 19 Now, our review of the certifications recall. 20 20 indicated that the satellite certifications ran 21 Okay.

Whenever we terminated Mr. Whitt's

to about 5,800 pages. And that includes both the

121 123 claim. services or we -- you know, he retired, --Well, I appreciate that and let me 0 Right. just ask a different question. We would have obtained the hard drive at that time. Did they ever address the fact that · Q Yes. And it was at least a couple of their representation -- that they terminated years ago, right? their representation at one time with Fintage? More then a couple. No. They do not. I'm not aware of Thank you. With regard to Fintage, did Fintage -- I don't believe Fintage that. 10 Okay. With regard -- let's look at ever addressed -- well, strike that. 10 the next Exhibit, which is 347 regarding 11 11 Do you have an understanding as to Screenrights. And now I believe they make a 12 whether or not Fintage ever addressed the issue claim for Buck McNeely, The Outdoorsman in 2009. 13 of whether it had a current contract for this time period we're at here, '99 to 2009, with TV 14 Is that your understanding? Actually, well, I think I may be Azteca or Televisa? 15 confusing here and I don't want to be. The 16 If I could refer to Fintage's letter, 16 second page of their letter references The 17 I think that --17 Outdoorsman with Buck McNeely, right? 18 1.8 Oh, yes, please have --Yes it does. 19 That has --19 Now in the materials following this, There are two things about Fintage, 20 20 there aren't any of these materials following 21 there's a -- yes, let's look at their letter. There's their letter and their certification. that second page. The deal with the Buck McNeely 124 122 Here it is. Okay. program, are there? Certainly let's look at their letter. Well, let me just have a look. I Oh, no I -- yes, let's look at their letter which believe these are simply agreements with the relevant entities that are their members. is 346. Conveniently the next number. Α And in the letter they indicate that IIm-hum. they are claiming the series The Outdoorsman on What I know I not important. I will tell you I didn't see one, but I'm often behalf of one of those members whose membership form is attached to their letter. Which is the incorrect. Just ask my wife. 8 I'm sorry, your question is? ATA Trading Corporation. 9 Whether or not Fintage ever addressed 10 Okay. And I see the ATA Trading 10 Corporation document. But I don't see anything 11 the issue as to whether or not it had a current 12 under it that references The Outdoorsman. 12 -- a right to collect copyright royalties for TV No, there's no entitles attached to 13 A Azteca and Televisa for the time period in

14

15 16

17

18

19

this at all.

0

It is what it is.

CBS Broadcasting.

Okay. So I'll leave that as it is.

Let's look at Exhibit 348 regarding

Now we've covered this already, some

of this already I believe. Now there is some

information attached to this about regarding

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

question here in these proceedings?

21, 2014, Fintage tells me that they have

1, 2003 with Televisa and a representation

agreement dated March 2004 between TV Azteca.

agreements -- but I'm happy to look at them to

see if they indicate the period of time of the

attached a representation agreement dated January

I do not recall whether those

Well in the Fintage letter of November

127 125 Martha Stewart Living, correct? Stewart Living. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, there is. 2 Α Is there any reason why there's You're right. And I think that goes nothing from Martha Stewart Living itself? from 2001 forward, correct? Hang on. Well there is a letter Excuse me, let's see what does that attached to Martha Stewart Living from Eyemark say? Well CBS tells me that they have rights to claim as of 2000. The Eyemark letter to Martha Entertainment. Stewart Living is dated 1986 and it was signed Why I -- there's nothing here from ý Martha Stewart Living itself that I see. And I A distribution agreement with Eyemark don't think -- and my question, is there a reason 1.0 why that is? But it certainly is some reason and Martha Stewart Living/Time TV is dated 1995. 11 11 So it proceeds 2000. 12 12 Oh, and Martha Stewart herself signed 13 I don't know. I believe -- I don't 13 one of these documents. How -- on October 25. know. I don't know. It may have seemed 14 14 unnecessary given the license agreement. 1996. 15 15 Okay. But why --16 Yes. I see that as well. Let's move 16 onto Exhibit 349 regarding 20th Century Fox and CBS Broadcasting is not normally an 17 17 entity that one would consider to make false 18 specifically the letter addresses AFI Life 18 Achievement Award, a Tribute to Barbara assumptions about their rights. 19 20 MR. BOYDSTON: Move to strike the last Streisand. comment. It's Beyond the scope and it's an 21 Now is it your understanding that AFI is the underlying owner of this entity -- of this 126 128 1 But let me ask you this. The property rather? agreement between -- and there is no agreement in Well. let me just see Mr. Boydston, 2 hang on a second. I read all these at one time. the record or attached to this Exhibit between CBS and Martha Stewart, correct? I apologize my recollection does not stretch so MS. SAUNDERS: There is no -- there is that I can recall these things perfectly. JUDGE FEDER: Which Exhibit are we on no agreement between --MS. PLOVNICK: Objection, this MR. BOYDSTON: We are on 349. misconstrues the evidence. MR. BOYDSTON: Well I think it JUDGE FEDER: Thank you. MS. SAUNDERS: So, as I understand it, correctly states the state we are in. 10 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. 11 the producer is AFI -- the producer is AFI of 11 12 MS. SAUNDERS: Eyemark -- Eyemark has 12 this -- so AFI is the producer of this work. I 13 -- an agreement with Eyemark is attached. 13 would say yes, it appears that they are the 14 Eyemark being the entity that -- this is a 14 owner. complicated one. 15 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 15 16 So. Martha Stewart Living is otherwise 16 And therefore they should be listed as known as Time TV Ventures Productions. And there the owner on appropriate documents, correct? 17 17 Well, no. They are the producer who 18 is an agreement between Eyemark Entertainment and 18 Martha -- sorry, MSL, Martha Stewart has assigned the rights. So the fact that they 19 19 own the show as I previously stated, does not 20 Living/Timemark TV Ventures. 21 So I see -- believe you can conclude 21 indicate whether or not they have the right to receive cable or satellite retransmission that this is -- there is an agreement with Martha

129 131 royalties. Now and my question is to you will be as follows and it may require you to look through I realize that, but they are the owner 2 0 and if something demands that the owner be 345. With regard to --JUDGE BARRETT: 345 is marked as listed, then they would be the ones to be listed, would they not? restricted. If something demanded, the owner of 6 MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, I'm sorry. JUDGE BARRETT: Those of you in the what Mr. Boydston? The show -courtroom who have not signed the confidentiality The owner of the show. agreement, please wait out in the anteroom, thank As opposed to the retransmission royalties? 10 MR. BOYDSTON: Now I believe you 11 Yes. If there's -- yes, correct. 11 testified that you attempted to address I did not know that show ownership was 12 12 everything that's been brought up with regard to the subject of these proceedings. I thought it 13 13 was retransmission royalties? these various certifications in terms of 14 14 demonstrating the rights to collect the materials My question is if the owner of the 15 15 show is supposed to be indicated, then should not that are represented in these certifications, 16 16 AFI be indicated with regard to this particular 17 correct? 17 MS. PLOVNICK: Objection. That 18 show? 18 MS. PLOVNICK: Objection. What is 19 mischaracterizes Ms. Saunders' testimony. 19 20 supposed to be, clarify please the question. MR. BOYDSTON: Let me ask it in a 20 21 JUDGE BARRETT: Objection sustained. different way. We're not dealing with ownership here Mr. 22 MS. PLOVNICK: Thank you. 130 132 1. Boydston. MR. BOYDSTON: Now in 345, there is reference to Buck McNeely, The Outdoorsman. 2 MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. There's no MS. SAUNDERS: I'm sorry, 345 is Phil dispute that AFI is the owner of this particular 3 Hochberg's Transworld International. Do you mean property a Tribute to Barbara Streisand, correct? 4 5 MS. SAUNDERS: There's not dispute 347? 6 that AFI is the producer. MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, I do, I apologize. MS. PLOVNICK: Objection. We're not MS. SAUNDERS: Okay. dealing with -- the Judge just ruled we're not JUDGE STRICKLER: And we're not on 9 dealing with ownership and he's now asked her to 9 10 identify the owner. 10 MR. BOYDSTON: No, I'm sorry. Give me 11 JUDGE BARRETT: We're done with the 11 just a second. I don't think it's 347 either. 12 topic. You may be seated Ms. Plovnick. 12 I'm sorry. Let's look at 352. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 13 MS. SAUNDERS: Is this one restricted? 14 Let's look at Exhibit 52. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: No, it's not. We can MS. SAUNDERS: Which -- who's Exhibit 15 15 invite the gallery back in. 16 52? Your Exhibit 52? 16 MS. SAUNDERS: Okav.

17

18

19

20

21

Exhibit 127.

MR. BOYDSTON: While everyone's filing

(Whereupon, the above-referred to

document was marked as IPG Exhibit No.

back in. I'd like to ask that this be marked as

127 for identification.)

we go to 352.

17

18

19

21

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

Oh, I see. Okay.

let's see here. Let's go to 345 briefly before

I'm sorry, 352. I'm sorry.

Actually before we do that -- well,

133

```
MR. BOYDSTON: Take a look at this.
     I'll represent to you that this is an excerpt if
     you will of the MPAA production in response to
     the Judge's Order of July 30, 2014.
                 MS SAUNDERS, IIm-hum.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Judge Strickler, this
     would be sort of a miniature version in the sense
     of what we discussed, or what you had mentioned
     yesterday. It is not what you'd said, but it's
     similar. It's not as complete.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Okav.
12
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                 And I'd ask you to look at the second
     page, which is numbered 64 and it includes at the
15
     bottom several entries for Transworld
16
     International. And I'm concerned with the last
17
18
                And then next half dozen or so
19
    following on the following page which reference
     Healthy Living Parenting Beyond, 10, 11, 7 and 8,
20
```

9 and then Healthy Living Today's Health.

tīm - hum .

```
is identified. And it is -- and there's a hand
     -- it's handwritten, but it is identified in the
     lower left hand corner. The pages I was asking
     about concern the royalty year 2004.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Whose handwriting is
     2004?
                 MR. BOYDSTON: That is Mr. Galaz's
     handwriting. And then if you'd -- at the third
     page, you'll see the handwritten numbers 2005.
     And that represents the 2005 broadcast year.
11
                 So I believe my question before the
12
     objections was based on this -- these pages that
13
     I reference and the references to Transworld
14
     International and Healthy Living Today, Today's
15
     Health and Healthy Living Parenting and Beyond,
16
     is it true that this indicates that the MPAA is
17
```

MR. BOYDSTON: For clarification, it

I thought this Exhibit was being used to refresh recollection?

MR. BOYDSTON: It still is.

making a claim for those programs in 2004?

MR. MacLEAN: Objection Your Honor.

134

18

19

20

21

```
Now, my understanding is based upon it
     being in this document, that these are programs
     for which the MPAA is making claim, correct?
                 MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Your Honor,
 5
     is IPG 127 being offered into evidence?
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Right now it's just for
 6
     reference to refresh her recollection as to what
     was in the large document.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: If it's just to
     refresh her recollection --
10
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Well, I have an
11
     objection also too. This does not identify
12
     particular royalty year at issue. And I mean,
13
     the information that we produced that I believe
14
15
     this was drawn from, would have been linked to
16
     particular royalty years.
                 So you could easily connect them with
17
     the certification that -- well you know, there
     are different titles for each royalty year.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Plovnick, it
20
21
    hasn't been offered yet.
```

MS. PLOVNICK: All right.

1 JUDGE BARRETT: Well then --

2 MR. BOYDSTON: I was just stating my

3 question.

4 JUDGE BARRETT: If you claim it had,

6 knowledge. Then show her this, ask her if this

refreshes her a bit. That she has knowledge that

8 she cannot recall. Then find out if this helps

9 to refresh her recollection.

10 But not ask if based upon this

11 document she would testify to something.

MR. BOYDSTON: Understood your Honor.

13 I apologize for the shortcut. We've talked about

14 this a lot. And that's why I made an assumption

15 I shouldn't have.

16 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I think

17 MPAA Exhibit 345 would certainly help Ms.

18 Saunders refresh her recollection if they turn to

19 the certification to cover the years.

20 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, I'm asking

21 something different if I may?

JUDGE BARRETT: You may. Just --

136

22

21

```
137
                                                                                                                    1.39
                                                                    claimed by MPAA.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: So let me do what you
                                                               2
                                                                                I appreciate that. I'm just asking
                                                                          0
     suggested Your Honor and make the foundation.
                                                                    now about the spreadsheet. Do you recall that
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Well. I didn't suggest
                                                                    the spreadsheet includes those claims?
     that I think it's going to be into evidence.
                                                                                I do not. I recall the spreadsheet
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry. I'm sorry,
                                                                    was prepared and I have seen the first page which
     Your Honor.
                 Ms. Saunders, we have -- we've been --
                                                                    is attached to one of these many Exhibits. But I
                                                                    do not recall that.
     the questions and answers have been asked about
     the spreadsheet that was provided by the MPAA in
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: Very reasonable. It's
                                                                    a big document. Now, Your Honor, I'd like to
     response to the Judge's Order of July 30, 2014.
     Do you recall that?
                                                               11
                                                                    refer the witness to Exhibit 127 to attempt to
12
                 MS. SAUNDERS: I do.
                                                               12
                                                                    refresh her recollection.
1.3
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                                                               13
                                                                                JUDGE STRICKLER: You mean IPG 127?
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. IPG 127. It's
14
                 And do you recall the big spreadsheet
                                                              14
15
     that was produced that you said at great cost and
                                                              15
                                                                    not been admitted yet. No, this is what I just
                                                                    handed you. This is the -- Ms. Saunders?
16
     great hassle, was another word.
                                                              16
1.7
                 Yes. Well, not for me, but yes.
                                                              17
                                                                                MS. SAUNDERS: Yes?
                 In response thereto. Do you recall
                                                               18
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: This is the --
18
                                                                                MS. SAUNDERS: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm
19
     that spreadsheet?
                                                               19
                                                                    sorry. Okay. Yes, sorry. Got it, okay.
                                                              20
20
           Α
                 Yes. ves.
                                                                                MS. PLOVNICK: I thought we were just
21
                                                              21
           0
                 Yes or not?
22
                 Yes. I do.
                                                                    looking at that and now he's refreshing her
                                                     138
                                                                                                                   140
                 Okay. And do you have something there
                                                                   recollection. I mean, I'm really -- I'm totally
     that you would, yes?
                                                                   confused as to what's being refreshed?
                 I'm sorry?
                                                               3
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: I'm trying to refresh
                 You have familiarity with it, yes?
                                                               4
                I'm sorry, with this that you just
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: Her recollection of
                                                               5
    handed me Exhibit?
                                                                   the contents of the spreadsheet Ms. Plovnick.
                No. Do you have familiarity with the
                                                                               BY MR. BOYDSTON:
    big spreadsheet that was prepared in response to
                                                                               Now. looking down here at the second
                                                               8
                                                                   page of this Exhibit, which is marked as 64 and
     the Judge's Order?
                                                               9
                                                                   the third page marked as 65, does that refresh
10
                Yes, ves, ves. Okay, I'm sorry.
                                                              10
                Okay. And do you recall that that big
                                                                   your recollection that the spreadsheet indicated
11
                                                              11
    spreadsheet included claims for Transworld
12
```

International, Inc., for Healthy Living Parenting

recall that? 17

Not off of the top of my head I don't. 18

But I believe that we could compare with the 19

20 attachments or the Appendices to my testimony,

21 respectively A and B and confirm whether and for

what years this claimant and those shows are 22

12 these years making a claim for Transworld International, Inc. on programs Healthy Living Parenting, several of them, and Healthy Living Today's Health, several of them? 15 16 It does not Mr. Boydston, because 17 first of all the certification you've asked me to 18 look at under 345 refers only to cable and 19 satellite royalties for year 2003. The spreadsheet you've handed me, Exhibit 127 refers 20 to royalties starting in 2004, '05 through '09. 21 22 What is relevant, and I thought we had

13

14

144

141

```
established this in chapter and verse yesterday,
2
    is -- are the shows that are attached to my
```

- testimony in Exhibits B, those are the titles in
- -- designated by royalty year, for which we are 4
- asserting a claim in this proceeding.
- This Excel spreadsheet, Exhibit 127
- does not -- is not the representation to my
- knowledge, I cannot tell you whether this is a
- compendium of every title for every year that we
- 10 have claimed. I can tell you that Exhibit B is.
- 11 JUDGE STRICKLER: I just want to make
- 12 sure I'm following you Ms. Saunders. You said
- 13 Exhibit 345 does not refer to calendar year 2004.
- 14 But it seems to me that it covers 2003 and 2004
- and perhaps other years as well. 15
- 16 MS. SAUNDERS: Well, I apologize Your
- 17 Honor, it does. I did not see the other
- 18 certifications of entitlement. Yes, there's one
- for '03, there's one for '04. And it does have
- on these certification reports, Today's Health.
- 22 the thread about what we're talking about.

And I'm a little -- maybe I'm using

- the relevant pages I want to ask you about.
- 2 And my original question was in that
- big enormous thing, did it not include Transworld
- International claims for Healthy Living Parenting
- and Beyond and Today's Health. And you said I
- can't remember. Which is very reasonable in a
- big document like that.
- 8 So now I have provided you with just
- 9 the relevant pages --
- 10 You've showed me.
- Now I'm just providing you the 3.1 0
- 12 relevant pages --
- Δ 13 Yes, okay.
- Of Exhibit 125. And my question is 14
- does that refresh your recollection that the big 15
- giant behemoth does include the claims we see 16
  - here on page 64 and 65?
- 18 I have no recollection about the
- 19 behemoth Exhibit to which you've just referred
- 20 other then it exists. And when I look at the
- certification report here in 345 for royalty year
- 2004. I do see, and I can confirm that MK has

142

17

- JUDGE STRICKLER: Maybe he needs to -now that you acknowledge that those documents are
- there, maybe he can ask you the question again.
- MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. Yes.
- JUDGE STRICKLER: Mr. Boydston 'do you
- want to ask her that again?
- MS. SAUNDERS: Now let me see if my --
- MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, thank you, Your
- Honor.

21

1

- 10 My question again is very specific Ms.
- 11 Saunders.
- 12 MS. SAUNDERS: Um-hum.
- BY MR. BOYDSTON: 13
- 14 Q Right now I'm really not asking you
- 15 about 345, okay.
- 16
- I'm asking you about the spreadsheet. 17
- 18 And if we had the spreadsheet here it would be
- like this in its complete format, right? 19
  - Α Um-hum.
- And that would be kind of hard. So 21
- what I've provided here in Exhibit 127 is just

- asserted a claim for Healthy Living, Today's
- Health, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.
- 3 I do not see in 2004, despite the fact
- that it appears on this spreadsheet that you have
- just handed me as 127. I do not see any reference
- to the show -- the series title Parenting and
- Beyond.
- And actually -- yes, 8 and 7 and 6,
- Today's Health, 6, 7, 8, appear on the 127. And
- they appear in my 2004 certification report. 10
- I'm sorry, when you said 6, 7, 8, 12. you're referring to Today's Health?
- Yes. Today's Health, yes. 13
- 14
- But there -- I'm looking at Exhibit
- 15 345, is not --
- 16 Oh, I'm so sorry, you're absolutely
- right. On the very next page -- it would help if 17
- 18 you would point me to these things Mr. Boydston.
- 19 Yes. I see that we have a claim here
- for Healthy Living Parenting and Beyond 4, 5, and 20
- 21 6.
- Okay. But not 7 or 8 or 9.

```
145
                                                                                                                    147
                                                                                MS. PLOVNICK: I'm confused as to what
                 Or 10 or 11 or all the ones you have
                                                                    all he is looking at here. And because if you
 2
                                                                    simply look through Exhibit 345 as Ms. Saunders
 3
                 Now, so it's quite clear that the
                                                                    was trying to do, all of these titles are here.
     behemoth if you will, the document produced in
                                                                                MS. SAUNDERS: I just found it. I
     response to the Judge's July 30 Order, is not
     consistent with the certifications, is it?
                                                                   just found 10, 11 and all the other's
                 MR. MacLEAN: Objection.
                                                                   charaterizing the obligation.
                                                                               MS. PLOVNICK: So it's really -- it's
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Objection.
                                                                   really -- so to me, I'm confused. I'm sure she's
                 MR. BOYDSTON: It must have been a
                                                               9
                                                              10
                                                                   confused. And I'm not sure that anything's.
     good one.
                                                                   either being refreshed or that -- I think she's
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Yes. Well, I have a
                                                              11
                                                                   -- anyway. So --
12
     couple here. First, this document --
                                                              12
13
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Which document?
                                                              1.3
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. Well go
                                                                   ahead Mr. Boydston.
14
                 MS. PLOVNICK: I'm looking at IPG
                                                              14
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. Ms. Saunders I
15
     Exhibit and I don't know what Exhibit you have --
                                                              15
                                                                   believe you may have found something additional?
                 MR. BOYDSTON: 127.
                                                              16
16
                                                                               MS. SAUNDERS: Yes I did. I just see
                                                              17
17
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Which is not admitted.
                                                                   on another page of the certification report under
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Which is not admitted.
                                                              18
18
                                                                   Exhibit 345 that the Healthy Parenting and
     Well, all right, well that got into another
19
                                                                   Beyond, there are many additions of Today's
20
     objection.
                                                                   Health and Parenting and Beyond claimed by this
21
                 MR. MacLEAN: That's my objection.
22
                 MS. PLOVNICK: I thought I missed
                                                     146
    that. All right, well so anyway this -- I'm not
                                                               1.
                                                                               JUDGE STRICKLER: What page are you
     clear exactly which royalty years are being
                                                               2
                                                                   on?
     addressed on which page. I know there's some
                                                                               MS. SAUNDERS: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
                                                                  I am on -- well. I was on a couple. Let's see. I
     handwritten notes and they're kind of clipped
     together and I'm not sure if I'm a hundred
                                                                   was on --
                                                                               JUDGE STRICKLER: Just the numbers
     percent clear.
                                                               6
                                                                  will đo.
                 But this hasn't impeached Ms. Saunders
                                                                               MS. SAUNDERS: C04426 and C04428 and
    hecause ...
                                                               8
                                                                   C04991. There might be another one, hang on.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: It was only offered to
                                                               9
                                                                               JUDGE STRICKLER: So now you're going
     refresh recollection. And I think Ms. Saunders
                                                              10
10
     has testified at least twice that it does not
                                                              11
                                                                   into 2005 as well, right?
11
                                                                               Ms. SAUNDERS: Oh yes, I'm up to 2000
     refresh her recollection.
12
                                                                   -- yes, that was through '05, that's correct. I
13
                MS. PLOVNICK: So I -- well, I object
                                                                   don't see -- at first glance I don't see any
     to its admission and I --
14
                 JUDGE BARRETT: It hasn't been
                                                              15
                                                                   other references in 2006 for that particular
     offered. Has it?
                                                              16
                                                                   title.
17
                MR. BOYDSTON: Not yet.
                                                              17
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, let me stop you
18
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
                                                              18
                                                                   there because I'm not interested in that one in
                MS. PLOVNICK: Well also, I object to
                                                                   just a moment. Let's just focus on 2004 in
19
                                                              19
                                                                   Exhibit 345 and Exhibit -- and 2004 in Exhibit
20
    the question that he asked her to make. Because
                                                              20
```

22

And Exhibit 127, the pages relevant to

21

22

it -- it misconstrued -- I mean, --

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, let --

149

```
2004 are the first one, where it's marked 2004
     and the second and the third. Not the fourth
     which is marked 2005 or anything after that.
                 MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Which would clear up
     any confusion about the year I hope.
                 MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Now, on the bottom of
     page 64, Parenting and Beyond --
10
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, this
1.1
     Exhibit is not admitted. We're not going to
     testify to the contents unless it's offered and
12
13
     admitted.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Well I'll off it for
14
15
     admission Your Honor.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: I object on all the
16
17
    basis I already articulated. It's -- I mean it's
    not clear to me that this document is reflective
18
    of what he -- that the foundation's been laid.
```

That it reflects what he's purported it is. What

JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.

years it even covers. They're all not clear.

```
possible there is a mistake in it? I would --
     it's possible. It's possible.
                 But yes, it is supposed to be -- the
     Excel spreadsheet is supposed to be a compilation
     of the certification reports and the titles that
     we claim, excepting that of course as I
     mentioned, the hard copy certifications provide
     additional information and deletions in terms of
     titles
                 So they are quite valuable as a
1.0
     reference, which is why we produced them.
11
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
12
                 Okay. I appreciate that answer. I
13
     think it helps clarify the record. And I hate to
14
```

do this in a way, but I have to. So is the

answer yes, it is the same, or no, it's not?

me be sure I understand. You are asking me to

tell you under oath that the Excel spreadsheet

prepared by my lawyers called MPAA Owner Title

perfect record of every title to which MPAA has

certified entitlement to claim royalties in this

2000 to 2009 is in fact a perfect copy, or a

You are asking me to tell you -- let

150

15

16

17

18

1 MR. BOYDSTON: I'll ask one last question on this because I'm confused as what the answers may have been. And it may have been elicited perfectly and I just don't remember. And if so I apologize. And so I want to make sure I get it right. Is it your understanding that the spreadsheet produced in response to the July 30 Order is consistent with the program lists in 10 document 345 and others similar to it? 11 MS. PLOVNICK: Objection, asked and 12 answered. 13 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. Let's get 14 a clear and direct answer to this clear question. MS. SAUNDERS: I directed my counsel 15 to prepare the electronic file that is known as 16 17 MPAA Owner Title 2000 to 2009 drawing from the hard copy certification reports that we keep as business records that have been produced to you 20 in the discovery. 21 I did not prepare that Excel 22 spreadsheet. If you're asking me if it's

proceeding. And I can tell you with a distinct certainty that I cannot. Fair enough. Thank you. Please reference Exhibit 336. And in conjunction with that, please take a look at what was marked as Exhibit 125, which are several Copyright Office -- it's not, it's a separate document. I'm sorry, okay. Okay. There are a copy and printouts from the copyright website. 12 13 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, this line of questioning, he already did this once and Your 14 15 Honor wouldn't allow this. I'm going to object 16 to it again on the same basis. MR. BOYDSTON: But Your Honor I don't 17 18 believe I referenced 125 in any of my questions

to Ms. Saunders. I referenced them in regards to

sure where we are on this. But go ahead Mr.

JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. I'm not

questions to Mr. Galaz.

19

20

21

22

155 153 deference. Boydston. MS. PLOVNICK: I mean, we acknowledge, MS. SAUNDERS: I'm sorry, I don't --MR. BOYDSTON: May I approach Your these are web searches of the public records. They're not actually Copyright Officer Honor? JUDGE BARRETT: You may. registrations. But we don't dispute that they MS. SAUNDERS: I can't find 125. Is come off the internet and that they are searches it in this one? of a public search engine. You know, I -- but --MR. BOYDSTON: It's not in the book. 8 JUDGE BARRETT: They are what they MS. SAUNDERS: Oh. 10 MS. WHITTLE: It is in the binder 10 purport to be. MS. PLOVNICK: They are what they 11 11 isn't it? purport to be. I mean, you know, we really MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, it was put in the 12 12 13 object on relevance grounds I believe here. 13 binder. Oh good. Thank you Ms. Whittle. Now, we're kind of asking you about 14 And in our other further objection 14 both Exhibits, so if you can juggle the tab. that we articulated yesterday is that counsel is 15 15 MS. SAUNDERS: Um-hum. Um-hum. 16 trying to now address additional titles that were 16 not addressed in the written rebuttal statement 17 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 17 Okay. Now first looking at Exhibit of IPG that was filed on October 15. They're 18 trying to attack additional titles or append 19 130 -- or excuse me, 336. 19 Α Yes. And our understanding was you had to 21 On the second page under property title, the first title is Action Man. The second raise all your claims objections by October 15 154 156 and he didn't do that with regard to additional title is Angela Anaconda. Do you see that? Yes. titles he's raising now for the first time in MPAA's rebuttal to IPG. Now look at the first page of Exhibit JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Mr. Boydston 125 and under title it says Angela Anaconda, doesn't it? can you respond to the objection that this is MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Your Honor, introducing objections to claims that were not at least according to our records over here, IPG previously identified? 125 is not in evidence. MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. This is in MR. BOYDSTON: You know, Your Honor, 9 rebuttal to the witnesses testimony that the 10 I think that might be correct. programs claimed in the certifications are 11 MS. PLOVNICK: I think Your Honor 11 rightly claimed and owned by the MPAA's agents actually may have rejected it, but I'm not sure. 12 12 and their assigns. 13 MR. BOYDSTON: It was rejected. I was 13 The 336 purports to say that Angela 14 trying to admit it by Mr. -- I was trying to 14 Anaconda, the rights to Angela Anaconda are held by -- I'm sorry, I can't read the title of the 15 admit it -- yes, I think I tried to admit it with 15 entity that this is for. It is ABC Family 16 Mr. Galaz. 16 Worldwide. When in fact the first page of 17 Your Honor, I'd like to move to admit 17 Exhibit 125 indicates otherwise. 18 Exhibit 125 based on judicial notice. This is a 18 public record obtained off the Copyright website, MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, that would 19 19 which is maintained by the Copyright Office. And be surrebuttal. Because these documents were 20 20 21 part of MPAA's rebuttal to IPG. Now this is a 21 is a well respected governmental agency. I think this could be accorded due surrebuttal to the rebuttal. 22

159 157 then have to face the highway patrol. MR ROYDSTON: No. we -- and in our MR. BOYDSTON: May I continue Your own initial rebuttal statement, we challenged all of the ABC titles. This is a specific --Honor? JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, you may. JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is overruled. 125 is admitted. BY MR. BOYDSTON: (Whereupon, the above-referred to You see where it references Power document was received into evidence as Rangers Lightspeed Rescue, Power Rangers Lost IPG Exhibit No. 125.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Oh, I'm so sorry, I -- oh, are we on Now, Ms. Saunders, taking a look at 10 336? I'm sorry. 11 Exhibit 125, as I said, the title says Angela 11 0 On 336 --12 Anaconda. And the party, number one says Decode 12 Hang on. Entertainment. Would you agree with me? 13 13 0 Third page. The one page down. MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. А Yes, I see Power Rangers Lightspeed 1.4 14 MR. BOYDSTON: Now, taking a look at 15 15 Rescue and Lost Galaxy, yes. the second page of -- well, no let's go first to Now looking at the second page of 16 0 16 336. With regard to 336 on the -- it will be the Exhibit 125. 17 17 third page of 336 about three quarters of the way 18 Α Um-hum. 18 down the list it says --Now you see that it says title Power 19 19 JUDGE BARRETT: Excuse me, 336 is a Rangers and then it says claimant Saban 20 20 restricted Exhibit. 21 Entertainment? MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, I'm sorry, Your 158 1 Honor. 1 0 Please take a look at 336, the same 2 Exhibit we were on. JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Saunders, I know you have a travel schedule. How much longer are 3 Um-hum. 4 you going to be Mr. Boydston? And --4 MR. BOYDSTON: Not very. This is JUDGE STRICKLER: Why don't you just 5 about the last thing I have to cover. 6 say the Bates Number, it's a lot easier that way. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. And Mr. MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, I will. I'm MacLean, are you going to have questions for Ms. sorry, I'm looking for it. Oh, here we go. Bates Number 04666 and I believe there it 10 MR. MacLEAN: No cross for this references Where on Earth is Carmen Sandiego, 11 witness. 12 MS. PLOVNICK: A very brief redirect. 12 MS. SAUNDERS: Okay, hold a minute. JUDGE BARRETT: Is it in everyone's 13 13 I'm sorry, Mr. Boydston, I'm not finding that. 14 interest for us to continue and then delay our Can you tell me the --15 noon break? 15 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 16 MR. BOYDSTON: I think I can take 16 Yes, it's -about 45 seconds. 17 17 Oh, give me the Bates Number again. 18 JUDGE BARRETT: Can everyone bear out 18 046? 19 if we do that? 04666 19 MR. MacLEAN: Absolutely. Thank you. Thank you, thank you. 20 JUDGE BARRETT: I think it's better 21 21 Okay. Where on Earth is Carmen Sandiego? Yes. 22 then having Ms. Saunders come back at 1:00 and 22 0 Okay. And then looking on Exhibit

161 163 125, the last page of Exhibit 125. You see it 1 you anticipated my question. So it was what is says title Where on Earth is Carmen Sandiego? Exhibit 5? Yes. Q . And you answered this is an agreement And copyright claimant is listed as DIC Productions, LLP, correct? between Fintage and Televisa. It's a representation agreement, yes, Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. Nothing between those two parties. 8 All right. And then -- and it's dated further Your Honor. MS. PLOVNICK: So Mr. MacLean, you 9 as of January 1, 2003? didn't have additional cross did you? 10 January 1, 2003, yes. All right. Now if you flip to Exhibit 11 MR. MacLEAN: Thank you, but no. 11 6 and that is also still within MPAA Exhibit 346. 12 MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. I just wanted to 12 13 A Yes. ves. 13 make sure I wasn't jumping the gun. Okay. What is that document? JUDGE STRICKLER: Are we out of 14 14 restricted? 15 This is a secondary rights deal memo 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION between Fintage Publishing and TV Azteca. 16 16 MS. PLOVNICK: I think we -- I mean I 17 0 And that's dated? 17 might -- I'm not really going to go into the March 1, 2004. 18 18 substance I don't think of anything. If I do, Okay. And then flip to Exhibit 7 to 19 19 I'll try to let you know. So I think we're out. 20 the Fintage letter. Okay. Let me get my track here. All 21 Yes. Representation agreement between right. So Ms. Saunders, could you please start EGEDA and Fintage dated September 19, 2001. 162 164 with you were asked some questions about MPAA So Mr. Boydston asked you I believe, Exhibit 346 by Mr. Boydston. I'm trying -- there were a lot of questions here. MS. SAUNDERS: Yes, yes. Oh, here it But he asked you whether there was an agreement in the record between Fintage and EGEDA? 4 is. BY MS. PLOVNICK: And so if you could look at that And I remember what your answer was. letter and flip to what's attached as Exhibit 5. But is your -- do you now have the answer to that Okav. question? To that letter, which is in MPAA 0 Yes, there is a representation Exhibit 346. agreement, yes in the record, yes. I'm going as fast as I can turn the Does it refresh your recollection? 11 pages. Hold on. Okay, I'm up to 7. 12 MR. BOYDSTON: This is 345 A? 13 So, and that would be Exhibit 7. 13 MS. SAUNDERS: I have it. I have it, 14 That is the Exhibit 7. 15 15 To the Fintage. 16 MS. PLOVNICK: This is 346, I'm sorry. 16 I apologize for not reacting quicker 346 is just the Fintage letter. when Mr. Boydston was questioning me. 17 17 MS. SAUNDERS: This is the JUDGE STRICKLER: It's on the record 16 18 representation agreement between Fintage and as MPAA's Exhibit 7 to Exhibit 346. 19 19 MS. PLOVNICK: Thank you, it is --Televisa. 20 20 BY MS. PLOVNICK: 21 MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. 21 So just -- so that was actually my --MS. PLOVNICK: Thank you, Your Honor.

```
167
                                                     165
                                                                                MS. PLOVNICK: Yes.
     It is Exhibit 7 to MPAA Exhibit 346.
                                                                                MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. In fact it does
                MS. SAUNDERS: Correct.
                MS PLOVNICK: Which is a letter from
                                                                   show Screenrights as a claimant for The
                                                                    Outdoorsman with Buck McNeely.
     Fintage to Ms. Saunders.
                                                                                BY MS. PLOVNICK:
                MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.
                                                                                So, would this be an example of a
                BY MS. PLOVNICK:
                Okay. Now, let's go to the next
                                                                    claimant making a mistake?
                                                                                This is a mistake, yes. And
     Exhibit, MPAA Exhibit 347.
                                                                    claimants, we try our level best as do our
                 Um-hum.
                Mr. Boydston also asked you about this
                                                                    claimants to ensure that they accurately claim
10
     Exhibit during his cross. If you flip to the
                                                               11
                                                                    all the titles that they should.
     second page of the letter, which is the first
                                                               12
                                                                                In time -- from time to time claimants
                                                                   make mistakes. That is unfortunately the case.
     document in MPAA Exhibit 347, could you read the
                                                              13
                                                                                Right. So and you -- so because on
     very last sentence of numbered paragraph 2 into
                                                               14
                                                                   the certification --
15
     the record?
                                                              15
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm so
16
                We wish to clarify that Screenrights
                                                               1.6
17
     is not -- underline not -- asserting a claim for
                                                              17
                                                                    sorry. I just noticed that this was restricted.
                                                                                JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, it is. I'm sorry.
     royalties for IPG's The Outdoorsman with Buck
18
                                                              1.8
                                                                                MS. PLOVNICK: I'm sorry. And we did
19
    McNeelv.
                                                              19
                                                                    go into some, I'm sorry, Your Honor. So -- and I
                                                              20
20
           0
                Do you know if Screenrights had
                                                              21
                                                                   should have said something.
21
    originally certified the title The Outdoorsman
    with Buck McNeely for any royalty years?
                                                                                So if you could please leave the room.
```

168

I do not know if they have. Would looking at the certification refresh your memory? Oh, I'm sorry, yes. 6 Α Yes, it would. Where is that certification? Let me find it. And perhaps -- I O believe it's Exhibit 338. And I think we looked 9 at this vesterday, but it was --10 11 A Oh. ves. Okav. It was on the very last page of that 12 0 Exhibit actually. 13 14 Of going to royalty 2009? 15 Going to year 2009. JUDGE FEDER: Can you give me the 17 Bates Number please? 18 MS. PLOVNICK: I can try. It looks like it's MPAA-S-0922 and then there's a whole 19 20 punch. And so it might be 223?

JUDGE BARRETT: It is. I think we

Because we did go in -- and I apologize. I'm trying to go as fast as I can to get Ms. Saunders JUDGE STRICKLER: Before you ask the question, Ms. Saunders you said claimants sometimes make mistakes. MS. SAUNDERS: Um-hum, yes. JUDGE STRICKLER: What if anything does the MPAA do to review what the claimants 9 have submitted to see if there are mistakes? 10 11 MS. SAUNDERS: Well, Your Honor, frankly we take their certification at -- we take 12 13 the certification as proof that they are entitled If we find subsequently that we have distributed monies to a claimant in respect to a 17 title that they should not have and averr later 18 that they should not have received monies for. 19 We would recoup those monies. JUDGE STRICKLER: So you don't -- you 20 don't check to see if there was a mistake unless 21 and until there's a dispute with regard to money 22

established that.

21

н			. volume 2
	169		171
1	that's already been distributed?	1	A 47? 347?
2	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes, that's correct,	2	Q 347, that's the Screenrights letter.
3	Your Honor.	3	A Yes. That date was November 24, 2014.
4	JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.	4	Q So this would be when you were made
5	BY MS. PLOVNICK:	5	aware that that mistake had been made?
		6	A Oh, yes.
6	Q Now do you do any process for if you	7	Q With regard to this?
7	have two claimants that claim the same title		•
8	A Of course.	8	A Yes. That would have been the first
9	Q For example, do you take any	9	time.
10	A Yes. Yes.	10	Q And that was November 24 of this year?
11	Q Efforts to ensure accurate	11	A Yes. Yes.
12	distribution?	12	Q Okay. All right, so all right, let
13	A Yes. Our representation agreement	13	me just look direct your attention quickly to
14	provides for conflict resolution rules. And we	14	
15	do take action in a case that a title is claimed	15	A May I just clarify one thing for the
16	by more then one party. We would not distribute	16	Court?
17	the money until that conflict was resolved.	17	Q Sure.
18	Q What would you do with the money	18	A Because I think it's important. And
19	pending resolution of the parties?	19	this is a misunderstanding that I encounter often
20	A We would hold it in escrow.	20	in my work.
21	JUDGE STRICKLER: I suppose my	21	Cable operators and satellite
22	question was a little broader. With regard to	22	operators do not pay royalties on a title by
	170		172
1	all of the claims that are referenced in not just	1	title basis. They pay on a blanket basis.
2		*	citie basis. They pay on a branket basis.
	these documents, but ultimately in your	۱ ،	MP BOVDSTON. Your Honor thorold no
11 .	Annuadison A and D is there a suplifie control	2	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, there's no
3	Appendices A and B, is there a quality control	3	question pending and this is a speech.
4	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that	3	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.
4 5	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact	3 - 4 5	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge
4 5 6	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?	3 - 4 5	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this
4 5 6 7	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality	3 - 4 5 6	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.
4 5 6 7 8	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have
4 5 6 7 8	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule
4 5 6 7 8 9	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications	3 - 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.	3 - 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.  And unless there is a dispute inside	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how the cable
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.  And unless there is a dispute inside of the MPAA group, we would not know that they	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how the cable  MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.  And unless there is a dispute inside of the MPAA group, we would not know that they had made a mistake.	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how the cable  MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.  JUDGE BARRETT: And satellite
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.  And unless there is a dispute inside of the MPAA group, we would not know that they had made a mistake.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how the cable  MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.  JUDGE BARRETT: And satellite providers pay their royalties.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.  And unless there is a dispute inside of the MPAA group, we would not know that they had made a mistake.	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how the cable  MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.  JUDGE BARRETT: And satellite
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.  And unless there is a dispute inside of the MPAA group, we would not know that they had made a mistake.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how the cable  MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.  JUDGE BARRETT: And satellite providers pay their royalties.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.  And unless there is a dispute inside of the MPAA group, we would not know that they had made a mistake.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MS. PLOVNICK:	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how the cable  MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.  JUDGE BARRETT: And satellite providers pay their royalties.  MS. SAUNDERS: All right, thank you,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.  And unless there is a dispute inside of the MPAA group, we would not know that they had made a mistake.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.  BY MS. PLOVNICK:  Q And Ms. Saunders, turning you back to	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how the cable  MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.  JUDGE BARRETT: And satellite providers pay their royalties.  MS. SAUNDERS: All right, thank you, Your Honor.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.  And unless there is a dispute inside of the MPAA group, we would not know that they had made a mistake.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.  BY MS. PLOVNICK:  Q And Ms. Saunders, turning you back to MPAA Exhibit 346 I'm sorry, 347, what was the	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 19	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how the cable  MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.  JUDGE BARRETT: And satellite providers pay their royalties.  MS. SAUNDERS: All right, thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	activity undertaken by MPAA to make sure that what the claimants have submitted is in fact accurate or not?  MS. SAUNDERS: The only quality control well, we of course review every certification upon receiving it. And we but we do not know, we can't judge ownership of our claimants. We can only take their certifications as their certifications.  And unless there is a dispute inside of the MPAA group, we would not know that they had made a mistake.  JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.  BY MS. PLOVNICK:  Q And Ms. Saunders, turning you back to MPAA Exhibit 346 I'm sorry, 347, what was the date of that letter again?	3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 17 - 18 - 19 - 20	question pending and this is a speech.  MS. SAUNDERS: It's not a speech.  It's information to the Court because the Judge has raised a question about what we do in this instance.  So the fact that we may have  JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to rule here?  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, yes. The objection is sustained. And we're aware of how the cable  MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.  JUDGE BARRETT: And satellite providers pay their royalties.  MS. SAUNDERS: All right, thank you, Your Honor.  JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.  MS. PLOVNICK: Ms. Miller I just I

175 173 No, they're not. JUDGE STRICKLER: SDC? So, and now --MS. PLOVNICK: IPG Exhibit 332. MS. SAUNDERS: Wait a minute, hold on MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I said this declaration was admitted in part. I was wrong. a second. This declaration was admitted in full. I just JUDGE STRICKLER: No, that can't be wanted to clarify that. right, Exhibit --JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you Mr. MS. PLOVNICK: No, I'm sorry, I'm 8 MacLean. saying the wrong number. 32, I'm retreating. IPG Exhibit 32. BY MS. PLOVNICK: 10 MS. SAUNDERS: Okay, hold on a minute. 10 So now, let me just turn -- divert your attention to MPAA Exhibit 345, which is the 11 MS. PLOVNICK: I have so many numbers 11 Transworld certification. And Mr. Boydston asked that I am getting confused. I apologize. 12 12 you a lot of questions about these. 13 MS. SAUNDERS: 32? 13 And I think it was clear, but in the MS. PLOVNICK: IPG, it's -- the tab 14 14 event it was -- you know, it was a little 15 says IPG - P-032. 15 confusing to me as I said a couple of times, you 16 MS. SAUNDERS: Okay, I have it. 16 17 MS. PLOVNICK: And this has already 17 know. So can you please clarify, did 18 18 been admitted. Transworld certify the titles Healthy Living MS. SAUNDERS: Okav. 19 19 MS. PLOVNICK: I believe. 20 Parenting and Beyond for certain royalty years? 20 MR. MacLEAN: In part. 21 Hold on, hold on. I know the answer. 21 MS. PLOVNICK: In part. Already forgotten. I'm just going to look 174 176 MS. SAUNDERS: Are we still in through their certification reports here under 345. And I see Healthy Living Today's Health. restricted Judge? And I do see Healthy Living Parenting and Beyond. JUDGE BARRETT: No. This document is Right. And but those titles were not not restricted. addressed in the Exhibit that we just looked at. BY MS. PLOVNICK: No. So when you're there. Are you there? 0 The IPG Exhibit. I'm there. I'm there. 0 No, those titles are not addressed by Okay. So if you flip to the second 9 page into numbered paragraph 4. Ms. Millen in her declaration to IPG. 10 Α Um-hum. 10 So the titles that were addressed, You see reference to titles Healthy Healthy Living and Healthy Living Mysteries of 12 Living and Healthy Living Mysteries of the Mind? the Mind, do you see those on the Transworld 13 certification? And let me just try to speed you 14 Do you see any reference to Parenting up if I can help you find it. 15 and Beyond? 15 16 Α No, I do not. 16 JUDGE BARRETT: I might suggest that 17 0 Or the other -- or the -- and I'm 17 the witness look at Bates stamp 6529. MS. SAUNDERS: 6529? Sure. 18 blanking on the other, but I'm thinking it was 18 Parenting Beyond or Today's Health? MS. PLOVNICK: Oh, yes. 1.9 19 No, I do not. JUDGE STRICKLER: It's the first page. 20 20 21 Neither of those are referenced here, 21 MS. PLOVNICK: Oh, yes. MPAA S. JUDGE STRICKLER: We're on the MPAA. are they? 22

179 177 MS. PLOVNICK: MPAA 06529. Regarding Healthy Living Mysteries of MS. SAUNDERS: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you. I do see Healthy the Mind. Living Mysteries of the Mind. Yes. In his letter he addresses Healthy Living Mysteries of the Mind and Healthy BY MS. PLOVNICK: Living. And he specifies the royalty year. But What royalty year was that? he confirms the certifications that we have just 2003. reviewed and looked at. Ω 2003. okav. ٥ Yes. All right. Now, all right. So, Yes. 9 Ms. Saunders, let's turn to MPAA 336. 1.0 Λ And then do you see Healthy Living 10 certified for --11 11 336. okav. Now Ms. Saunders, Mr. Boydston showed Any of the other years? 12 12 A For other -- I mean, I think that -you some public searches of the copyright records 13 13 well, I believe Healthy Living Mysteries of the for certain titles. 14 14 Mind was certified for 2003 only. But you can 15 15 Α Um-hum. confirm. 16 And you talked about one of those with regard to DragonBall Z earlier. But you know, he 17 That is the only one I see so far of Healthy Living -- excuse me Mysteries of the showed them to you and he compared them with this 19 Mind. I do not see -- I'm up to 2006, hold on. 20 No. I do not see it again. 20 Do you have any -- having reviewed 21 And then if you look at what's been 21 those documents, do you, based on your personal Bates stamped MPAA-C-04428. I believe Healthy 22 22 knowledge and experience, have any -- do you know 178 180 Living by itself is certified as to 2004 cable. whether or not the -- those registrations have Can you please confirm? any information regarding retransmission royalty As to what year, I'm sorry? entitlement to these particular --2004 cable and satellite actually have '04 too. MR. BOYDSTON: Objection Your Honor. Okay. I actually only see Healthy Leading. Living Today's Health and Healthy Living 7 MR. MacLEAN: And asked and answered. Parenting and Beyond in the 2004 cable and JUDGE BARRETT: I will sustain. satellite certification unless you put --BY MS. PLOVNICK: 10 If you keep flipping I believe, 10 So, would the same comments that you 11 MPAA-C-004428. And then the last number -- I 11 made with regard to the DragonBall Z document don't know if it's --12 12 which I don't have that, IPG --Α Bates stamps are not exactly in order. I recall it. I recall it. 13 13 14 Sorry. 14 If you recall it? So I'm not -- 00425, 7, 8. Okay, I'm I recall it. I recall my comments, 15 15 sorry. Say it again? Oh, yes. Yes. Yes. 16 16 ves. 2004, I apologize, Healthy Living is on the next 17 Would those same comments apply to 17 0 to last page I think of that certification report 18 18 those additional registrations? 19 all by itself. Α Yes. They would apply to any 19 20 And those same titles were the ones situation in which the substantiation for that Mr. Hochberg addressed in MPAA Exhibit 352, retransmission royalties was based solely on a which is his letter to you? copyright certificate. They are not indicative

183 181 of ownership or entitlement to claim And were those produced to IPG? retransmission rovalties. In addition, with regard to Saban, I Yes they were. Do you know when they were produced to think that was mentioned in one of the documents that --IPG? I do not recall. I am so sorry. Power Rangers, yes. Yes. Do you have any knowledge Would June 2014? It was sometime, it would have been regarding Saban and it's relationship with Fox after the filing of our direct case, yes. Family or ABC Family? Okay. And then later, subject to an I have some knowledge that Fox and 10 0 order of the Judges --11 Saban did business together and they are 11 12 connected. But I do not -- I cannot say more 12 Α Correct. At that point in time, what happened? 13 0 13 specifically then that what it is. А The Judges ordered us in late July as 14 Q But you have some knowledge that they 14 I recall, to produce an electronic database that 15 15 are connected? contained the information about title -- that 16 16 Α contained the information of our certification 17 17 0 All right. Okay. All right, so just -- Ms. Saunders, for the last time, just to -- so report information over our statement that we did 18 18 not have such an electronic database. that we can try to get the record clear, so you 19 19 20 talked about with Mr. Boydston yet again, the 20 And I then instructed my counsel to prepare an electronic database taking information 21 Excel spreadsheet and the genesis of the Excel spreadsheet. from the certification reports and putting it 182 184 1 into the Excel file that we would create. Was an effort made to be accurate in 2 Can you please just give us a chronology explaining, just very briefly, how the Excel spreadsheet? the -- your -- so like written testimony was Α Absolutely. Absolutely. Very great filed in this case in May. 5 effort. 6 But was it possible to capture all А handwritten notes in the Excel spreadsheet? What documents were produced to IPG that underlie that testimony? A 'No, it was not possible. And I've said that at least three times in this testimony. That underlied the May -- my May testimony that was filed in my direct case -- or That we could not put all the handwritten notes into the electronic database. Or my lawyers 11 our direct case of my testimony? 12 couldn't. 13 The hard copies of all the 13 JUDGE BARRETT: So let me just this 14 certification reports upon which we relied in 14 time make sure we're clear. Did you request or making our claims, the claimants entitles of did your attorneys produce an electronic database 15 15 16 which are enumerated or listed in --16 or a spreadsheet? respectively, with claimants in Exhibit A, titles 17 MS. SAUNDERS: A spreadsheet. I 17 in Exhibit B to both of those testimonies. apologize Your Honor. I made the mistake that 18 18 19 And those were the documents -- were Mr. Boydston has made a few times. Confusing 19 20 those documents the documents that were relied on data with databases. 20 21 in creating your catalysts that were attached to BY MS. PLOVNICK: 21 your testimonies? In MPAA Exhibit 333 has the file name 22

187

```
of the Excel spreadsheet that was produced. And
    So if you would like to -- would that refresh
 3
     vour memory?
                 That would be -- yes. Isn't it -- I
           А
     believe it's MPAA Owner Title 2000 to 2009.
     6308249 xls.
                 And it's an Excel spreadsheet?
          0
                 It's an Excel spreadsheet, yes.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: If I may interject.
10
    I'm taking a look at the July 30 Order that we're
11
     talking about. And at the front, the final part
                                                             11
     that summarizes what is being ordered, on page 24
                                                             12
12
13
     of that July 30 Order, it says the Judges grant
                                                             13
14
     the IPG-MPAA Motion in part and Order MPAA to
                                                             14
                                                             15
1.5
     produce in electronic form any data that it
     maintains in electronic form that identifies for
                                                             16
16
                                                             17
17
     each year covered by this proceeding, the
     claimants it represents, the agents through who
                                                             18
18
                                                             19
19
     it represents those claimants, the program titles
     it represents and the claimants associated with
                                                             20
20
                                                             21
21
     those program titles.
22
                 MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.
```

JUDGE STRICKLER: That particular

```
I am a lawyer. I understand the
difference between creation versus what you have
in your possession when you're ordered to compel.
           However, and I had a fairly animated
conversation I believe with Mr. Lanard about this
order in which I urged him not to appeal that
order, but to try to comply with it to the best
of our abilities by creating this document.
Because I wanted to demonstrate our good faith in
producing in discovery, information that was
sought by IPG.
           JUDGE BARRETT: Okav. but your
reference is in the record to the Judges'
Ordering the creation of this electronic
spreadsheet is shorthand.
           MS. SAUNDERS: I'm speaking too
loosely. Your Honor, and I apologize.
            JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
            MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, for the
record, I renew my objection to Exhibits 336
through 337 and 339 to 347 based upon the
```

185

testimony that we just heard now.

JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, do you --2

JUDGE STRICKLER: What's the legal

basis?

JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, what's the legal

hasis of that? I'm sorry?

MR. BOYDSTON: The legal basis of that

is that it's become clear that they did not

produce all electronic records in their

possession as Judge Strickler read from the 10

Order. Instead they read -- they produced a

document they created therefrom. And on that

basis, I just want to make the objection.

JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. That is

not the -- that is not the state of the facts. 15

Go ahead.

17 MS. PLOVNICK: Okay, Ms. Saunders, I

18 have -- I don't have any further redirect

questions right now. I don't know if the Judges 19

20 have any questions for Ms. Saunders.

21 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I have just

two -- well, three questions and I will keep it 22

186

```
Order, that's the portion of the Order that we're
     talking about now, correct?
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. And so you --
     so MPAA was required to produce in electronic
     form any data that it maintains, not produce in a
     certain -- and as counsel would be aware, and
     your attorney as well, not create.
                 MS. SAUNDERS: Yes. I understand.
10
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: This is my
11
     understanding that despite the fact that you did
12
     not indeed maintain anything in that type of
13
     form, electronic spreadsheet form, that you
14
     created one.
15
                 MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.
16
17
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: In an attempt to
18
```

MS. SAUNDERS: To comply with the

Order and to facilitate IPG's review of the pages

of certifications. Your Honor, I think that the

responsibility for this unfortunately lies with

19

20

21

22

	189		191
1	at three.	1	sometimes, which is really understandable. How
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.	2	many times has the MPAA asked one of its
3	RECROSS EXAMINATION	3	claimants to return money based upon a mistake?
4	MR. BOYDSTON: First of all with	4	A I do not recall. But more then once.
5	regard to the database that we've been	5	Q Did the MPAA ask has the MPAA ever
6	discussing, in response to earlier questions,	6	asked Litton Syndication, Inc. to return money?
7	JUDGE BARRETT: Let's not let's are	7	A I do not know the answer to that Mr.
8	we go ahead Mr. Boydston. The database that	8	Boydston.
9	is really a spreadsheet that we've been	9	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you.
10	discussing?	10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm sorry,	11	MS. PLOVNICK: I have one more
12	I'll call it Henry if it makes people happy.	12	question. Ms. Saunders, were and I can let
13	The spreadsheet that was prepared in	13	me go over here to.
14	response to the July 30 Order. Previously you	14	JUDGE BARRETT: That's okay.
15	said your knowledge about how it was prepared was	15	MS. PLOVNICK: And now we're near the
16	limited. In response to a question by Ms.	16	mic.
17	Plovnick, you mentioned handwritten notes and the	17	You testified that there was an effort
18	difficulty of dealing with handwritten notes.	18	to for the Excel spreadsheet to be accurate.
19	What handwritten notes are you	19	That included capturing the information that
20	referring to?	20	might not have been in the form of a strikeout,
21	MS. SAUNDERS: The lining lining	21	but it included an effort to capture that
22	out the lining out. We can't indicate what	22	information in the spreadsheet, isn't that right?
1		1	
11-			
	190		192
1	titles were offered and that the claimants	1	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.
2	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.	2	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was
2	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:	2	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony
2 3 4	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out,	2 . 3 4	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you
2 3 4 5	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the	3 4 5	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. FLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?
2 3 4 5	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the A The title.	2 3 4 5	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say
2 3 4 5 6 7	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?	2 3 4 5 6	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are	2 3 4 5	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the A The title. Q The title on the certifications? A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.	2 . 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that	2 . 3 . 4 . 5 . 6 . 7 . 8 . 9	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully	2 . 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully reproducing that in the spreadsheet?	2 . 3 . 4 . 5 . 6 . 7 . 8 . 9 . 10 . 11 . 12	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?  MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully reproducing that in the spreadsheet?  A Well, we would not I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?  MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.  MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully reproducing that in the spreadsheet?  A Well, we would not I don't Q Or accurately doing it?	2 . 3 . 4 . 5 . 6 . 7 . 8 . 9 . 10 . 11 . 12 . 13 . 14	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?  MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.  MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you Ms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully reproducing that in the spreadsheet?  A Well, we would not I don't  Q Or accurately doing it?  A Well we we I supposed we could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?  MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.  MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you Ms.  Saunders. And safe travels.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully reproducing that in the spreadsheet?  A Well, we would not I don't  Q Or accurately doing it?  A Well we we I supposed we could have encoded titles and then shown that they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?  MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.  MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you Ms.  Saunders. And safe travels.  MS. SAUNDERS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully reproducing that in the spreadsheet?  A Well, we would not I don't  Q Or accurately doing it?  A Well we we I supposed we could have encoded titles and then shown that they were lined out. We did not do that.	2 . 3 . 4 . 5 . 6 . 7 . 8 . 9 . 10 . 11 . 12 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 16 . 17	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?  MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.  MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you Ms.  Saunders. And safe travels.  MS. SAUNDERS: Thank you.  JUDGE BARRETT: We're at recess until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully reproducing that in the spreadsheet?  A Well, we would not I don't  Q Or accurately doing it?  A Well we we I supposed we could have encoded titles and then shown that they were lined out. We did not do that.  Q I see.	2 . 3 . 4 . 5 . 6 . 7 . 8 . 9 . 10 . 11 . 12 . 13 . 14 . 15 . 16 . 17 . 18	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?  MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.  MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you Ms.  Saunders. And safe travels.  MS. SAUNDERS: Thank you.  JUDGE BARRETT: We're at recess until 1:30. We earned three extra minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully reproducing that in the spreadsheet?  A Well, we would not I don't  Q Or accurately doing it?  A Well we we I supposed we could have encoded titles and then shown that they were lined out. We did not do that.  Q I see.  A But you had the certification forms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?  MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.  MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you Ms.  Saunders. And safe travels.  MS. SAUNDERS: Thank you.  JUDGE BARRETT: We're at recess until 1:30. We earned three extra minutes.  MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, may I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully reproducing that in the spreadsheet?  A Well, we would not I don't  Q Or accurately doing it?  A Well we we I supposed we could have encoded titles and then shown that they were lined out. We did not do that.  Q I see.  A But you had the certification forms themselves.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?  MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.  MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you Ms.  Saunders. And safe travels.  MS. SAUNDERS: Thank you.  JUDGE BARRETT: We're at recess until 1:30. We earned three extra minutes.  MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, may I inquire as to a time estimate for our afternoon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	titles were offered and that the claimants rejected.  BY MR. BOYDSTON:  Q In other when you say lining out, lining out the  A The title.  Q The title on the certifications?  A Yes. Yes. On the reports that are attached to the certification form, yes.  Q Right. But your testimony is that there may have been difficulty in faithfully reproducing that in the spreadsheet?  A Well, we would not I don't  Q Or accurately doing it?  A Well we we I supposed we could have encoded titles and then shown that they were lined out. We did not do that.  Q I see.  A But you had the certification forms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. SAUNDERS: Yes.  MS. PLOVNICK: So it should it was inev so I just wanted so your testimony hasn't changed in any way from when I asked you the question?  MS. SAUNDERS: No. I'm trying to say the same thing throughout.  MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you. And I have no further questions.  JUDGE BARRETT: May this witness be excused?  MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.  MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.  JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you Ms.  Saunders. And safe travels.  MS. SAUNDERS: Thank you.  JUDGE BARRETT: We're at recess until 1:30. We earned three extra minutes.  MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, may I

195 193 mean Mr. Boydston I presume is going to resume --JUDGE BARRETT: Of this witness's testimony? oh. Mr. Olaniran is going to. MR. OLANIRAN: I will resume his cross MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I'm very examination. happy to explain and I'm also happy to offer Mr. JUDGE BARRETT: Resume cross Kowalski is in the room and if you'd like to have examination of Mr. Galaz. And why don't you just this discussion outside of the presence of the get together. witness, that would be fine with me. MR. MacLEAN: I will, thank you, Your JUDGE BARRETT: I think that makes

9 Honor. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 12:26 p.m. and resumed at 12

13 JUDGE BARRETT: Good afternoon.

14 Please be seated.

15 Mr. MacLean?

16 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, you're

17 probably surprised to see me here.

Over the lunch break and, of course, 18 is subject to the Board's approval, the SDC have 19 a witness here who came at the Court's request at 20

21 10:30 this morning, Walter Kowalski.

22 And the parties have very graciously

agreed, since we already on an interruption of

sense. Mr. Kowalski, could you just attend 10

11 outside there and we'll call you.

Is this the Declarant who I said I'd 12

like his declaration because it started out I am 13

14

MR. MACLEAN: It is not, Your Honor. 15

JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. 16

MR. MACLEAN: It is not. 17

JUDGE BARRETT: I didn't want to 18

indicate any bias. 19

MR. MACLEAN: So, Your Honor, 20

actually, in terms of a proffer, I would proffer

SDC Exhibits 602 would be Mr. Kowalski's

194

declaration

Because Mr. Kowalski is here, I simply

intend to call him to the stand. But, his

declaration reflects what he would say.

Mr. Kowalski will testify as to yet

another fraudulent claim made by IPG, this time

for the years 2004 through 2009 in this

proceeding, by in this proceeding, I mean on the

claim, on the joint claims that are issue in this

12

17

JUDGE STRICKLER: You're referring to

the cable claims not satellite?

13 MR. MACLEAN: Cable and satellite.

14 JUDGE STRICKLER: You're saying

15 there's a fraudulent claim in both separate

16 proceedings?

MR. MACLEAN: Yes.

18 JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay.

MR. MACLEAN: Fraudulent claims made 19

on behalf of Bob Ross, Inc. by IPG in their cable 20

claims and satellite claims for 2004 through 21

2009.

Mr. Kowalski's testimony, that we may continue that interruption and present Mr. Kowalski with the Board's permission. JUDGE BARRETT: We're not, what's the word I'm searching for, omniscient, but we can figure out who's testimony is which when we look carefully at the transcript. So, I think we'll be able to do that. Thank you, Mr. MacLean. 9 10 MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 The settlement devotional claim is 12 called Walter Kowalski. 13 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, before Mr. 14 Kowalski makes his way up there, I'd like to 15 object on the grounds of relevance and ask Mr. 16 MacLean to provide an offer of proof. I'd rather explain what I'm talking 17 18 about or wait for the offer of proof and then explain it. 19

MR. BOYDSTON: Yes.

JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is

relevance?

20

21

And I'm sorry, Judge, now I realize 2 why you're asking that question. 3 Mr. Kowalski will testify that he did 4 authorize IPG to file claims on behalf Bob Ross, Inc. for the years 2001, 2002 and 2003. 5 Those authorizations were never extended. There was never any extension 7 8 agreement. In 2004, Mr. Kowalski, instead 9 authorized All Global Media to represent him for 10 11 his company, Bob Ross, Inc., for future years.

12 From that time forward, All Global Media filed claims on behalf of Bob Ross, Inc. 14 for every going forward. 15 IPG, never checking with Bob Ross,

16 Inc. to find whether there was an authorization 17 to proceed, having nothing more than the single year authorizations that they had from Bob Ross, 18 19 Inc., continued filing claims on behalf of Bob 20 Ross, Inc.

21 In September of -- I'm sorry, in late 2013, Bob Ross, Inc. became aware that PBS had 22

199

200

to be valid but IPG's qualifications to continue as agent at this proceeding are relevant. We raise this challenge, in fact, this exact relevance objection was raised in the 1999 proceedings which respect to Tracee Production. IPG argued there -- well, Tracee Production -- we're not proceeding with our claim for Tracee Productions in this proceeding, therefore, it's irrelevant that we file the fraudulent claim on their behalf. 11 This Court rejected that challenge and I submit that this is an indistinguishable 12 situation and this Court, in its claims decision 13 in 1999, found as follows. 14 In this proceeding, this was with 15 respect to Tracee Productions, the undisputed 16 evidence revealed that IPG also filed a claim on 17

However, Mr. Galaz testified that

IPG's filing of a claim for Tracee Productions in

this proceeding was not improper and that IPG

18

19

20

21

1 Further down, the Judges do not find Mr. Galaz's testimony in this regard to be credible and then addressed the testimony and in the final paragraph of that section, the Board said. IPG's decision not to pursue the Tracee

behalf of Tracee Productions.

never pursued the claim.

excuse the original misconduct nor does it obviate the damage done to the integrity of the 8

Productions claim in this proceeding does not

distribution process in this proceeding.

10 The question before the Judges then is whether this misconduct compels the Judge to take any of the extraordinary actions urged on them by the SDC as a remedy.

The Judges conclude that under the 14 15 current circumstances, it does not. 16 Further along, the Judges, in

explaining this decision said, the Judges find it 17 18 unnecessary to impose additional sanctions on IPG. Of course, should the Judges be presented 19 with evidence of any new misconduct by Mr. Galaz 20

or IPG or any other participant, for that matter, 21

the Judges will not hesitate to revisit the

made a distribution of royalties and became aware through inquiries with PBS that the distribution had been made to IPG. He called IPG demanding, (a) his money and (b) why is IPG filing claims on behalf of Bob Ross, Inc. when All Global Media is the authorized agent? IPG gave him the runaround, we have the correspondence in the record. IPG ultimately sent him a check minus a large fee which Bob Ross, Inc. refused and returned to IPG on the 11 grounds that they were not his authorized 12 13 representative. Subsequent to all of that, IPG filed 14 another claim on behalf of Bob Ross, Inc. for the cable and satellite royalties in 2013. 16 So, this testimony will be relevant on 17 18 the following grounds, several grounds, actually. First, we have a claim in this 19 proceeding to disqualify IPG as an agent. We're 20 not claiming they're -- we're not seeking 21

disqualified valid IPG Claimants who were shown

201

```
issue.
```

Although the Judges have decided not

- to impose the draconian and punitive measures
- requested by SDC, and here, Your Honor, I would
- disagree that the measures we're seeking are
- neither draconian or punitive.
- JUDGE STRICKER: We said and punitive.
- MR. MACLEAN: Well, then I would
- disagree with that, respectfully disagree with
- that, as well. The measures that we are seeking
- are for the protection of the public and the
- participants before these proceedings, not to
- punish IPG.
- The Judges nonetheless conclude that 14
- 15 the wrongful actions of Mr. Galaz and IPG
- necessitate the application of evidentiary 16
- 17 burdens and presumptions in this proceeding that
- take into account those wrongful actions and 18
- that's the second place that's irrelevant to 19
- this, whether IPG's claims are entitled to a 20
- presumption of validity. 21

proceeding.

22 Moreover, Mr. Kowalski's testimony is

relevant to specific claims that we are making

claims of Salem Baptist Church, Willie Wilson

Productions, Jack Van Impe, Creflo Dollar, Benny Hinn and Eagle Mountain -- Eagle Mountain is

Kenneth Copeland Ministries, on the basis -- on the grounds that from 2004 and, for some of them.

2004 and beyond, there are claims made by both IPG and All Global Media, the same agent who Bob

with respect to specific claimants in this

203

- JUDGE BARRETT: I just missed one step
- in your explication, Mr. MacLean. You indicated
- that Mr. Kowalski or someone at Bob Ross, Inc. or
- Bob Ross Media contacted PBS seeking a
- distribution and yet, All Global Media was the
- entity filing the claim.
- MR. MACLEAN: So, as I understand it,
- and it may be necessary, actually, I'm not
- certain to what extent Mr. Kowalski will be able
- to testify to this, but similar to the fact that
- MPAA has agents who represent claimants, PBS has
- 12 agents who represent claimants.
- So, as I understand it, both All 13
- Global Media and IPG have made claims to PBS for 14
- distributions on behalf of Bob Ross, Inc. 15
- But the claims themselves, that is to 16
- say the claim forms, and with respect to All 17
- Global Media, these are SDC -- one moment please
- -- SDC Exhibit 610 are All Global Media's claim
  - forms which I would move into evidence at this
- time.
  - JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Anything

202

- further, Mr. Boydston?
  - MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
  - This is really nothing more than a
  - character attack on IPG by a party that has no
  - interest in this proceeding.
  - Bob Ross, Inc., IPG had contracts with
  - Bob Ross, Inc. and continued to make application
  - for Bob Ross, Inc. for a period of about ten
  - years and accounted to Bob Ross, Inc., paid Bob
  - Ross, Inc., Bob Ross, Inc. cashed checks for
  - royalty proceeds for ten years, all up until it
  - 12 was actually early 2013.
  - 13 Those all came through the Public
    - Broadcasting Phase I category, never through this
      - category and never through the program suppliers
    - 16 category.
    - 17 Bob Ross, Inc. was never included in
    - 18 IPG's written direct statement for these
    - proceedings. The only reason why Bob Ross, Inc. 19
    - was on the applications was, I beg your pardon, 20
    - Your Honor, was because -- Sorry about that. 21
    - Was because of claims it had through the Public

Ross, Inc. authorized. All Global Media's claims are entitled

Most notably, we are challenging the

13

to a presumption of validity, unlike IPG's

15 claims, in our submission and, therefore, Mr.

Kowalski's testimony is also relevant to the

question of the assumption of authority by All

Global Media over the claims that All Global

19 Media, the former IPG claimants, that from 2004

an on began to be claimed by All Global Media. 21 On all those bases, Mr. Kowalski's

22 testimony is relevant.

12

207 205 it's subject to rebuttal, it's subject to Broadcasting Phase I Category and there was, at controversion in whatever form and so, we'll hear least theoretically, a possibility it might have from Mr. Kowalski. been broadcast on Canadian Public Television, it MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. wasn't, but there was a possibility, so had it been, that would have program suppliers category, MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor. but it has never occurred. The Settling Devotional Claimants call So, this has nothing to do with this Walter Kowalski. category. This is no different than if IPG had a Oh. and. Your Honor, I did offer SDC Exhibit 610 into evidence and I don't believe dispute with its landlord and the SDC and its efforts went and found the landlord and brought 10 there was a ruling. JUDGE BARRETT: You did and I don't the landlord in to say, IPG, they are fraudulent 11 know that we had a chance to focus on that yet. and they're bad actors because they haven't paid 12 me their rent. It's no different than that 13 So, before you sit, please raise your because Bob Ross, Inc. has no claims here, has 14 right hand? Do you solemnly swear or affirm that 15 never had any claims in either of these 15 the testimony you give in these proceedings shall 16 16 categories and, therefore, it is irrelevant. be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the As to many of the things that were 17 17 said, I'll have my chance to respond in argument truth? 18 18 19 MR. KOWALSKI: I do. 19 if we go forward on this, but I would just say 20 JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. that the claims of fraud are completely 20 Any objection to the admission of 21 unfounded. This s a dispute that arose, frankly, Exhibit 610? because Bob Ross, Inc. demanded that IPG hand 206 208 over 100 percent of what IPG collected for this MR. BOYDSTON: No. Your Honor, no 2 one particular period of 2008. objection. 3 For the years prior, IPG accounted to 3 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: 610 is admitted. 4 Bob Ross, Inc. Bob Ross, Inc. took the money happily, never said boo, never said we terminated (Whereupon, the above-referred to 6 with you, never said anything about All Global document was received into evidence as SDC 610.) Media. I'd also point out All Global Media MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor. has never filed an intent to participate in this 9 WHEREUPON. 10 proceeding or any others and while it's certainly 10 WALTER KOWALSKI was called as a witness for the Claimant and, 11 possible that All Global Media and Bob Ross, Inc. 12 having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness may have signed a contract, we were never privy 12 13 stand, was examined and testified as follows: 13 14 And year in, year out, we made claims 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 on their behalf and we handed them over their 15 BY MR. MACLEAN: 16 money and they didn't complain. 16 Good afternoon, Mr. Kowalski. JUDGE BARRETT: The objection's 17 17 Good afternoon. 18 overruled. The SDC has made certain claims and 18 As you know, I'm Matthew MacLean, I in its case-in-chief, this is one of the pieces represent the Settling Devotional Claimants. 19 19 of evidence that the SDC is offering in support 20 Right. 20 21 of those claims. Could you please, first of all, spell 21 22 It's subject to cross examination, 22 your name and introduce yourself to the Judges?

212

Okav. I'm Walter Kowalski. K-O-W-A-L-S-K-I. I am President of Bob Ross, Incorporated, a company we deal in instruction of painting, a particular technique of painting, We have our own production of TV programs, DVDs, videos. We publish about 40 books and we have thousands of instructors who have been certified to teach this particular method of painting here in the U.S. and around the world. Thank you, thank you, sir. 12 Have you ever testified in any kind of 13 proceeding before? 1.4 No, this is the first time. 15 And could you please give the Judges a sense of your professional and educational 16 1.7 background prior to becoming associated with Bob Ross. Inc.? 18 I'm a retired Federal Employee. I was 19 with the CIA for about 30 years and I was also 20 21 engaging in a couple of other entrepreneurial

would you agree? And Bob was one year away from retiring from the Air Force and so, that was sort of the genesis of the whole thing. It was my wife's own sort of view of this Bob Ross person and who has now become somewhat of a guru or quite a celebrity. And about what time was that? When did that happen? Α In 1980 roughly we began holding class. Bob Ross, the first activity we engaged 10 in was just managing Bob's teaching activities around the U.S., in different parts of the U.S. 12 13 I functioned as sort of the advanced man to set up the classes and so we swung the 14 East Coast and back down to Florida again. So. 15 it was a case of demonstrations in the shopping 16 malls and TV ads and so forth. 17 Television itself was not in the 18 picture at that time. So, about 1982, we were 19 duplicating some commercial tapes at a local PBS 20 station in Falls Church and the manager happened 21 by and saw the footage of Bob doing this rather

210

209

quick technique of painting and suggested that we should have a TV series. And that was the beginning of that.

So, it was 1982, our first offering was -- we reached about 50 stations and then from then on, it sort of exponentially grew until we

8 television channels.

9 Q And were you always the president of
10 Bob Ross, Inc.?

reached just about 90 percent of all public

A I was always the logistics

administrator of this. My wife was the artistic

side and closely working with Bob and she was on

the road with Bob most of the time.

15 Q Today, who is the owner of Bob Ross,
16 Inc.?

A My daughter has inherited it. We've

signed all of the ownership to my daughter who's
been involved in the company for quite some time.

Q Prior to -- and Bob Ross, Inc. has
syndicated television programs?

A Yes, we refresh those and they've been

210

1 I owned and managed the food concessions at a racetrack in Southern Maryland. And then through my wife's exposure to Bob Ross, I became involved in that. 0 Can you tell the Judges about how that 5 6 came about? Α We had lost our son in an automobile accident and my wife was quite depressed, but she's the artist in the family. And she was eager for a break loose from that depression and she asked if she could attend a class by this 11 12 rather obscure artist in Clearwater, Florida. So, I agreed. I took time from work 14 and I did some deep sea fishing while she was attending the class. 15 But each evening she would come out of there and said, you know, there's something going 17 on. There's a rapport that Bob has with his students is something very unique. 19 20 And so, by the end of the five day

course, we approached Bob Ross and my wife said,

you know, we've got to do something with you,

21

22

activities.

```
602. Do you see that?
     sort of restructured and reprogrammed and, you
                                                                                 602?
     know, we've been on the air continuously
                                                                           Α
                                                                                 It should be already open.
     uninterrupted since 1982 in one form or another.
                                                                                 Oh, okay, yes.
                 What is the name of the program?
                                                                                 If you could flip through and take a
                 Currently, it's called the Best of the
                                                                    look at that and tell me if you recognize SDC
     Joy of Painting.
                                                                    Exhibit 602?
                 And what was it before?
           0
                 It began as simply The Joy of Painting
                                                                                 And what is SDC --
     with Bob Ross.
                 What's the program about?
                                                                                It's my declaration.
10
           0
                 It's art instruction. It teaches you
                                                               11
                                                                                 And then if you turn to Attachment --
                                                                    there are attachments to this declaration, turn
12
    a very quick method of painting through the use
                                                               12
     of particular brushes and pallet knives, et
                                                                    to Attachment A of SDC Exhibit 602.
     cetera. And that's the sort of appeal, it's a
                                                               14
                                                                                Right.
15
     quick method. Bob does an entire landscape
                                                               15
                                                                                 Can you take a look at that, it's a
16
     painting in 30 minutes on TV, so that's the
                                                               16
                                                                    two page document, could you take a look at that
17
     excitement begins there.
                                                               17
                                                                    and tell us what that is?
                                                                                That was the -- there's the cover
1.8
                 Prior to 2001, or prior to really
                                                               1.8
```

19

20

21

7

12

22 mandate agreement under the line Bob Ross, Inc.

0

cable year 2001.

214

Q Yes.

copyright royalties?

A No.

19

20

21

22

Q And why is that?

4 A I don't know that we really knew about

2002, for the year 2001, did Bob Ross, Inc. every

make any claims to the copyright office for

Unilaterally?

it, to be honest. The first time we heard it was

6 when we were approached by IPG.

Q And so --

A A representative.

9 Q That was what I was about ask you.

10 Tell the Judges about that.

11 A For the claim year 2001, I signed a --

12 I executed a mandated agreement with Marina

13 Oshita of IPG.

14 Q How did that come about?

A Just out of the air. I got a piece of

16 correspondence in the mail one day and I had my

17 lawyer review the agreement and he approved.

At that time, I researched to find out

what the royalty was all about and decided to go

20 ahead.

15

21 Q In front of you at the witness stand

22 there you'll see a binder open to SFC Exhibit

216

And taking a look at the bottom of the

2 signature there?

3 A It is, yes.

Q So, you signed this mandate agreement

agreed and accepted Bob Ross, Inc. Is that your

letter transmitting the mandate agreement for the

5 with IPG for the year 2001, is that right?

6 A Right, I did.

Q What did you understand you were doing

8 when you signed this mandate agreement?

9 A I was just giving IPG the right to

10 collect the royal monies on by behalf for the

11 year 2001.

Q After signing this mandate agreement,

13 did you -- well, let me ask you this. What did

14 you -- after signing the mandate agreement, what

5 did you understand was to happen?

6 A I understood that it would be a number

17 of years forthcoming before any monies would be

18 collected. So, I signed it and really just sort

19 of waited for a number of years before any monies

20 were produced.

21 Q Did you have any further

22 communications with Ms. Oshita after signing this

217 219 31st letter and the mandate agreement? initial mandate agreement? MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor. No, I think probably the next one 2 would have been for the year 2002. JUDGE BARRETT: No objection from So, taking a look at Attachment B to MR. OLANIRAN: No objection, Your your declaration, can you look at that Honor. attachment? It's another two page document. JUDGE BARRETT: Attachment B is Attachment A? admitted. JUDGE BARRETT: I think he said B as 9 (Whereupon, the above-referred to ÿ in boy. MR. KOWALSKI: Oh, B, okay. 10 document was admitted into evidence as 11 MR. MACLEAN: And actually, Your 11 SDC 602 Attachment B.) MR. MACLEAN: Sir, if you could to Honor, first I'd like to move to admit Attachment 12 A to SDC 602. 13 Attachment C to SDC 602? MR. KOWALSKI: Yes? Okav. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: No, objection. 14 BY MR. MACLEAN: 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Attachment A to 602 is 1.5 Could you tell the Judges what 16 admitted. 16 17 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 17 Attachment C is? It's another cover letter and the document was admitted into evidence as 18 18 attached mandate agreement for year 2003. And SDC 602 Attachment A.) 19 19 that is my signature and I did approve. MR. MACLEAN: Mr. Kowalski, if vou'd 20 20 take a look at Attachment B to SDC 602 and tell Between your signing the mandate 21 21 agreement for 2002 and the signing of the mandate the Judges what this is? 218 220 MR. KOWALSKI: It's a cover letter agreement for 2003, did you have any telephone conversations or meetings with Marion Oshita? asking that I sign -- that I execute another mandate agreement for year 2002. During this entire time frame in which BY MR. MACLEAN: you signed these three mandate agreements, did And did you execute this mandate you ever have any communications at all with Raul agreement for year 2002? I did. yes. Galaz? No, it's not a name that was know to In between the execution of these two me at that time. mandate agreements, did you have any meetings or Did you have any communications in phone conversations or with Marion Oshita? 10 Q 10 that time frame with Denise Vernon? 11 No, not really. 12 So, you just got --12 Is there a name that was familiar to 13 None that I can recall, no. 13 So, you just got another letter in the 14 15 It's a name that became familiar to me 15 mail asking you to sign the next mandate 16 in the latter stages of 2012. 16 agreement? In the time frame in which these 17 17 18 MR. MACLEAN: If you could turn to 18 mandate agreements were signed, did you have any communications with Lisa Galaz? Attachments -- oh, and Your Honor, I move to 19 19 No. 20 admit Attachment B to SDC 602. 20 Is that a name that would have been 21 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. 21 JUDGE STRICKLER: That's both the July 22 familiar to you at that time? 22

221 223 that you signed this secondary rights agreement No. in Attachment D? MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I move Exhibit C to SDC 602 into evidence. MR. KOWALSKI: I think, again, mainly I think I accepted it mainly because the same MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. MR. OLANIRAN: No objection. party involved, Marion Oshita, whom I took to be JUDGE BARRETT: Attachment C's probably the principle in all of the, you know, in all of the exchanges with me up until now. admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to I assumed that she was the principle document was admitted into evidence as party and that she's now offering me an alternative plan for ongoing payment of SDC 602 Attachment C.) 10 MR. MACLEAN: If you could take a look royalties, collection and payment of royalties 11 11 with a minimum of one year. I think the contract at Attachment D? Could you tell the Judges what 12 12 says that there would be a minimum of at least 1.3 13 Attachment D is? one year beginning with the year 2004. I don't MR. KOWALSKI: This is a fax cover 14 14 sending to me this -- a secondary rights 15 know if that's in the document or not. 15 agreement to the executed -- and I think I did, But that was my understanding it would 16 16 be for the beginning year 2004 royalties. 17 17 and then not having received a copy with Marion Oshita's signature, I did send it back to her Did you note at the time you signed 18 18 the secondary rights agreement that it was of a asking her to countersign. 19 19 20 BY MR. MACLEAN: different form and with a different named entity 21 And then this is the reply from Marion than the mandate agreements that you've been Oshita? signing earlier? 222 224 1 And this is the reply. I think there I did notice that it was All Global was a delay in getting the fax to go. I think Media but I just thought it was another the fax number wasn't effective or something. reconstruction of what had been taking -- again, Anyway, it was faxed back to her and because of the principle involved, Marion Oshita, was making a new offer to me which I agreed to. then she in turn did return it with her And how did you understand this signature. secondary rights agreement to differ with respect 0 And this is that fax that Ms. Oshita to its terms from the mandate agreements that returned to you? Correct. you'd signed earlier? MR. MACLEAN: How did it come about Well, the main difference is that it 10 was ongoing and it could be terminated by me at that you signed this --11 Well, first of all, Your Honor, I move any time. But that it would be ongoing for years Attachment D to Exhibit SDC 602 into evidence. 2004 thereafter. MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. Did you ever sign a continuing or ongoing agreement with IPG? ME. OLANIRAN: No objection, Your 15 16 А 17 JUDGE BARRETT: Attachment D is 17 After your signing the secondary 18 admitted. 18 rights agreement at Attachment D, did you ever sign any further agreements with IPG at all? 10 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 19 20 document was admitted into evidence as 20 А Did there come a time when Bob Ross, 21 SDC 602 Attachment D.) 21 MR. MACLEAN: How did it come about 22 22 Inc. began receiving payments of copyright

228

```
was if -- let me answer your question this way.
     royalties?
                                                                                 It was about in 2012 that I began to
                 I think it would be years around 2005
                                                                2
2
          Α
                                                                     see this as a more curious thing than I had up
     there were some royalties being paid, yes.
3
                                                                     until now because now, I'm receiving checks with
                 Did you note at the time where those
           0
                                                                     cover letters from Worldwide Subsidy Group for
     royalties were being paid from?
5
                 I'd probably say in retrospect, but at
                                                                     years that I had contracted -- I thought I had
 6
     the time, I probably would had just forwarded the
                                                                     contracted with All Global.
                                                                                 So, so --
     check on to my accountant for deposit.
                 I'm not sure I was noting -- well, I
                                                                           А
                                                                                 So, in about 2012, I started having my
                                                                10
                                                                     own questions, I think. In other words, up until
10
    know what you're saying, it was coming from
11
     Worldwide Subsidy Group and I think my reaction
                                                                11
                                                                     then, I had sort of operated on this presumption
                                                                     that it was Marion Oshita until I signed a new
     probably was that, well, it's just a mechanism
                                                                12
12
                                                                     secondary agreement with her, but it was always
     for payment of royalties of some particular
                                                               13
                                                                     Marion Oshita.
                                                               14
14
15
                 I had not connected IPG with Worldwide
                                                               15
                                                                                 But in those later years, about
                                                                     2011/2012. I began to wonder and thought I should
16
     Subsidies up until that time.
                                                               16
                                                                     look into this, but I never did.
17
                 Did you have any understanding who
                                                               17
    Worldwide Subsidy Group was?
                                                               18
                                                                                 Well, did there come a time when you
18
                                                                    became aware of a distribution having been made
19
          Α
                 No.
                                                               19
                                                                     for the royalty year 2008?
                Did you also receive for some years,
                                                               20
20
          0
                                                                          А
                                                                                 Can you state that question again?
21
    checks from All Global Media?
                                                               21
                Yes, for the years 2004, 2005 and
                                                               22
                                                                                 Did there come a time when you became
                                                                          0
22
```

225

226

```
2006. There were royalties received for those
     years from All Global Media, checks drawn from
    All Global Media.
                 Did you receive any checks from either
     IPG or Worldwide Subsidy Group for those years
     2004 2005 and 2006?
           Α
                 No.
                 Did you receive a royalty check for
           0
     the year 2007?
9
10
                 I'm trying to recall.
11
                 You don't have to guess if you don't
     know the answer.
12
13
                 Okay. Yes, I think I did, yes. Yes,
     I think I received for 2007.
14
15
           0
                 Do you know what entity that check
     came from?
16
17
           Α
                 Yes, I do now. It was from Worldwide
18
     Subsidy Group.
                 When you received that check, did you
19
20
    know what Worldwide Subsidy Group was?
```

I'd have to know when that check was

received. I'm not -- and I don't recall when it

```
aware of a distribution by PBS made for royalty
     vear 2008?
                 I first became aware of the fact that
     there were some questionable activities relative
     to the payment of royalties.
                 I first heard about that from my
 6
     daughter who had attended a PBS event on the West
     Coast and she came back and said that there were
 8
 9
     rumblings of this sort that there were
     irregularities of payment of royalties.
10
11
                 And so, it was at that time that I
12
     went to PBS and asked about who was entitled to
     the next payment? And they told me that it was
     -- had been assigned to Worldwide Subsidy Group.
                 And so that was the first time that I
15
     understood that they had directly made the claim
     for my behalf.
17
18
           Q
                 What did you do after that?
19
                 I engaged legal counsel for one.
                 If you could take a look at Attachment
20
     E to SDC Exhibit 602. Could you tell the Judges
21
```

22

what Attachment E is?

21

```
easier if Bob Ross. Inc. were to have simply
                 Attachment E. ves. I have it and
     that's my letter to Mr. Boydston.
                                                                    cooperated.
                                                                                 Did you ever have any understanding
 3
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I move
                                                                     what Ms. Vernon was referring to here?
     Attachment E to SDC 602 into evidence.
                                                                                 No, I have -- that was very confusing
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
 5
                                                                     to me. I mean what she meant by having simply
                 MR. OLANIRAN: No objection. Your
 6
                                                                     cooperated, I don't know under what terms we
 7
     Honor.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Attachment E is
                                                                     would have done that.
     admitted. And according to my notes, Mr.
                                                                                 In the last sentence of that same
     MacLean, Attachment F was admitted earlier.
                                                                     paragraph, in all candor, we are perplexed at the
                                                                10
                 (Whereupon, the above-referred to
                                                                11
                                                                     sudden adversarial posture which in its absence
12
                 document was admitted into evidence as
                                                                12
                                                                     would have resulted in the immediate accounting
13
                 SDC 602 Attachment E.)
                                                                13
                                                                     to Bob Ross, Inc. of the $19,503.00 most recently
14
                 MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                                14
                                                                     collected, less WSGs entitlement to retain
15
     And, Your Honor, just to make 100 percent sure,
                                                               15
                                                                    $3,901.00, i.e., hardly a significant amount.
                                                                                 Did you have any understanding what
16
     did I move for admission of Attachment D?
                                                               16
                 JUDGE BARRETT: I believe so.
                                                               17
17
                                                                    Ms. Vernon meant about WSGs entitlement to retain
                 MR. MACLEAN: Okav. thank you.
                                                               18
                                                                     $3.901.00?
18
                                                                                Well, I know that, I mean she's saying
19
                 So, taking a look at Attachment E,
                                                               19
                                                                    that they were entitled to it, but that's not my
     what prompted you or what motivated you to write
20
                                                               20
                                                                    understanding because, again, we had no agreement
21
     this letter to Mr. Boydston?
                                                               21
```

230 232

The last sentence says, in any event,

with Worldwide Subsidy Group.

1 that he wasn't entitled to that withdrawal for those funds. I mean I think what's contained in this letter. BY MR. MACLEAN: And why do you say that Worldwide Subsidy Group wasn't entitled to these funds? We had no agreement with them. If you'd take a look at Attachment F of SDC 602 which is already in evidence. Could you tell the Judges what Attachment F is? 10 It's an email from Worldwide Subsidy 11 12 Group to me. 13 I think earlier you testified that you had not been familiar with the name Denise 19 No, that -- I guess that would have 16 17 been the first such communication I had from her, 18 19 I'd like you to take at the second

paragraph. It says the response of Bob Ross,

Inc. is unfortunate and requires WSG to perform

various due diligence that would have been much

MR. KOWALSKI: Well. I had to tell him

WSG will now have to investigate this matter further. Once WSG concludes its inquiry, will act accordingly. If you elect to change your mind, please feel free to contact me. 5 6 Are you aware of any investigation or inquiry that Worldwide Subsidy Group conducted? 8 А 9 0 If you'd take a look at Attachment G to SDC 602. What is Attachment G? 10 Ted Hammerman is the legal counsel 11 12 that I engaged following our discovery. I'm reading, I'm sorry, I'm reading 15 through it right now. 16 It's a request by Mr. Hammerman for them to return the -- to submit to us the monies 17 they had collected on behalf of Bob Ross, 18 19 Incorporated. 20 0 The entire amount of the money? 21 The entire amount, yes.

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I move

22

20

21

22

235 233 Hammerman, to Brian Boydston. Attachment G into -- I'm sorry, Attachment F into Did you instruct Mr. Hammerman to send evidence. I'm sorry, that was Attachment G. I this letter? move Attachment G into evidence. MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I move JUDGE BARRETT: Attachment G is Attachment I to SDC 602 into evidence. admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. document was admitted into evidence as JUDGE BARRETT: Attachment I is SDC 602 Attachment G.) MR. MACLEAN: And did IPG or WSG admitted. 10 return the entire \$19,503.66 to Bob Ross, Inc.? 11 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 11 document was admitted into evidence as 12 12 MR. KOWALSKI: No. SDC 602 Attachment I.) BY MR. MACLEAN: 1.3 MR. MACLEAN: And what did you If you'd turn to Attachment H. What 14 instruct Mr. Hammerman to do with respect to this is Attachment H? 1.5 letter and the attached check? It's a letter from Worldwide Subsidy 16 MR. KOWALSKI: To return the check Group with an attachment outlining the breakdown 17 that had been sent to us by Worldwide and asking of the check that was submitted with that letter 1.8 that they submit the full amount to us. and their withdrawal of commission on it. 19 BY MR. MACLEAN: Their fee was collected from it and 20 20 And did Bob Ross, Inc. receive the 21 that was the check that was received was the 21 balance of the original amount, plus their claim full amount or any further amount from --234 236 for the \$15,602.00. I'm sorry, for their -- I'm No, there was no further not sure what I'm reading now. acknowledgment of any of this, no. Well, that's all right, Attachment H JUDGE STRICKLER: Before you get to the next document, Mr. Kowalski, the letter from is three pages. Oh, yes, right, right. It would be Mr. Hammerman has three names, cc'd one, of course, is yourself. The other is Steve Friedman the -- that's right. The net amount was and Mark Mangum. Do you see that on page 2 of \$15,602.00 on the check. Attachment I? MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I move MR. KOWALSKI: Yes, I see that. 9 Attachment H to SDC 602 into evidence. JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you know who MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. 10 10 Steve Friedman and Mark Mangum are? 11 JUDGE BARRETT: Attachment H is 11 MR. KOWALSKI: I know that Steve admitted. 12 12 13 Friedman is a PBS official. I'm not sure his 13 (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was admitted into evidence as 14 full position, but I think he's involved in the 14 SDC 602 Attachment H.) royalty issues, yes. 15 MR. MACLEAN: If you'd take a look at 16 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. And Mr. 16 17 17 Attachment I to SDC 602. 18 MR. KOWALSKI: I don't know that name. MR. KOWALSKI: All right. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. MACLEAN: 19 19

20

21

22

MR. KOWALSKI: Okay.

at Attachment J to SDC 602.

MR. MACLEAN: If you could take a look

Attachment I is?

20

21

22

Could you tell the Judges what

It's a letter from my lawyer, Mr.

239 237 here and I don't have a total recollection. MR. KOWALSKI: I have it. There was some correspondence from parties in BY MR. MACLEAN: Worldwide suggesting some continuation of our What is Attachment J? relationship which confused me entirely. And I It's a fax from Mr. Hammerman to Brain don't think they're here. Boydston indicating that you've not responded to Did you authorize -our earlier letter and offering a compromise to We never responded to those. sort of resolve this. Did you authorize -- oh, inquires from I think that we held -- I think we reserved our rights here, but we indicated that IPG relating to your programming and that kind of 9 thing for making claims on your behalf? we would, in the interest of the settlement, to 10 allow them a 20 percent commission and that the 11 Yes, as though none of this, you know, 11 like there had never any interruption of this balance should be sent to us. 12 12 relationship between IPG, Worldwide and myself. If you'd take a look at -- that was You know, and I was totally confused by that the first page of Attachment J, if you'd take a look at the page behind the first page, the because it seemed to me that that party had not been fully informed of what had transpired. second page of Attachment J. Tell the Judges 16 17 what these are. 17 Did you --That they had been Denise Vernon, by 18 Veg. 18 Α the way. Again, I'm not sure of that. 19 What are these? 19 Did you ever authorize IPG or WSG to 0 20 Okay, again, just notification that we 20 21 have not heard from Worldwide Subsidy Group 21 file any further claims? 22 regarding the monies that they owed us. 238 Are you aware that IPG filed a claim 1 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I move Attachment J of SDC 602 into evidence. for Bob Ross, Inc. in July of this year for MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. royalty year 2013? MR. PLOVNICK: No objection. Α No, I did not. This is the first time you're hearing JUDGE BARRETT: Attachment J is 0 admitted. that? Α The first time I'm hearing that. (Whereupon, the above-referred to Now, Mr. Kowalski, neither you nor Bob document was admitted into evidence as Ross, Inc. are a party in this hearing today, is 9 SDC 602 Attachment J.) that right? 1.0 MR. MACLEAN: Did you ever hear back 10 from IPG or Worldwide Subsidy Group again 11 That's correct. 11 12 Do either you or Bob Ross, Inc. have 12 regarding your money? 13 MR. KOWALSKI: Not about -- there was 13 any financial stake in the outcome of this hearing here? no communication about this, no. No, I think 14 No, I would say that my involvement 15 that was probably the end of the conversation or here has really nothing to do with any communication on this issue. 16 expectation of any kind of gain out of this. It 17 BY MR. MACLEAN: 17 is strictly a civic performance on my part. Was there ever any correspondence or It might sound trite, but I believe in communication between Bob Ross, Inc. and WSG or 19 our legal system and I think this is a 20 IPG about filing further claims on behalf of Bob 20 contribution rarely provided to people, to 21 Ross, Inc.? 21 22 citizens, and I thought should afford myself of 22 Well, there were -- and they're not

```
It's just a copy that we've put in ours.
     that.
                                                                                It's a copy of a letter from you to me
                 MR. MACLEAN: Thank you. No further
     questions.
                                                                    dated January 16, 2013. And you've already been
                                                                    asked about that and I won't really dwell on it
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, before
     you cross examine, any questions from MPAA for
                                                                    because you've already explained what it was.
                                                                                Let me ask you to take a look at the
     Mr. Kowalski?
                                                                    second page and that is an email from me to you
                 MS. PLOVNICK: No, Your Honor.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston?
                                                                    dated February 1, 2013.
                                                                                And what follows after that are
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I'm happy to proceed,
                                                                    several other emails.
    Your Honor.
                                                               10
                                                                                Your Honor, we can either have -- I
                                                               11
11
                 Can I just note that I'm coming just
                                                                    wish to, of course, question the witness on this.
12
    after 2:30.
                                                               12
                                                                    I wish to move this into evidence. Do you want
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, since we go until
                                                               13
13
                                                                    me to --
     4:30, I try to hold off on an afternoon break.
14
                                                               14
                MR. BOYDSTON: Very well.
                                                                                JUDGE BARRETT: I thought you just
                                                               15
15
                 JUDGE BARRETT: As long as we can
                                                                    said it had already been admitted into evidence.
                                                               16
16
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: Just page 1. The page
17
    stand it.
                                                                    1 is -- we have a copy of that letter both in our
18
                       CROSS EXAMINATION
                                                                    exhibit and the SDC has one in their exhibit.
19
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                Good afternoon, Mr. Kowalski. I'm
                                                               20
                                                                                JUDGE BARRETT: I see.
                                                               21
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: But then there's all
    Brian Boydston. We've communicated, but now we
     see each other in the flesh.
                                                               22
                                                                    these emails afterwards and I'm asking for
```

241

242

244

```
1
                 Right.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I represent Worldwide
 2
     Subsidy Group, also known as Independent
     Producers Group.
 5
                 Now, there's a bunch of binders here.
     You've been at documents in one. I'd like to
     show you some documents that are in another
     binder.
                 Your Honor, my I approach?
                 JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: If I may, I'll put this
     on the side and bring a different one.
13
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Mr. Boydston, if
     you're directing the witness to exhibits, could
15
16
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I came close, I was
17
     just trying to be of assistance, that's all.
18
                 I was going to direct him to Exhibit
19
20
                 Mr. Kowalski, the first page of
    Exhibit 53 is a document which has already been
21
```

admitted into evidence in the previous exhibit.

```
guidance from the Court of how you want me to --
     do you want me to try to have him review all this
     and then admit. I know you don't like questions
     being of exhibits that are not officially
     admitted yet.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Let me ask counsel to
     flip through the remainder of the exhibit and, if
     you don't object, then we won't need to belabor
10
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. On the basis
12
     of?
13
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, this is a
     page 2 of IPG 053 is an email from -- or purposed
     to be an email from Mr. Boydston to Mr. Kowalski
     referencing an attachment. That attachment is
     not included. I object on the grounds of
17
18
     completeness.
                 However, I have a copy of the
19
     attachment three hold punched.
20
                 JUDGE BARRETT: How handy. Are you
21
     offering them to augment this exhibit? Do you
```

247 245 email from you to Mr. Kowalski that identifies want to have that marked separately? MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I would the attachment? 2 have no objection to the admission of this MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, I think that makes 3 the most sense. exhibit augmented with the attachment referenced. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. JUDGE STRICKER: It sure seems to, MR. MACLEAN: I have no objection to MR. BOYDSTON: May I go ahead and that. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, fine. insert it in the binder? Your Honor, I believe you did say that JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you want to see Exhibit 53 is admitted, correct? 10 it first to make sure it's really --10 JUDGE BARRETT: It is admitted as 11 MR. BOYDSTON: I will, but I'm pretty 11 augmented. 12 sure he's telling the truth. 1.2 1.3 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, thank you, Your 13 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. We will admit 14 Exhibit 53 and then we'll have that marked when 14 Honor. you redirect and admit it as augmentation of the 15 Okay, Mr. Kowalski, take a look at the 15 first page of Exhibit -- excuse me, what would be 16 16 second page of Exhibit 53, which would be the 17 17 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, if it could be admitted as augmentation of 53, I'm not sure I page previous to what you're looking at now. 18 18 19 My I approach, Your Honor? have any redirect, but I'll decide that later. 19 20 JUDGE BARRETT: Oh. okav. Right now? JUDGE BARRETT: You may. MR. BOYDSTON: And that appears to be 21 We can do that. I'll ask the --22 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, can an email from me to you dated February 1st, would 246 248 I see it? you agree? 2 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, you may take an MR. KOWALSKI: Yes. BY MR. BOYDSTON: opportunity to look that over and then you will And I won't read it, but it refers ask the clerk to simply incorporate that into 53, presuming Mr. Boydston does not find it right away to an attached list and do you recall receiving this? objectionable. MR. BOYDSTON: No. I do not. May we And the second of the two paragraphs, admit this now with 53 so I can ask questions on this as well? it states, in the meantime, I asked in our telephone call, could you send us all of your JUDGE BARRETT: That suit me just 10 correspondence with WSG and whatever information 11 fine. (Whereupon, the above-referred to you may have about All Global Media's filing of 12 claims for Bob Ross, Inc. 13 document was admitted into evidence as 13 14 IPG Exhibit 53.) Now, in response to that email, do you MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. Should I give a 15 recall whether or not you provided me or IPG or 16 copy to the clerk to mark it a certain way and 16 WSG, which is actually -- if I may, I'll 17 represent to you that IPG is a d/b/a of WSG. Are 17 put in the witness copy? JUDGE BARRETT: She can just put a 18 you familiar with what a d/b/a is? sticker on it that it's an addition to Exhibit 19 19 20 So, if I say IPG or WSG for the 20 purposes of my questions, they mean the same 21 JUDGE STRICKLER: And are we going to 21 22 add it directly the Friday, February 1, 2013 22 entity. Do you understand that?

249

```
Okay, but it depends on how far back
```

- you're going because my understanding would not
- have meant your understanding in those earlier
- years because I wasn't relating IPG with
- Worldwide Subsidy Group.
- Well, then I won't do that, I'll be
- very specific when I ask the questions.
- In response to that second paragraph
- asking about information about All Global Media,
- 10 did you provide any information to myself or to
- 11 anyone else?

12

1.4

18

1

- Α
- 1.3 Is there any reason why you didn't?
  - Probably I didn't view that it was my
- job. I wasn't obligated in some way to do your 15
- 16 work for you or to provide you anything because
- 17 we had no real relationship from my perspective. So, if there was any searching for data to
- 19 support your position, that was your job.
- Now, you were aware that WSG, and I
- think you stated that WSG had sent you checks
- over the years, correct?

- 251
- I think what this just reflects is
- that I screwed up or I made a mistake and I didn't attach the attachment at first and then
- sent it again to give you the attachment,
- correct?

6

- Okay, true.
- Do you agree?
- I agree.
- So, now, turning to the attachment 0
- itself, which is behind the email portion, it 10
- says, search results and it lists different dates 11
- and then account, a number, a pavee and other 12
- 13 information.
  - When you go this, did you take a look
- 15 at it?

- I would say I scanned it but I don't 16
- 17 think I did any comparisons with my own
- information or anything, no.
- Okay. When you looked at it, did you 19
- 20 think that anything here was incorrect or remiss?
- 21 I saw that you did acknowledge that I
- 22 had -- that there were differences between WSG
- 250

- True.
- And that you'd gone ahead and 2
- negotiated those checks, correct?
  - Α True.
- So, you had had some connection with 0
- WSG. correct?
- The earlier understanding was that it
- was simply a mechanism for transmitting funds. I
- didn't identify it as an organization per se.
- Okay. Now, let's look at the next 10
- page which is the insert that we have from the 11 12 courtesy of Mr. MacLean, and I think what this
- 13 clears up is that if we look to the second page,
- 14 that's my email all over again and then if we
- 15 look at the bottom of the first page, there is an
- 16 email from you to me saying that there were no
- attachments to this email. 17
- 18 And then a quick email from me saving,
- sorry about that, here it is. And then an email 19
- from you to -- it was from Joan at Bob Ross to 20
- 21 Walt saving, Boydston's attachment, need help
- with this.

- royalties and IPG royalties paid. So, and I
- don't know that I concluded anything from this
- attachment.
- 0 Is it your position or at the time
- that you saw it, did you think to yourself that
- you had not, in fact, never received the payments
- that were indicated?
- No, I think I was okay with what I had
- 9 known that we had received regardless of how they
- were transmitted to us. 10
- 11 Okay. Sitting here today, do you have
- 12 any reason to have a different opinion on that or
- different knowledge about that? 13
- 14 No, except for your revelation that
- you collected a sum of money of mine. 15
- 16 I beg your pardon?
- Except for this new revelation today 17
- that you had filed again for -- you had filed a 18
- claim on my behalf for royalties which --19
- Which you didn't know before now? 20
- Correct. 21
- Let's look at the next page of the

```
exhibit and this references the first email, it's
```

- an email from me on February 14th, St.
- Valentine's Day as it were, of 2013 in which I
- state that we have no record of Bob Ross, Inc.
- informing WSG that it no longer had the right to
- make these claims and some other information

1.5

1

- Below that is an email from you to me
- to which I was responding. Do you see that? It
- begins, please direct your client --10
- Oh, yes, right. 11
- 12 And you were asking that WSG send you
- the full amount of the royalties that had been 13
- delivered for 2008, correct? 14
  - Correct.
- 16 And going back up to the email by me
- responding, the last sentence say, if you'll
- provide us with a notice of termination, Bob
- 19 Ross, Inc. gave to WSG, we will proceed
- 20 accordingly and return the money to PBS.
- 21 Did you provide any information
- regarding a termination at that point?

255

256

- MR. BOYDSTON: And we'll be looking at
- what's been marked and admitted as Exhibit 602,
- specifically starting with Attachment D.
- Now, Mr. Kowalski, Attachment D was
- the agreement you signed with All Global Media,
- correct?
- MR. KOWALSKI: Yes.
- BY MR. BOYDSTON:
- Now, in your testimony, you said that
- you either said or said words to the effect, I
- 11 believe, that you thought that All Global Media
- was another reconstruction, I think was the word 12
- you used, of the entity that you had been dealing
- with previously, correct?
  - In that it was Marion Oshita who was
- submitting it to me. I thought that was my cause 16
- 17 for thinking that this was more a continuation of
- my relationship with her. Right, yes, I did say
- 19 that.

15

20

- And that perhaps she was operating 0
- 21 under the company Worldwide Subsidy Group and no
- longer was doing that and was now operating under

- All Global Media?
- I disagreed, of course, with this No, that would be too early for me to
- say that it was under auspices of Worldwide Okay. I appreciate that. Did you
  - because I hadn't yet in my mind linked Worldwide send any materials in response to this?
    - with All Global Media or with IPG.
      - Oh, I think I understand. If you
      - could turn back to Attachment C which just
      - precedes this one. That begins with a cover
      - letter that says Independent Producers Group and
      - then mandate agreement in which the parties are 10
      - 11 Bob Ross, Inc. signed by you and Independent
      - Producers Group. 12
      - So, the entity that you thought you 13
      - were dealing with was Independent Producers 14
      - 15 Group, is that right?
      - Not necessarily, it's because she says
      - she has new organizational name here. I didn't 17
      - know whether that it was a -- well, I just
      - 19 assumed, I think, that it since it was Marion
      - 20 Oshita and she and I had been exchanging
      - 21 documentation and had an agreement prior to this
      - and that she wanted to continue the agreement.

- Look at the, not the next page, but the one after that which is an email from me to
- 8 you dated February 27, 2013.
- G Right, yes.
- And it begins, I still have not heard 1.0
- 11 from you following my last correspondence
- requesting that you forward whatever 12
- 13 correspondence you have verifying your contention
- that Bob Ross, Inc. terminated its agreement with 14
- 15
- Did you provide any information in
- 17 response to that?
- 18 I don't think so.
- 19 MR. BOYDSTON: Now, let me go over to
- 20 the other binder.
- 21 Your Honor, may I approach?
- 22 JUDGE BARRETT: You may.

260

257

```
Q Right. I feel I may have confused
```

- 2 matters by an earlier question I asked when I
- 3 said do you believe that All Global Media was a
- reconstruction of Worldwide Subsidy Group and you
- 5 said no, Worldwide Subsidy Group, I wasn't
- 6 familiar with that entity.
- 7 And I think is that because the entity
- # that the three mandate agreements were
- 9 Independent Producers Group, not Worldwide
- 10 Subsidy. Is that the reason?
- 11 A That's ordinarily what I would be
- 12 thinking, yes.

1

- 13 Q I understand, I apologize, it was my
- 14 mistake mixing up the two entities.
- 15 Well, if we could kind of wipe the
- slate clean to a degree, I believe what you're
- 17 saying is that mandated agreements were with
- 18 Independent Producers Group and you understood
- 19 Marion Oshita to be working under the name
- 20 Independent Producers Group to begin with,
- 21 correct?

1

22 A Right.

- 2 Media?
- A No
- Q After receiving the All Global Media

names, Independent Producers Group and All Global

- 5 agreement, do you know whether or not -- do you
- recall whether or not you ever spoke with Ms.
- Oshita?
- A I don't think I ever spoke to Ms.
- Oshita

12

20

- 10 O Never, ever?
- 11 A Ever.
  - O Understood. Now, obviously, in this
- 13 exhibit where we're looking right now is the All
- 14 Global Media agreement that you signed.
- 15 Prior to -- well, presumably, you
- 16 provided this to the attorney who's representing
- 17 the Settling Devotional Claimants so that they
- 18 can provide it in this exhibit, correct? Or did
- 19 your counsel provide it or do you know?
  - A I'm sorry, do that again.
- 21 Q Let me start all over again.
- 22 The All Global Media agreement you

258

- 45
- agreement from All Global Media from Marion

And then when you got this different

- 2 agreement from All Global Media from Marior
- Oshita, you assumed that Marion Oshita was now
  operating under the name of a different company
- 5 or a different name which was All Global Media.
- 6 Is that what you meant by the reconstruction?
  - A Yes.
- Q I understand now. Thank you.
- Now, looking back at Attachment D, and
- 10 that is again the All Global Media contract and
- 11 fax cover sheet.
- 12 Did you note that -- I don't know if
- 13 you did or not -- but did you note that Marion
- 14 Oshita's address was that same on the fax cover
- sheet as it had been previously? There's an
- 16 address up in the upper left hand corner of the
- 17 fax cover sheet.
- 18 A I'm not sure I was making that kind of
- 19 a comparison, no. Nor would it have been all
- 20 that important to me, I don't think.
- 21 Q Okay. Did you ever speak with Ms.
- 22 Oshita about the difference in the two entity

- 1 have a copy of, or you have perhaps the original,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q How did it get to become in this
- 5 exhibit book is my --
- 6 A Well, when you say original, it would
- 7 have been something faxed, I'm sure, back and
- 8 forth. So, in that context, yes, original.
- 9 Q Okay, I understand. And how was it
- 10 that it got to be in this exhibit book? Did you
- 11 provide it to your counsel or did you provide it
- 2 directly to counsel for the SDC? Do you know?
- 13 A I gave it to my counsel.
- 14 Q Okay. And at any time, as far as you
- 15 know, has it been provided to WSG or, excuse me,
- 16 either IPG or WSG?
  - A No.
- 18 Q Okay. Did you ever -- well, strike
- 19 that.

1.7

- 20 You do recall that it was requested
- 21 that you provide it. correct?
- 22 A Not that, specifically, no. unless you

```
263
                                                     261
                                                                  from the list of checks that was attached to the
     have something that says that.
                                                               one email that there were checks that were
                MR. BOYDSTON: Well, let me make sure,
 2
                                                                   provided to you both my WSG and IPG. Does that
 3
    let me see.
                Well, let me ask you --- Your Honor,
                                                                   sound familiar to you?
                                                                               And again, I'm sorry, that would be
    may I approach?
                                                                   towards the front of the exhibit. It would be
                TIDGE BARRETT: You may.
                MR. BOYDSTON: I'm jumping back and
                                                                   the one, two, three, four, the fifth page of the
                                                                   exhibit, it was that short spreadsheet.
     forth between the books a tad.
                 I'm looking back at Exhibit 53 and
                                                                               Okay, that's the one we just inserted?
     specifically I'm looking at an email dated March
                                                                               Yes, exactly.
     5, 2013 which would be I believe nine pages in if
                                                              11
                                                                               Okay. Okay, and your question?
                                                                               My question is, do you recall whether
     my counting is correct.
                                                              12
                                                                   or not received checks from both WSG and IPG or
                MR. KOWALSKI: Of IPG, what tab?
                                                              13
                BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                                                              14
                                                                   is there only one or the other or do you recall?
15
                Fifty-three. It should be the tab
                                                              15
                                                                               I don't recall particularly.
                                                                               Okay. I think in your original
16
     that you're on.
                                                              16
                                                                   testimony -- well, I think, is it fair to say you
17
          Α
                Okay, five pages in?
                                                              17
                                                                   recall receiving these checks, you just don't
                JUDGE STRICKLER: Two pages from the
18
                                                              18
                                                                   recall which ones had which name on them?
19
    back.
                                                              19
                                                                              Well, that wasn't your first question,
                                                              20
                MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
   Two pages from the back is a better way to --
                                                                  but --
21
22
                                                                              No, it was not, thank you.
                MR. KOWALSKI: Okav.
```

264

```
BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                 Do you see it now?
                 I do.
                 Okay. Looking at the third paragraph,
     the first sentence is kind of long. The second
     sentence is not long, well, it's up to the
     reader, I guess. I'm sorry, I lost my place,
     give me just a moment.
                If you look at the last sentence in
     the third paragraph, it begins, also including
10
     should be all documents. Do you see that?
11
12
                It says, also included should be all
13
     documents relating to All Global Media, the
14
     principle of which was an IPG principle at the
     time, but she was apparently soliciting Bob Ross,
17
18
                 Did you provide the All Global Media
19
     contract you signed in response to that email?
20
          А
                Okay. And I believe that you received
21
```

checks -- well, I don't know. It appears to me

Looking at this one document, I can say I don't know whether any of them were -- all were WSG or some -- and some IPG. I can't answer that question. Okay. And I apologize but I'm going to try and clarify this so it's very, very clear. I'll just do it this way. Do you recall whether or not you 8 9 received checks that said WSG on them? 10 Thank you. Do you recall whether you 12 received checks that said IPG on them? Okay, thank you. Turning back to my February 1st email which is the second page of the exhibit and then it precedes the stuff we 16 17 added in. 18 A Okay. JUDGE STRICKLER: Which is it? 19 MR. BOYDSTON: Exhibit 53. And again, 20 that second paragraph -- in that second 21 22 paragraph, I ask, in the meantime, as I asked in

265

```
our telephone call, could you send us all of your
     correspondence with WSG and whatever information
     you have about All Global Media's filing claims
     for Bob Ross, Inc.
                 I believe you've already testified and
    you said sent nothing in response to that,
     correct?
                 MR. KOWALSKI: Yes, yes.
 9
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
10
                 Did you have any information regarding
11
    All Global Media's filings of claims on your
    behalf or on Bob Ross, Inc.'s behalf?
12
13
           Δ
14
                 And what sort of things did you have?
```

I had received checks from them.

No documents that I know of, no.

Okay. Do you recall approximately how

No, I can't say how many, but I know

else that would indicate that they had made

I understand. Did you have anything

267

And so, then I better understood why

- 2 I was receiving those checks from Worldwide
- Subsidy Group.
- O Did it ever occur to you that
- 5 Worldwide Subsidy Group might not know that you
- 6 had signed an agreement with All Global Media?
  - A I don't know if I ever made that
- 8 judgment in my own mind.
  - Q Did Marion Oshita ever communicate to
- 10 you that there was a dispute over the ownership
- 11 of Worldwide Subsidy Group?
  - A No.
- MR. BOYDSTON: Did Marion Oshita ever
  explain to you that in 2005 there was a judgment ,
  handed down which held that she was not the owner
- indiaca down which note that the was not the owner
- 16 of WSG?

12

- 17 MR. MACLEAN: Objection, Your Honor.
- 18 That's not in evidence.
- MR. OLANIRAN: This is irrelevant.
- JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
- 21 MR. BOYDSTON: Did Marion Oshita ever
- 22 tell you -- and you've already testified what

266

```
it was just for years 2004, '05 and '06.
```

many checks you received from All Global Media?

- Q And after 2006, you didn't receive any
- 3 checks from All Global Media then?
  - A No.

15

16

18

19

22

filings?

- 5 Q Okay. Now, you did receive checks
- $\ell$  though form WSG and/or IPG after that, correct?
  - A Yes.
- 8 Q So, and then you were communicating
- 9 with WSG. Why was it that you didn't try to
- 10 explain to WSG that -- strike that.
- 11 Did you ever ask WSG why it was
- 12 sending you checks?
- 13 A No, because it wasn't, again, it
- 14 depends on the time factors again. I was
- 15 beginning to be suspicious fo things about 2012
- 16 which is the preponderance of the checks that
- 17 were received from Worldwide Subsidy Group.
- 18 And so, while I was curious about it.
- 19 I didn't do anything at that point but it was
- $20\,$   $\,$  soon evident after that because of my discovery
- 21 from PBS that you had, in fact, been filing on my
- 22 behalf.

1 some of your conclusions were -- but this

- 2 question's a little more detailed.
- 3 And it's whether or not Marion Oshita
- 4 ever told you that WSG was changing its name to
- 5 All Global Media? Do you ever -- did you ever
- 6 get any correspondence or any explanation like
- that?
- 8 MR. KOWALSKI: No.
- 9 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think I'm
- 10 finished, just give me one more moment to confirm
- 11 that, if I may.
- 12 Thank you, Your Honor, I have nothing
- 13 further.
- JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you. Mr.
- 15 MacLean, how many redirect questions?
- MR. MACLEAN: Four.
- JUDGE BARRETT: I'll let you ask four
- 18 questions.
- 19 Why is this examination different from
- 20 all others?
- 21 MR. MACLEAN: I didn't say one
- 22 question, Your Honor.

271 269 REDIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE STRICKLER: Cross, if I may? In the same exhibit that you have in front of you BY MR. MACLEAN: 2 Mr. Kowalski, do you -- and I don't which is IPG 53. MR. KOWALSKI: Okay. know, I don't want this question counted me against me, Your Honor. JUDGE STRICKLER: That very first Do you have IPG Exhibit 53 in front of letter, the letter on the Bob Ross letterhead. MR. KOWALSKI: Yes. you? JUDGE STRICKLER: Signed by you, sir? MR. KOWALSKI: Yes. MR. MACLEAN: IPGP 53. Let me -- if JUDGE STRICKLER: You say at the very 10 I may approach, Your Honor? beginning, Dear Mr. Boydston, we learned recently 11 JUDGE BARRETT: You may. 11 that PBS distributed \$19,000.00 and it goes on. 12 MR. KOWALSKI: I think I'm in the 1.2 How did you learn that PBS had 13 right place, yes, oh, 53, yes. 13 distributed the money? MR. MACLEAN: Okay. If you could turn 14 14 MR. KOWALSKI: Okay, I think I sent a 15 to the third page of IPGP 53 which is an email 15 letter to PBS or it was through legal counsel. from Brian Boydston to you dated February 14, 16 16 JUDGE STRICKLER: Which legal counsel 17 17 MR. KOWALSKI: Yes. 18 are you referring to? 18 BY MR. MACLEAN: 19 MR. KOWALSKI: Well, it would be Mr. 19 And looking at the email at the top 20 Hammerman, sorry. 20 there, do you see the last line that says, 21 JUDGE STRICKLER: Mr. Hammerman was 21 therefore? the first one to inform you about the \$19,000.00 272 270 begin distributed by PBS to Worldwide Subsidy 1 Group? If you will provide us with the notice 2 of termination Bob Ross gave to WSG we will MR. KOWALSKI: Yes. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. proceed accordingly and return the money to PBS. RECROSS EXAMINATION Do you see that there? BY MR. BOYDSTON: G Staying on the February 14th letter Now, you've already explained why that Mr. Maclean just asked you about, the middle there was no notice of termination. Did you have any understanding as to why WSG would return the sentence, if you will, says, WSG knows it is missing certain documents and acknowledges that 10 money to PBS? 11 it is possible that such notice was given. To your knowledge, did you ever get 12 Being informed that WSG knew it was missing some documents, did this occur to you any indication that WSG did return the money to 13 13 that this might be a misunderstanding that could 14 14 be cleared up by providing some information? 15 15 After you returned the check to WSG, 16 Misunderstanding that a notice was required? 17 did you ever receive any further correspondence 17 Well, just a misunderstanding that WSG 18 from or communication from WSG or its counsel? 18 0 didn't have all the relevant documents and it was 19 10 asking you for help to get them so that WSG 20 20 MR. MACLEAN: No further questions, itself could form an understanding of why it was 21 21 Your Honor. that you were taking the position that you were? 22 JUDGE BARRETT: Okav.

275 273 Well, I'm not sure what documents that speculation, lack of foundation and also you would have been searching for. There would relevance. JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Do you be no document that would have terminated our want to add anything to this? relationship because that was automatically taken MR. OLANIRAN: Argumentative, Your care of by the mandated agreements. They were good for one year, so no termination notices were Honor. MR. BOYDSTON: Well, you had required. communications saying to you essentially we don't 0 I understand that. To my understanding. understand everything, could you give us some information. You didn't provide any information, I understand that. Did it ever occur 10 10 to you that perhaps WSG didn't have the mandate 11 11 did you? 12 MR. KOWALSKI: No. agreements? 13 A 13 BY MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. I mean I can understand that you might 1.4 Oh, the last check that was sent to 15 have thought, well, it's WSG, they should have 15 you that Mr. MacLean asked you about, why was it 16 their agreements. And was that your thought? 16 17 that you didn't deposit that check? 17 18 Because it wasn't the total amount. 18 MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. Did it ever MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, nothing occur to you that it might help WSG if you 19 19 provided the All Global Media agreements that WSG 20 further. 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: Mr. Kowalski, I just 21 21 could see that you signed that agreement in 2005 and that agreement governed from 2005 forward? wanted to follow-up briefly on a question I'd 276 274 MR. OLANIRAN: Objection to form, asked you before. 2 You had said you when you wrote that compound. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. you had learned recently that PBS had distributed the \$19,000.00 plus, you believe you learned it MR. BOYDSTON: Did it ever occur to from Mr. Hammerman who was counsel, is that you that it might help inform WSG as to why you were taking the position you were if you gave WSG correct? MR. KOWALSKI: I must have meant that the All Global Media agreements? MR. KOWALSKI: Okav. Mv my recollection is vague on this. I may, and I interpretation of this would have been that there 9 was looking for it, I may have sent a letter on was no reason to be searching for documents my own to PBS and I -- but I can't remember 10 10 11 because there was nothing ongoing and none -precisely at this point. 11 1,2 again, you know, I think that why this reliance 12 JUDGE STRICKLER: Had you been in 13 on Bob Ross, Incorporated when none was required? touch with anyone from the SDC or the MPAA at 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

that point before you wrote the letter?

to you that you found suspicious?

MR. KOWALSKI: No.

JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.

that your daughter had been to some sort of PBS

event and that that's what kind of spiked your

suspicions. Can you explain what did she report

JUDGE FEDER: Mr. Kowalski, you said

MR. KOWALSKI: Speculating, I think I

MR. BOYDSTON: I understand. Did it

MR. BOYDSTON: Well, I'm sorry, what

ever occur to you that Worldwide Subsidy Group

might have been the victim of circumstance or

MR. MACLEAN: Objection.

JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.

MR. MACLEAN: Well, calls for

might have made a mistake?

was the objection?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

277 was already creating some questions in my mind have IPG represent Bob Ross, Inc. in any form or because of these last minute payments that we fashion going forward, correct? were getting with letterheads covered by MR. KOWALSKI: Yes. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. And the next Worldwide Subsidy Group. And probably meaning to look into this page in that exhibit is the -- I guess it's more more closely and then to hear from her that she than one question. had been at a PBS trade event where she has a The next page in that exhibit in which booth showing Bob Ross and his activities. I think the second paragraph, Mr. Boydston is And it was there that she was hearing requesting information. rumblings about that there were -- there seemed 10 Your interpretation on the basis of your January 16 letter is that you had no 11 to questions about payments of royalties, et 11 cetera. And she reported that to me and that -obligation to provide any information to Mr. 12 12 Boydston having made it clear that you had no 13 and I think my remark to her probably was, you 13 know, I've been meaning to look into that myself. relationship with him, correct? 14 14 15 MR. KOWALSKI: Correct. JUDGE STRICKLER: Did she tell you 15 about problems -- I'm sorry -- tell you about 16 MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you. 16 17 JUDGE STRICKLER: Mr. Kowalski, have 17 problems with royalties with regard to any of 18 these particular named entities or just problems you ever received from any source the payment of 18 19 with royalties in general? the \$19,503.66 that apparently was due for the 20 MR. KOWALSKI: In general, I don't 2008 year?

278

280

```
of the fact that we had a file on an incident we
     were receiving royalties from somebody. So it
     was more of the tipoff to be alert and that was
     the point at which we engaged our own counsel.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran?
                 MR. OLANIRAN: Just one quick
     question, Your Honor, if I may?
                 JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
10
                 MR. OLANIRAN: Good afternoon, Mr,
11
     Kowalski.
12
                 MR. KOWALSKI: Good afternoon.
13
                 MR. OLANIRAN: My name is Greg
14
     Olaniran. I represent MPAA. I just have one
     final -- I just have one question I want to get
15
16
     clarification on in your earlier testimony.
17
                 You sent a letter to Mr. Boydston, a
    letter dated January 16 of 2013. That's the
18
19
     first page, I believe in the --
20
                 MR. KOWALSKI: Yes, right.
21
                 MR. OLANIRAN: And I think about two
22
    paragraphs down, you instruct Mr. Boydston not to
```

think she mentioned any entities. But she knew

that we had this ongoing -- she was already aware

Worldwide Subsidy. 2 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 3 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I ask 4 a question? JUDGE BARRETT: You many. MR. BOYDSTON: Mr. Kowalski, although you did not receive a check for \$19,503.66, did you receive the check that you returned in the amount of approximately I think \$14,000.00 and 11 MR. KOWALSKI: I think it was 12 \$15,000.00-something, yes. 13 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 14 Yes. And that's the one you returned? 15 16 And I believe you -- did you receive that in April of 2013 there abouts? 17 18 А I don't remember. Was it within several months after 19 0 this email? 20 21 Not anywhere in here, okay, no, I'm a 22 little bit unsure of the date, sorry.

MR. KOWALSKI: No, we've not yet

received that money, no, that was collected by

283 281 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter JUDGE STRICKLER: Mr. Kowalski, went off the record at 3:18 p.m. and resumed at regardless of you understood was your agent for the year 2008 for purposes of collecting the 3:41 p.m.) JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. Mr. royalties, did you have an understanding that Boydston, are we ready to resume -- oh, Mr. whatever entity was your appropriate agent that Olaniran is going to resume. agent was going to take a percentage pursuant to MR. BOYDSTON: I think he's on again. your agreement with that agent? (Laughter.) MR. KOWALSKI: Yes. WHEREUPON, JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you have an 10 RAUL GALAZ, 10 understanding of what that percentage was? MR. KOWALSKI: Fifteen percent. 11 the Witness, having been previously sworn, 11 resumed the stand and testified as follows: JUDGE STRICKLER: Because that was in 12 13 13 CROSS EXAMINATION the --BY MR. OLANIRAN: MR. KOWALSKI: All Global Media. 14 14 JUDGE STRICKLER: All Global Media. 15 Good afternoon, Mr. Galaz. Greg 15 Olaniran, counsel for the Motion Picture 16 And the amount that was being withheld by IPG or 16 17 Worldwide Subsidy Group was 20 percent? 17 Association. MR. KOWALSKI: Twenty to 30 percent. A Good afternoon. 18 18 And thank you for accommodating all of 19 JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, which was it? 19 the day's schedules. You were cut at midpoint in 20 20 Do you recall off hand? Was it 20 percent? your cross-examination. I have just one 21 MR. KOWALSKI: Both, there were some 21 question, except it's in multiple parts. Just in received at 20 percent and some received at 30 282 284 the spirit of Mr. MacLean's questioning fashion. percent. Would you please turn to Exhibit 332? 2 JUDGE STRICKLER: Was the one in question, the \$19,000.00 and change, was that a (Pause.) THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. 20 percent or a 30 percent, if you know? BY MR. OLANIRAN: MR. KOWALSKI: I don't remember. You're there? And this is the JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, the numbers -affidavit that Mr. Fred Nigro, on behalf of 7 you need math to figure that out? MR. KOWALSKI: I don't -- I Worldwide Pants. Do you see that? understand. I'm sure it's here somewhere. 9 MR. BOYDSTON: I have nothing further. And the affidavit talks about why it 10 10 is -- its distributor CBS, and not IPG, is MR. KOWALSKI: Oh, this is your book. 11 11 12 entitled to claim royalties on its behalf, right? JUDGE BARRETT: Anything further from 12 If you could direct me to the 13 counsel based on Judge Strickler's last question? 13 MR. MACLEAN: No, Your Honor. paragraph. 14 If you look at paragraph eight of the 15 MR. OLANIRAN: No, Your Honor. -- it's on the next page, on page two of the JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. We are at recess 16 affidavit. 17 for 15 minutes. 17 18 A Okay, I see that paragraph. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, may the Do you see that? And it talks about 19 0 19 witness be excused? its distribution deal with CBS; correct? 20 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. 20 The distribution deal? 21 MR. KOWALSKI: Thank you. 21 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 22 It talks about CBS being its 22

288

Yes, it definitely says that CBS is 2 Α WPI's distributor, Worldwide Pants' distributor. 3

Okay, and as you know, CBS is

represented by MPAA; right?

distributor.

That's correct. Α

Okay, and the affidavit also makes

clear that IPG is not authorized to represent

Worldwide Pants in this proceeding; correct?

That's what it says.

Okay, and IPG has not produced any 12 documentary evidence to show that Worldwide Pants

has changed its position since filing this

affidavit, has it?

10

15

А

16 Okay, and in fact IPG sued Worldwide

17 Pants about IPG's right to collect U.S.

18 retransmission royalties for Worldwide Pants; is

19 that correct?

20 Α That's correct, following -- okay.

21 Yes, that's correct.

22 And in that lawsuit, for what royalty Worldwide Pants: correct?

Termination? I think it's a recession 2 А

letter, which I would characterize as somewhat

285

Q Okay, fair enough. Now let's turn to

Exhibit C of that affidavit. Are you there?

Now this is another letter from

Worldwide Pants' counsel, modifying the original

agreement, merely to change the end date of

11 termination of your agreement; correct?

I see that, yes.

13 Okay, and IPG did not produce either

one of these documents you just looked at to 14

15 MPAA, did it?

12

16 That's correct.

You've maintained -- you testified 17 0

earlier, I think yesterday, that U.S. 18

broadcasters and foreign distributors are falsely 19

attributed copyright ownership, and I think you 20

provided an exhibit in which you purported to 21

demonstrate and identify where such incidents

286

1

right to collect royalties, retransmission 2

rovalties?

Well, it's somewhat narrower than that

years are you asserting that you were denied the

I think, because we did collect for several years

outside the United States. We collected a

significant sum.

I'm actually referring to the United 0

States.

10 To the U.S., okay, In the U.S., I

think it's -- my recollection is it's two -- and 11

this is just my recollection -- it was 2000, I 12

13 think, through either 2003 or 2004. Then there

was a break, and this I do remember, that we 14

15 picked it up the 2006 filing that was made July

16 2007 and prospectively.

17 Would you please turn to exhibit -- I

quess it's Exhibit B, which is an attachment to 18

Mr. Nigro's affidavit. 19

21 And this is the August 6th, 2002

22 letter terminating IPG's representation of occurred; correct?

That's correct.

And just to be clear, the exhibit was

based on the famous Excel spreadsheet that we

have been talking about pretty much for the last

couple of days: correct?

The MPAA spreadsheet, Excel program,

Excel file that was produced in response to the 8

9 July 30 order, that's correct.

Right, and that exhibit is not based 10 0

on Ms. Saunders' written direct testimony about

the titles that MPAA is claiming in this 12

proceeding; correct?

You're asking -- I'm sorry. You're

asking me what the Excel spreadsheet is based on?

16 No. I'm asking you your exhibit,

which excerpts information, excerpted information 17

from the MPAA Excel -- I'm sorry, from the MPAA's 18

19 written direct statement.

Sure. My exhibits were constructed

21 exclusively from the Excel spreadsheet produced

22 by the MPAA.

20

291 289 using to substantiate the fact that AFI is Thank you very much. That was the entitled to royalties for the title "AFI Life clarification that I was looking for. MR. BOYDSTON: Objection. Achievement: A Tribute to Barbara Streisand"; is non-responsive to the question. Well, I think exclusively no. There's (Laughter.) also, if I recall correctly, reference to the AFI JUDGE BARRETT: Go ahead, Mr. Olaniran please. programs in the contract between IPG and the BY MR. OLANIRAN: American Film Institute. It's an exhibit. Let's turn to Exhibit 18? You're talking about your representation agreement with AFI; is that Eighteen? 10 Yes. It would be IPG 18. It's 11 11 correct? somewhat redundant. Your Honor, we actually That's correct. 12 12 Okav. So besides the representation 13 agreed before the proceeding that we were going 13 0 agreement and the only other evidence you have to make sure that the numbers didn't overlap. So 14 14 it doesn't really matter whether you identify by offered here is this, which is really -- is this 15 15 search of the public -- are the results of a any particular party. The numbers are pretty 16 16 search of the Copyright Office's public 17 much unique to each exhibit. 17 JUDGE BARRETT: We took that -- we catalogue: is that correct? 18 18 The only other thing I'd have to 19 noted that, and we're very, very appreciative of 19 the effort, and you're right. 20 check, if I could check 115, Exhibit 115, that 20 MR. OLANIRAN: I take full credit for 21 would help refresh my recollection, because 21 22 making that suggestion. otherwise I can't answer that from memory. 290 292 1 JUDGE BARRETT: The designation is not Oh. Would you like to check that now? critical, because as I said before, every party's Yes. sure. entitled to the benefit and the burden of all (Pause.) evidence that is admitted. And now that we've THE WITNESS: The American Film Institute indicates that the documents that we had this conversation. I've forgotten what number we're looking for. produced --6 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 7 BY MR. OLANIRAN: I'm sorry, Mr. Galaz. Which exhibit Eighteen. 8 0 Thank you. 9 are you looking at? I'm sorry, 115. Mr. Galaz, I meant 21. I had it mixed 10 10 up with the numbers. I meant Exhibit 21. 11 115, I'm sorry. I was looking at 15. 11 12 Okay. I have it right here. (Pause.) And now what is Exhibit 21? What does 13 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 13 14 that represent? 14 Okay, go ahead. 15 Exhibit 21 is a printout from the 15 And in looking at 115, it indicates online database of the U.S. Copyright Office. 16 that there are documents in a variety of 17 It's a -- my understanding is that it's the 17 categories that had been produced, having to do with American Film Institute. I'm not clear on 18 copyright registration for the American Film 18 which of those specifically address this Institute 2001 Lifetime Achievement Award program 19 19

20

21

22

particular program. But beyond these which have

been produced, we didn't produce our electronic

records, maybe we should have, that were --

to Barbara Streisand. That's the application

And this is the exhibit that you are

title that appears on this.

20

21

22

```
293
                                                                    representation agreement, I mean search results
    excuse me, the electronic records that were
                                                                    of the public catalogue, are you asking the
    produced to the MPAA, that were sent back from
                                                                    Judges to conclude from the search results that
    parties.
                                                                    the claimant on whose behalf IPG is advocating is
                 These would be the only documents that
                                                                    entitled to royalties?
    we presented, at least in these proceedings, to
                                                                                Well, your question just prior to this
    substantiate AFI's claim for this particular
                                                                    asked me to -- if that was the case, that I was
    program.
                                                                    relying exclusively on this, and I said I
                 Now these other documents that you
          ٥
                                                                    couldn't recall if there was any circumstances in
    referred to, were they in your written rebuttal
                                                                    which for program title we're asking or only
    statement or did you produce them afterwards, the
10
    documents that you identified in --
                                                                    presenting exclusively an online copyright
11
12
                 In 115?
                                                               12
                 Yes.
                                                               13
                                                                                This question asked me for those that
                They were compiled in a response to
                                                                    I can't identify whether that's the case. So if
                                                                    you could direct me to a particular program, I
    the MPAA rebuttal statement. So it was part of
                                                               15
                                                               16
     the exhibits that were exchanged a week prior to
                                                                    could tell you whether or not our evidence is
                                                                    exclusively an online search or not. I don't
     this proceeding.
                                                               1.7
                                                                    think that's the case, but --
                 Okay.
                                                               18
18
                                                                                Okay. Well let me ask you about for
19
                But just to clarify, they have been
                                                               19
                                                                    -- with regard to AFI, you say that's the
```

294

20

21

296

```
Well --
 1
                 It is the written rebuttal statement?
                 It wouldn't be with our, it's called
     rebuttal, to the MPAA, because it's in a response
     to your rebuttal.
                 Okay, I understand. Now for some of
     the titles that are in question, the only
     information you provide is the search results
 9
     from the public catalogue in the Copyright
     Office. This is an online search engine to
11
     search for information about different titles and
12
     names of owners of titles; correct?
1.3
           Ά
                 That's correct.
14
                 And for some of the titles that are in
     question here, the only document you provide,
15
     with perhaps the exception of what is in your
16
17
     representation agreement, is the search results
     from the public catalogue: correct?
1A
19
                 I'm not sure. I would have to go back
20
     through and think about that.
21
                 Well, let me ask it this way. For
```

those titles for which you only produced

Prior to the hearing, but not in

connection with the written rebuttal statement?

```
I'm sorry, that I can't identify.
                 That you can't -- you have the Bates
     stamp numbers, I guess, on Exhibit 115, but you
     don't know what the documents are. Is that what
     you --
                 Unless I go through them.
                 I understand, and in the interest of
     time, I don't know that that will be necessary.
     If you can't remember -- if it's in the record,
     it's in the record, right. For those that you
10
     can recall, let me ask you about DragonBall Z.
11
                 Okav.
12
                 With regards to DragonBall Z, you also
13
           0
     provide only, at least with respect to a tie to
14
     ownership. I know that you provide -- I know the
15
     search results from the Copyright Office's public
16
17
     catalogue; is that right?
                 Well, again looking at 115, if you
     look -- I know that's Funimation Productions, and
     if you look at Funimation Productions, you'll see
20
21
     that there were documents produced in several
22
     categories.
```

representation agreement, and somehow the

documents which you cannot identify.

22

20

21

previously produced.

300

```
I happen to know that just from memory
                                                                   column, the first column Item 4, and Item 8 and
     that DragonBall Z is actually identified within
                                                                   nothing else, right?
     the contracting documents that IPG had with
                                                                                MR. OLANIRAN: Item 9, Your Honor.
                                                                                JUDGE STRICKLER: Item 9, excuse me.
     Funimation Productions, and I happen to know from
                                                                                MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. Well let me ask
     my experience in the children's animation field
                                                                   you this, Mr. Galaz. Take a look at Item 15.
     that Funimation is the producer and owner of
     DragonBall Z.
                                                                   Well actually they're specific. I have the
                 Well let me ask the question this way.
     To the extent that you only provided the search
                                                                                JUDGE STRICKLER: Any way you want to
     results from the Copyright Office's public
                                                              10
                                                                   do it.
                                                                                BY MR. OLANIRAN:
     catalogue to support your position that the
                                                              11
                                                                                Okay well --
     copyright owner whose name appears on that search
                                                              12
                                                                          0
                                                                                Exhibit 15?
     result is entitled to royalties, are you asking
                                                              13
                                                                                Yes. Let's look at Item 15, and for
     the Judges to conclude from that search result
                                                              14
                                                                          0
                                                                   Dragonball Z --
15
    that that copyright owner is entitled to
                                                              1.5
                                                                                115. I'm sorry.
16
     royalties?
                                                              16
                                                                               Thank you.
17
          Α
                 So are you asking -- I'm not being
                                                              17
                                                                          0
     cute. But are you asking me as a hypothetical,
                                                                               115.
18
                                                              18
                                                                               And for DragonBall Z, other than the
     or could you direct me to a particular
                                                              19
19
     circumstance in which that's actually true.
                                                              20
                                                                   representation agreement and a kind of research
20
    because I don't think that's actually occurred.
                                                              21
                                                                   from the Copyright Office, do you have any other
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, is your
                                                                   documents?
```

297

298

```
question premised on if we tried to turn this
    into a reference to IPG P-115, whether he's
     asking this to accept his position based on
     documents that only fall within the column that's
     called Item 9 and Item 4, and nothing else?
                MR. OLANIRAN: I'm not sure I
 7
    understand your question.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: You wanted to know
 8
 9
    whether or not IPG was -- there were certain
10
     situations in which IPG is only relying on the
11
    catalogue search: correct?
                MR. OLANIRAN: Correct.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: And isn't that the
13
     column that's Item 9 in IPG 115?
15
                 MR. OLANIRAN: That's correct, Your
16
    Honor.
17
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. So can you
18
    reference him to one or more of the ones that
    you're talking about? It's not that arduous, and
19
    you're not -- you're not omitting Item 4.
20
21
                You're saying if it's only -- you're
```

asking him about agreements that are only in the

And those would be the documents in Item 10, which is correspondence between the various parties. Well, it indicates it's in -- well, it indicates that there's a representation agreement. I happen to know that the representation agreement explicitly says DragonBall Z. It's listed on the exhibit. I 10 just remember it. That's also --11 JUDGE STRICKLER: Are we talking about 12 the line item for Funimation? THE WITNESS: That's correct, Your 13 Honor. So if you go across, you can see there's documents that have been produced in Item 6, 15 16 which is simply documents that were provided to 17 us in connection with the contracting documents. So it will -- it will typically be 18 actually a schedule in or an exhibit to the 19

contracting document that will identify a

particular program or a correspondence that came

20

21

22

back, you know.

22

304

301

```
That may have been a cover letter
     saying hey, these are our programs. There's
     also, let's see Item 7, which is IPG represented
    programs previously provided to IPG. So there's
     probably some correspondence in connection with
     that.
                 There's account statements from AGICOA
    NCC, Copyright Collective of Canada for
     IPG-represented programs. That's Item 8, and
     that's the basis of what's been previously
     produced to the MPAA that would substantiate the
     claim of Funimation Productions to DragonBall Z.
                 So were any --
                 In addition to the copyright search.
14
                 Well let me just ask you a general
16
     question about it. There is no instance where
17
     you rely solely on -- for you claim about a
18
     title, where you rely solely on the search
    results from the public catalogue and your
19
20
     representation agreement?
              I don't know. I'd have to go back and
21
```

```
search with Internet, maybe a database, IMDB.com.
2
                It could include copyright
    registrations. It could include -- there was one
```

instance in which it was a third party that had

testified about Infomercial Monitoring Service.

Well let me rephrase the question then, just to make it easier. Is there an

instance where you've produced only something

that you categorize in Item 4 and Item 9?

And I testified before, I don't believe so. But to answer Judge Strickler's 11

question, because Item 9 is broader than just an 12

online search of the Copyright Office, I can't

just look at those two and be able to answer your

question.

10

15

I think Judge Strickler asked you to 16 simply look at 115, to determine whether you have 17 any claims for which you've provided support only 18 from Item 4 and Item 9. 19

JUDGE STRICKLER: That's the question. 20

THE WITNESS: I could do that. 21

JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, does the

302

Well let's move on.

JUDGE STRICKLER: Can't you do that

from looking at Exhibit 115?

THE WITNESS: So it would be a

cross-reference if there was only something in

Item 4 and Item 9. But actually that's not

completely accurate, because Item 4 does not

always include the online search. It's much

broader and so it might not include just the

10 online search.

look at that.

11 So again, if you were to narrow it to the ones that we produced in this particular

proceeding, then it would be narrower than what's

9

15

BY MR. OLANIRAN:

16 I don't -- you mean Item 4 is just a

17 representation agreement. I don't know how that

18 would be a public search.

No, no. No, what I'm saying is Item 1.9

9 includes, if you read it, it says "Catalogue 20

21 Search by IPG and Third Parties Copyright

22 Mortgages." That can include, for instance, a document speak for itself? You think the

document is accurate, and if it speaks for itself

in that regard, you have no corrections to it.

THE WITNESS: That's correct, Your

Honor.

13

20

NIDGE STRICKLER: We don't have to go

through it.

BY MR. OLANIRAN:

I think you also have a declaration

from Mr. Cosgrove, a line that I think it's

Allied Communications is making a claim for

Victim of Love, is that right? Are you still 12

maintaining that in light of Ms. Saunders'

testimony this morning?

I think what we'd have to go back and 15

look at is to see, you know, whether it's the

17 same Victim of Love broadcasts that are being

18 made claim for. There's admittedly circumstances

where there's the same programming, and I don't 19

think that there's any doubt about that.

Mr. Galaz, this issue came up in the 21 1997 Phase 2 Cable Proceeding, and you testified 22

	305		307	
1	in that proceeding; correct?	1	BY MR. OLANIRAN:	
2	A Yes, I did.	2	Q Okay. Mr. Galaz, just could you flip	
3	Q And you made the same allegation in	3	to the second page of that document?	
4	that proceeding, didn't you?	4	A Okay.	
5	A Actually, I don't recall that. In	5	Q And sort of beginning about	
6	fact, I hadn't even recalled frankly, I had	6	beginning at the top of the page, there's a list	
7	not even recalled remotely that there had been	7	of titles; do you see that?	
8	any issue with Victim of Love.	8	A Yes.	
9	O You had no recollection whatsoever?	9	Q And it's an email chain, this	
10	A I had absolutely no recollection	10	particular one to I assume similarly addressed	
11	having to do with that particular issue, of the	11	to a Denise. I assume that's Ms	
12			MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm sorry.	
13			It's restricted.	
14			JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, it is.	
15	Communications.	15	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, while we	
16	Q Approach, Your Honor?	16	were in this hearing, in my spare time I	
17	JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.	17	identified the six that on Exhibit 115 that	
18	(Pause.)	18	Judge Strickler was asking about. If you'd like,	
19	JUDGE BARRETT: This would be what's	19	I can tell them to you, the ones that have a	
20	pre-marked as MPAA 361.	20	reference only for Item 4 and Item 9.	
21	(Whereupon, the above-referred to	21	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.	
22	document was marked as MPAA Exhibit	22	JUDGE STRICKLER: We'll include it,	
	306			
11	306		308	
1	No. 361 for identification.)	1	and he's in the middle of something. So why	
1 2		1 2		
	No. 361 for identification.)		and he's in the middle of something. So why	
2	No. 361 for identification.) BY MR. OLANIRAN:	2	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?	
2	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a	2	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.	
2 3 4	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a  document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in	2 3 4	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.	
2 3 4 5	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?	2 3 4 5	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more	
2 3 4 5	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.	
2 3 4 5 6	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?	2 3 4 5 6	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I	
2 3 4 5 6 7	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can	2 3 4 5 6 7	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.	
2 3 4 5 7 8	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more  crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I  don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.  Q Produced in discovery by whom?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Okay, and you're on the second page of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.  Q Produced in discovery by whom?  A By IPG.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Okay, and you're on the second page of that exhibit, right Mr. Galanz?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.  Q Produced in discovery by whom?  A By IPG.  Q To the parties; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Okay, and you're on the second page of that exhibit, right Mr. Galanz?  A Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.  Q Produced in discovery by whom?  A By IPG.  Q To the parties; correct?  A That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Okay, and you're on the second page of that exhibit, right Mr. Galanz?  A Yes.  Q And you see the list of titles?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.  Q Produced in discovery by whom?  A By IPG.  Q To the parties; correct?  A That's correct.  MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, move to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Okay, and you're on the second page of that exhibit, right Mr. Galanz?  A Yes.  Q And you see the list of titles? A Yes.	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.  Q Produced in discovery by whom?  A By IPG.  Q To the parties; correct?  A That's correct.  MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, move to admit MPAA I'm sorry, Exhibit 361.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Okay, and you're on the second page of that exhibit, right Mr. Galanz?  A Yes.  Q And you see the list of titles?  A Yes.  Q And the second title on the list of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.  Q Produced in discovery by whom?  A By IPG.  Q To the parties; correct?  A That's correct.  MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, move to admit MPAA I'm sorry, Exhibit 361.  MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Okay, and you're on the second page of that exhibit, right Mr. Galanz?  A Yes.  Q And you see the list of titles?  A Yes.  Q And the second title on the list of titles is I'm sorry. Yes, the second title	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.  Q Produced in discovery by whom?  A By IPG.  Q To the parties; correct?  A That's correct.  MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, move to admit MPAA I'm sorry, Exhibit 361.  MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.  MR. MACLEAN: No objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Okay, and you're on the second page of that exhibit, right Mr. Galanz?  A Yes.  Q And you see the list of titles?  A Yes.  Q And the second title on the list of titles is I'm sorry. Yes, the second title says "Victim of Love: The Shannon Mohr Story."	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.  Q Produced in discovery by whom?  A By IPG.  Q To the parties; correct?  A That's correct.  MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, move to admit MPAA I'm sorry, Exhibit 361.  MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.  MR. MACLEAN: No objection.  JUDGE BARRETT: 361 is admitted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Okay, and you're on the second page of that exhibit, right Mr. Galanz?  A Yes.  Q And you see the list of titles?  A Yes.  Q And the second title on the list of titles is I'm sorry. Yes, the second title says "Victim of Love: The Shannon Mohr Story."  Do you see that?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	No. 361 for identification.)  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Mr. Galaz, I have just handed you a document pre-marked as 361. Do you have that in front of you?  A Yes, I do.  Q And do you recognize that document?  A Off the top of my head, no. But I can see that it was produced in discovery from the Bates stamps.  Q Produced in discovery by whom?  A By IPG.  Q To the parties; correct?  A That's correct.  MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, move to admit MPAA I'm sorry, Exhibit 361.  MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.  MR. MACLEAN: No objection.  JUDGE BARRETT: 361 is admitted.  (Whereupon, the above-referred to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and he's in the middle of something. So why don't you just hold it, if you don't mind?  MR. BOYDSTON: No, I certainly don't.  Thanks.  JUDGE STRICKLER: If it was a more crowded room you'da had me.  MR. OLANIRAN: I think I moved I don't recall if 361 was admitted.  JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.  BY MR. OLANIRAN:  Q Okay, and you're on the second page of that exhibit, right Mr. Galanz?  A Yes.  Q And you see the list of titles?  A Yes.  Q And the second title on the list of titles is I'm sorry. Yes, the second title says "Victim of Love: The Shannon Mohr Story."  Do you see that?  A That's correct.	

312

309

- Okay, and when you were communicating
- with Mr. Cosgrove, I assume -- strike that. I

Yes.

- assume you had communications with Mr. Cosgrove
- before you finalized his affidavit; correct?
- I'm sorry. Can you repeat that
- question?
- 0 I said I assume that you had
- communications with Mr. Cosgrove before he
- completed his affidavit? 10
- That's correct. 11
- 12 Okay, and as you testified, I believe 0
- yesterday, in some cases you actually drafted a 13
- template of the affidavit and sent it to your
- claimant; correct?
- That's correct.
- 17 And when they said to you these are
- 18 the titles that we're claiming, and what further
- 19 investigation did you do besides just taking the
- information from them and then putting it in your 20
- 21 written rebuttal statement?
- What further --

- declaration. I mean I happen to know that
  - there's probably other evidence having to do with
  - that. It's not referenced in Exhibit 115 because
  - his claim wasn't being challenged so --
  - I understand what you're saying. I'm
  - sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off.
    - No. go ahead.
  - Exhibit 115 is information that you
  - took from MPAA. My question is a little bit
  - different. Exhibit 115 came from -- I'm sorry.
  - 11 I'm sorry.

12

- My question really is what other
- 13 information did your client give you to
- 14 substantiate its claim to the titles that have
- now -- or that are now purportedly in conflict? 15
- 16 I would say that in general, nothing
- more was provided, because all that was requested 17
- was the declaration. Typically, some of them 18
- offered other matters. They would just include 19
- -- and the one that comes to mind was 20
- 21 Freewheelin' Films, that sent a variety of
- materials --

310

- Let's stick with --
  - With regard to Cosgrove Meurer, just
  - declaration. I didn't request any further
- information, and so it was only the declaration
- that he provided.
- Okay, and on that declaration, he also
- mentioned Yesterday's Children. He didn't
- provide you anything else other than his words;
- correct?
- Other than his declaration, that's 10 Α
- 11 correct.
- And with regard to Presumed Guilty, he 12
- didn't provide anything else; correct? 13
- That's correct. 14
- And you didn't endeavor to go on IMDB, 15
- which I know you've testified that you used quite
- extensively. You didn't endeavor to look to see
- perhaps if that could be a conflict with regard
- to the titles that he's claiming? 19
- 20 Actually, I'm not sure if I can answer
- 21 that, because I know that for certain titles, I
- did. I'm just not immediately recalling which

What further investigation did you do, yes, about whether or not the claims that your

claimants were making were valid.

- Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you were
- asking what further investigation about whether
- there's a conflicting claim, or whether our
- claims were valid.
- Actually, I meant conflicting claim,
- 9 ves.

17

- 10 That there was a conflicting claim?
- 11 Q Yes.
- 12 It was based purely on the Excel
- spreadsheet that was produced. If the Excel 13
- spreadsheet reflected that there was a particular 14
- 15 MPAA-represented copyright owner, purported
- copyright owner or agent, that was making claim 16
- we ran basically a comparison of those two, in 18
- order to find what conflicts came up.
- What information did Mr. Cosgrove give

for a particular program for a particular year,

- you to support his claim for a particular title?
- 22 In this particular case, his

	313		31!	
1	ones, and there's a reason for that.	1	this?	
2	(Pause.)	2	MR. OLANIRAN: Impeachment, Your	
3	BY MR. OLANIRAN:	3	Honor. '	
4	Q And do you recall on I think it was	4	JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.	
r,	I'm losing my dates, but I think it was on	5	MR. BOYDSTON: Can I let the people	
6	Tuesday, I think, was when you first got when	6	back in?	
7	we first started having a conversation, and I	7	JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. I was just going	
8	asked you if you were still paying restitution to		to say, are we still in restricted?	
9	MPAA?	9	MR. OLANIRAN: I have no further	
10	A That's correct.	10	questions, Your Honor.	
11	Q And you responded that that is the	11	MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, I'm sorry. Go	
12	case, and you said, I recall, it was 25 percent	12	ahead.	
13	of your income; correct?	13	CROSS EXAMINATION	
14	A That's correct.	14	BY MR. MACLEAN:	
15	Q Do you know what the amount was or	15	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Galaz.	
16	amount is?	16	A Good afternoon.	
17	A That's remaining?	17	Q I may be sending you on a document	
18	Q That you're paying on a monthly basis.	18	hunt here. I've got a few exhibits here that	
19	A No.	19	were only offered into evidence or only added to	
20	Q You have no idea?	20	the binders first time today.	
21	A At this point, no.	21	So first, I'm going to ask you if you	
22	Q Did you at any point remember how much	22	can locate there IPG Exhibit 125, which might	
	314		31	
1	314	1	31 which I presume was added to the end of the IPG	
1 2	314 A I'm sure at some point I recalled,			
		1	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG	
2	A I'm sure at some point I recalled,	1 2	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.	
2	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that	2 3	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was	
2 3 4	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.	1 2 3 4	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.	
2 3 4 5	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount	1 2 3 4 5	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.	
2 3 4 5	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly	1 2 3 4 5 6	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:	
2 3 4 5 6	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about that?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the Copyright Office public catalogue; correct?	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about that?  A Yes. I'm certain that it hasn't been	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the  Copyright Office public catalogue; correct?  A That's correct.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about that?  A Yes. I'm certain that it hasn't been stagnant.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the  Copyright Office public catalogue; correct?  A That's correct.  Q Did you do the research to do these	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about that?  A Yes. I'm certain that it hasn't been stagnant.  Q Do you know what, how much the amount	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the  Copyright Office public catalogue; correct?  A That's correct.  Q Did you do the research to do these searches and printouts yourself?	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about that?  A Yes. I'm certain that it hasn't been stagnant.  Q Do you know what, how much the amount started out with?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the  Copyright Office public catalogue; correct?  A That's correct.  Q Did you do the research to do these searches and printouts yourself?  A Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about that?  A Yes. I'm certain that it hasn't been stagnant.  Q Do you know what, how much the amount started out with?  A No, I have no idea.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the  Copyright Office public catalogue; correct?  A That's correct.  Q Did you do the research to do these searches and printouts yourself?  A Yes.  Q Will you take a look at the second	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about that?  A Yes. I'm certain that it hasn't been stagnant.  Q Do you know what, how much the amount started out with?  A No, I have no idea.  Q So how long have you been paying	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the  Copyright Office public catalogue; correct?  A That's correct.  Q Did you do the research to do these searches and printouts yourself?  A Yes.  Q Will you take a look at the second page of IPG 125? This is a printout for the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 16 17 18	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about that?  A Yes. I'm certain that it hasn't been stagnant.  Q Do you know what, how much the amount started out with?  A No, I have no idea.  Q So how long have you been paying restitution?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the  Copyright Office public catalogue; correct?  A That's correct.  Q Did you do the research to do these searches and printouts yourself?  A Yes.  Q Will you take a look at the second page of IPG 125? This is a printout for the title Mighty Morphin Power Rangers; correct?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about that?  A Yes. I'm certain that it hasn't been stagnant.  Q Do you know what, how much the amount started out with?  A No, I have no idea.  Q So how long have you been paying restitution?  MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll object	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which I presume was added to the end of the IPG exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the  Copyright Office public catalogue; correct?  A That's correct.  Q Did you do the research to do these searches and printouts yourself?  A Yes.  Q Will you take a look at the second page of IPG 125? This is a printout for the title Mighty Morphin Power Rangers; correct?  A Correct.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I'm sure at some point I recalled, because it's a calculation of net income that gets paid to the U.S. Attorney's Office.  Q Are you suggesting that that amount may have changed over the years, the monthly amount would have changed over the years?  A Yes, yes.  Q And you're absolutely certain about that?  A Yes. I'm certain that it hasn't been stagnant.  Q Do you know what, how much the amount started out with?  A No, I have no idea.  Q So how long have you been paying restitution?  MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll object on relevance. I mean this crime is common	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	exhibit binders.  JUDGE BARRETT: I recall that one was put in the binder.  THE WITNESS: I think I have it.  BY MR. MACLEAN:  Q You have it in front of you now?  A Yes, I do.  Q Now these are printouts from the  Copyright Office public catalogue; correct?  A That's correct.  Q Did you do the research to do these searches and printouts yourself?  A Yes.  Q Will you take a look at the second page of IPG 125? This is a printout for the title Mighty Morphin Power Rangers; correct?  A Correct.  Q And the copyright claimant here is	

320

```
317
     familiar with Saban Entertainment, Inc.?
                 At one time I was very familiar. I
 2
     mean I know who they are, yes.
                And how are you familiar with Saban
          0
     Entertainment, Inc.?
                 Saban Entertainment is very well known
    in the industry, because of the way they came
     into existence and their extraordinary success
     specifically with Mighty Morphin Power Rangers.
                 They started off as an entity that
10
     went to animation studios and specifically --
11
12
     the one that does the Flintstones, that had the
13
    huge library?
14
                 JUDGE FEDER: Hanna-Barbera?
                 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
15
    Hanna-Barbera, Hanna-Barbera, thank you, Your
16
    Honor. Went to Hanna-Barbera and others like DIC
17
    and made them an offer they couldn't refuse
18
19
    saying --
                BY MR. MACLEAN:
20
                That's really not --
```

```
I'm not sure if it's all of them,
 1
     because this is the MPAA exhibit being made.
     Made claim in other years as well, but on these
     certifications, they reflect a claim for the
     Power Rangers programming.
                 Now were you --
 7
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I want to
     briefly for the record interpose an objection.
     The objection is as follows. I believe that
 9
10
     these questions are irrelevant to the issues that
11
    involve the SDC. These issues only IPG's
     rebuttal of the MPAA.
12
                 This really simply -- this all has to
13
     do only with the MPAA, the IPG rebuttal of the
14
     MPAA. It has nothing to do with the SDC and it's
15
     simply amounts to a second cross-examination of
16
     my witness, and I object on those grounds.
17
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Mr.
18
19
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, it's
     relevant to impeachment, and I will add, with
```

318

here. We have an interest in these proceedings and we've been participating at all stages.

respect to relevance, I'm not a potted plant

JUDGE BARRETT: I'm not sure what you think you're impeaching and how. We can read

these lists, okay. On the certifications, there's Power Rangers, Lightspeed Rescue, Power

Rangers Lost Galaxy, Power Rangers Time Force,

Lightspeed Rescue. That might be the same one I

10 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, may I simply ask the witness whether he's aware of a

12 relationship between Saban Entertainment, Inc. 13

and Fox Family Worldwide, Inc.? 14 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, you may.

15 BY MR. MACLEAN:

Mr. Galaz, are you aware of a 16 relationship between Saban Entertainment, Inc. 17

and Fox Family Worldwide, Inc.? 18

> At this point now, yes. Α

20 Now you are? 21 Now there's I think a relationship

between Fox and Saban. That was actually my

me background on them and I know a fair amount of

You asked background. You were asking

background.

22

I was asking actually how are you familiar with Saban Entertainment, Inc.?

Knowledge of the children's animation

field.

And for reference, and I mean you might be able to confirm this without looking at

the exhibit, but I'm going to refer to MPAA

Exhibit 336, which is I believe the certification

11 to which the Mighty Morphin Power Rangers'

12 copyright reference relates.

13 This is a certification for ABC Family

Worldwide, Inc., formerly known as Fox Family 14

15 Worldwide, Inc. Is that your understanding?

Well, I see Exhibit 336 and it is certification for satellite calendar or broadcast 17

year 2000-2001, cable or satellite, 2002. 18

Okay, and I'm just trying to 19

understand your contention. Is this the MPAA 20

certification to which the Power Rangers public 21

catalogue reference relates?

19

16

323 321 testimony during the strike, if you recall. 1 paralegal. If you could take a look at that MPAA THE WITNESS: My misunderstanding. I'm sorry. Exhibit 360? BY MR. MACLEAN: I have through 358 and then 361. 0 Was Mike Cutler authorized to act on MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I believe behalf of International Producers Group? that was a new one, and maybe separately --THE WITNESS: This is 361? Well. International Producers Group. I'm sorry. JUDGE BARRETT: 360 is an email string. He clearly erred when he said International Producers Group. If he did --(Off mic comments.) THE WITNESS: Oh wait, here it was. 11 0 Independent Producers Group. It was intended to be Independent 12 12 It was just sitting next to me. 13 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I would 1.3 Producers Group. The only thing that he was engaged to do was to try to locate these parties. 14 like to pose the same objection that I just made 14 before. I won't repeat it. I think you might 15 15 That was all. But he was engaged to do that on remember it. If not, I'll say it again. 0 16 16 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, Go ahead. 17 behalf of IPG? 17 That's right, just to get correct 18 Mr. MacLean. contact information for them. 19 BY MR. MACLEAN: MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, may I have 20 Mr. Garaz, on the first page, the this exhibit marked as the next exhibit in order? 21 email in the middle from Ashley Morgan to Greq O'Laneran (phonetic), and you'll see -- and I'm JUDGE BARRETT: You may, but we won't 324 322 just going to ask you if you know who's being have time for questions on it today. So you might want to just hang on to it. referred to here. MR. MACLEAN: Okay. The third paragraph of that email it JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. We says "I also received an email in May from a Mike 5 Cutler with a similar message. He claimed to be are at recess until nine o'clock Monday morning. working on behalf of Pick and Boydston for their MR. BOYDSTON: I have one direct or client's International Producers Group." Do you redirect. Should I do that and then maybe he's know who Mike Cutler is? done? JUDGE BARRETT: No, he's not done with 10 Who is Mike Cutler? 11 Mike Cutler is an attorney that works 11 MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, I'm sorry. I 12 in some connection with Pick and Boydston. 12 misunderstood. TIDGE BARRETT: No. 13 He was engaged when the 2000-2003 13 proceedings started, to assist us in locating MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, this is my 14 14 certain IPG represented claimants, and whose very last exhibit and then I'll be done with my 15 15 cross. I'm just making that offer. 16 contact information had changed and was no longer 16 JUDGE BARRETT: I appreciate it, but 17 helpful. 17 18 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, certainly Mr. Galaz will be here on Monday, I presume, and 18 I should clarify as an officer of the court, Mr. we cannot go late today. We were late yesterday, 19 19 20 Cutler is not a lawyer, has never been a lawyer, so the rest of us have other things on the 20 and I know has never represented himself as a docket. I'm sure you do too. So we'll see you 21 lawyer, but did work for us and still does as a all at nine o'clock Monday morning.

325 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: Are we off the record? One last thing. We'll see you at nine o'clock Monday morning, if the government is open. The bill, I don't think, has passed both houses and there's rumbling about vetoing afoot. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, should we just assume that if we read in the news that the bill did not pass, that we should not bother JUDGE BARRETT: You might just try calling the Library's main number or looking at 12 its website, because information will be available. 15 MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, thank you. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 16 went off the record at 4:29 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21

agent's 71:16

I	
Į	Ā
	<b>\$14,000.00</b> 280:9
1	\$15,000.00-something
	280:12
١	<b>\$15,602.00</b> 234:1,7
1	<b>\$19,000.00</b> 271:12,22
Ì	276:4 282:3
ı	<b>\$19,503.00</b> 231:13
ı	<b>\$19,503.66</b> 233:11
I	279:19 280:7
l	\$3,901.00 231:15,18
I	\$ <b>700</b> 21:21 a.m 1:19 5:2 91:10,11
ı	ABC 22:14 25:15 26:1
l	84:5 93:16 156:16
1	157:3 181:9 318:13
١	abilities 187:9
١	able 18:15 118:3 194:9
l	203:9 303:14 318:8
I	abouts 280:17
I	above-entitled 1:19
1	91:9 193:10 283:1
l	325:16
ı	above-referred 6:7 15:3
ı	24:13 46:22 59:14 63:17 66:21 68:10
١	132:20 157:6 208:5
	217:17 219:9 221:8
İ	222:19 229:11 233:7
١	234:13 235:11 238:7
l	246:12 305:21 306:20
l	absence 231:11
ı	absolutely 82:9 144:16
ı	158:20 184:4,4
1	305:10 314:9
ı	accept 36:2 298:3 accepted 216:1 223:4
ı	accident 210:1 223.4
H	accommodating
I	283:19
l	accompany 93:7,9
	accorded 154:22
I	account 201:18 225:14
I	251:12 301:7
I	accountant 225:8
I	accounted 204:9 206:3
I	accounting 10:11
۱	231:12
I	accurate 17:14 169:11 170:6 184:2 191:18
۱	302:7 304:2
۱	accurately 167:10
ا	190:14
ا	ACG 50:7,8
۱	Achievement 127:19
11	200-10 201-2

11

acknowledge 142:2 155:2 251:21 acknowledges 272:10 acknowledgment 236:2 acronyms 50:3 act 55:16 232:4 323:5 action 38:21 40:9 153:22 169:15 actions 200:12 201:15 201:18 activities 209:22 211:11 228:4 277:8 activity 88:15 170:4 211:10 actors 205:12 add 46:7 246:22 275:4 319:21 added 264:17 315:19 316:1 addition 181:3 246:19 301:14 additional 63:13 77:16 147:16 151:8 155:16 155:19 156:1 161:10 180:18 200:18 additionally 52:15 additions 147:20 address 7:2 36:7 43:8 44:21 46:16 48:13 60:12,15,15,21,22 61:14,16 64:9 65:15 65:18 79:1 81:21 111:4 123:5 131:12 155:16 258:14,16 292:19 addressed 41:8 62:16 64:4 67:21 81:10,19 104:12 108:8 109:13 110:5,16 111:10 112:2 121:10,12 122:10 146:3 155:17 176:5,8,10 178:21 200:3 307:10 addresses 48:14 61:9 110:11 127:18 179:4 addressing 32:15,16 79:21 81:4 administration 19:11 21:5 administrator 212:12 admissibility 12:20 admissible 42:19 admission 82:6 87:10 146:14 149:15 207:21 229:16 245:3

58:11 59:10 63:8 66:15 68:8 154:14,15 154:15,17 217:12 218:20 244:3 245:13 245:15 246:8 306:16 admitted 5:14 6:6 7:10 7:19 12:14 15:2 16:16 22:7 24:12.18 26:5 30:1 31:4 42:13.21.22 43:2 46:10.21 49:9 51:8 54:12 59:12 63:16 65:1 67:4 68:9 70:10 88:7.9 117:18 139:15 145:17,18 149:11,13 157:5 173:18 175:4,5 208:4 217:16,18 219:8,10 221:7,9 222:18,20 229:9,10,12 233:6,8 234:12,14 235:10,12 238:6,8 242:22 243:16 244:5 245:18 246:13 247:10,11 255:2 290:4 306:19 308:8 admittedly 55:22 304:18 admitting 41:22 ads 211:17 advanced 211:13 adversarial 231:11 advocating 295:4 affidavit 109:4 284:7,10 284:17 285:7,14 286:19 287:6 309:5 309:10,14 affiliated 21:8 affirm 207:15 afford 240:22 **AFI** 71:7 127:18,21 128:11,11,12 129:17 130:3,6 291:1,2,6,10 295:20 AFI's 293:6 afoot 325:6 aftermath 103:2 afternoon 192:20 193:13 208:16,17 241:14,20 278:10,12 283:15,18 315:15,16 agency 154:21 agent 36:16 47:16,17 48:2,4 64:8 74:16,17 198:7,20 199:2 202:11 281:2,5,6,7

agents 19:15,16 35:14 35:15,19 36:3,9,18,20 37:1,16 38:20 39:2 54:1 78:17,18 156:11 185:18 203:11,12 **AGICOA** 15:11,18 16:3 16:4,8 17:13,20 18:11 18:12,17,19 19:6,17 19:19 20:2,6,19,20,22 21:7,10,11 91:20 92:18 93:1,4 301:7 AGICOA's 93:4 ago 16:2 19:19 75:21 121:6 agree 91:4 110:18 157:13 211:1 248:1 251:7,8 agreed 71:20 194:1 210:13 216:1 224:5 289:13 agreement 4:9 9:16 36:6,10,19 48:22 51:7 71:9,10 74:20 96:13 96:14 97:16 98:8,17 101:17 102:14,17 122:17,19 125:15 126:2,2,6,13,18,22 127:10 131:9 162:19 163:4,6,21 164:3,10 169:13 197:8 214:12 214:17 215:19,22 216:4,8,12,14 217:1 218:3,6,16 219:1,19 219:22 220:1 221:16 223:1,19 224:7,15,18 227:13 230:7 231:21 254:14 255:5 256:10 256:21,22 258:2 259:5,14,22 267:6 273:21,22 281:7 287:10,11 291:10,14 294:17 295:1,21 299:20 300:7,8 301:20 302:17 agreements 29:3 37:21 38:1 50:17 51:18 52:22 55:8,20 67:8,9 78:22 93:17 96:5,8,17 96:21 97:13 98:4,21 99:1,4,12 101:22 102:2 122:21 124:3 218:9 220:5,18 223:21 224:8,19 257:8,17 273:5,12,16 273:20 274:7 298:22

310:16

admit 8:15 14:17 41:16

290:19 291:3

ahead 38:4 51:14 54:14 59:8 68:18 73:7 87:1 114:15 115:10 147:14 152:22 188:16 189:8 214:20 247:7 250:2 289:6 292:14 311:7 315:12 321:17 aimed 78:17 air 211:2 213:2 214:15 alert 278:3 alerted 88:18 allegation 305:3 Allied 3:18 30:1.20 304:11 305:14 allocations 12:5 allow 152:15 237:11 allowed 56:13 alternative 223:10 America 2:18 American 290:18 291:8 292:4,18 **Amity 86:9** amount 81:22 231:15 232:20,21 233:22 234:6 235:19,22,22 253:13 275:18 280:9 281:16 313:15,16 314:5,7,13 318:1 amounts 82:2 319:16 Anaconda 154:1,4 156:14,14 157:12 and/or 266:6 Angela 154:1,4 156:13 156:14 157:11 Angeles 2:5 animated 187:5 animation 297:5 317:11 318:5 answer 39:9 79:19 105:6 111:17 112:9 150:14 151:13,16 164:6,7 175:21 191:7 226:12 227:1 264:3 291:22 303:11,14 312:20 answered 13:5,10 45:14 150:12 163:4 180.7 answers 75:18 137:8 150:3 anteroom 9:17 131:9 anticipated 163:1 anybody 83:10 108:3 anything's 147:10 anyway 52:6 94:17 109:10 146:1 147:12

222:4 apologize 11:10 60:3 128:4 132:6 136:13 141:16 150:5 164:16 168:1 173:12 178:17 184:18 187:18 257:13 264:5 apparent 88:1 apparently 49:1 262:16 279:19 appeal 187:7 213:14 appear 35:5 113:11 116:2 144:9,10 **APPEARANCES** 2:1 appears 26:10,15 33:20 59:21 72:7 89:17 128:13 144:4 247:21 262:22 290:21 297:12 append 155:19 appended 11:18 appendices 11:4,11 117:19 120:3,3 138:20 170:3 Appendix 116:2,9,13 116:16,17,18,18,22 117:3,8,9 119:8,9 applicant 27:22 application 26:15 201:16 204:7 290:20 applications 97:17 204:20 apply 9:4 180:17,19 appreciate 123:2 139:2 151:13 254:3 324:17 appreciated 114:19 appreciative 289:19 approach 153:3 242:9 247:19 254:21 261:5 269:10 305:16 approached 210:21 214:6 appropriate 79:10 128:17 281:5 approval 193:19 approve 219:20 approved 214:17 approximately 103:4 265:20 280:9 April 280:17 arduous 298:19 argued 199:6 argument 78:17 109:8 109:9,15 205:18

**Argumentative 275:5** 

ARNOLD 2:13

arguments 78:15 80:21

arose 205:21 arrangement 10:13 arrive 40:13 art 213:11 articulated 149:17 155:15 artist 210:9,12 artistic 212:12 Ashley 86:8 321:21 asked 13:4,9 14:10 39:19 44:8 45:13 65:1 88:18 91:18 93:21 94:4,15 97:4 106:22 130:9 137:8 140:17 146:20 150:11 162:1 164:1,3 165:10 175:12 180:7 191:2,6 192:4 210:11 228:12 243:4 248:9 257:2 264:22 272:8 275:16 276:1 295:7,13 303:16 313:8 317:22 asking 9:4 12:16 14:13 39:22 81:6 97:1 101:3 111:10 114:6 135:4 136:20 139:2 142:14 142:17 150:22 151:17 151:18 153:14 197:2 218:2,15 221:19 235:18 243:22 249:9 253:12 272:20 288:14 288:15,16 295:2,10 297:13,17,18 298:3 298:22 307:18 310:5 317:22 318:3 assert 72:9 asserted 20:9 144:1 asserting 49:2 141:5 165:17 286:1 assertion 81:17,22 83:18 assertions 82:1 assignable 28:4,6 assigned 28:6 67:11 128:19 228:14 assignee 48:6 assignment 95:1,14 99:5 assigns 156:12 assist 322:14 assistance 242:17 associated 96:11 185:20 209:17 association 2:17 55:6 283:17 assume 96:22 97:8,9

102:22 105:22 106:18 111:15 117:4 307:10 307:11 309:3,4,8 325:8 assumed 105:13 208:12 223:8 256:19 258:3 assuming 96:22 assumption 136:14 202:17 assumptions 125:19 assure 18:2 60:10 **ATA** 124:9,10 attach 251:3 attached 11:11 49:15 49:19,21 50:16 58:14 60:7 67:8 71:8 72:2,8 74:19,21 85:6 96:13 99:14 117:10,19 122:17 124:8,13,22 125:6 126:3,13 139:7 141:2 162:7 182:21 190:9 219:19 235:16 248:5 263:1 attaches 48:17 attachment 3:12 30:17 215:11,13 217:4,6,7 217:12,15,19,21 218:20 219:7,11,13 219:17 221:6,10,12 221:13 222:13,17,21 223:2 224:18 228:20 228:22 229:1,4,8,10 229:13,16,19 230:8 230:10 232:9,10 233:1,1,2,3,5,9,14,15 233:17 234:3,9,11,15 234:17,21 235:6,9,13 236:8,22 237:3,14,16 238:2,5,9 244:16,16 244:20 245:4 247:2 250:21 251:3,4,9 252:3 255:3,4 256:7 258:9 286:18 attachments 50:11 51:1 63:13 65:5,9 67:14 69:7 138:20 215:12 218:19 250:17 attack 155:19 204:4 attempt 26:14 139:11 186:17 attempted 79:16 131:12 attend 195:10 210:11 attended 228:7 attending 210:15 attention 16:13 22:6

23:1 29:22 31:3 40:17 85:3 171:13 175:11 attorney 186:9 259:16 322:11 Attorney's 314:4 attorneys 39:15,20,22 40:8 184:15 attributed 287:20 augment 244:22 augmentation 245:15 245:18 augmented 245:4 247:12 August 286:21 auspices 256:3 Australia 97:15 98:14 authority 38:17 65:12 authorization 197:16 authorizations 197:6 197:18 authorize 98:11,13 197:4 239:6,8,20 authorized 55:11 56:3 62:2 197:10 198:7,12 202:12 285:8 323:5 automatically 273:4 automobile 210:7 available 325:14 Avenue 1:17 2:5 averr 168:17 Award 127:19 290:19 **Awards** 104:19 aware 38:11,16 77:20 94:20,20,21 95:7,13 95:17 100:10.12.20 101:10,13,18 105:15 112:11,13 123:8 171:5 172:12 186:8 197:22 198:1 227:19 228:1,3 232:6 240:1 249:20 277:22 320:11 320:16 Azteca 48:11 51:18 121:15 122:13,19 163:16 Azteva 51:19

B
b 11:12,18 12:1,3 76:7
114:3 115:15,18,19
116:2,6,9,9,12,17,19
116:22 117:21 118:18
119:9 138:21 141:3
141:10 170:3 182:18
198:5 217:4,8,10,21

218:20 219:7,11 286:18 back 33:13 71:2 73:6 80:3 83:3,10 88:12 104:8 107:21 132:15 132:18 158:22 170:18 211:15 221:18 222:4 228:8 238:10 249:1 253:16 256:7 258:9 260:7 261:7,9,19,21 264:14 293:2 294:19 300:22 301:21 304:15 315:6 background 209:17 317:22 318:1,2 bad 205:12 balance 233:22 237:12 Ball 22:19 23:19 25:2 25:11 26:3,13 27:2 ballparked 79:7 Baptist 202:5 Barbara 127:19 130:4 290:20 291:3 Barbra 71:7 bare 81:17 82:1 **BARNETT 1:21 BARRETT** 5:3,20 6:5,13 8:19 9:10,14,20 12:19 13:4,9 14:15,22 17:1 23:22 24:5,10 27:10 30:4,9,14 35:10 39:8 40:4 42:6,17 43:3,10 45:3,6 46:1,4,12,17 47:8 49:10,12 50:7 51:5,14 53:2,6 54:8 54:13 58:18,21 59:5,8 59:12 60:2 63:15,22 64:20 65:3 66:19 68:5 68:9,21 69:19 70:2,9 70:14 87:17 88:7 90:21 91:4,12 97:2,7 97:11 98:6 101:9 109:16 114:20 115:10 115:12 126:11 129:21 130:11 131:4,7 134:9 134:20 136:1,4,22 137:3 140:5 145:17 146:9,15,18 147:13 149:10,22 150:13 152:21 153:5 155:9 156:4 157:4,20 158:2 158:7,13,18,21 159:4 166:21 167:18 172:11 172:15,19 174:3 175:7 176:16 180:8 184:13 187:13,19

188:2.5.14 189:2.7 191:14 192:10.14.17 192:22 193:5,13 194:5.20 195:1.9.16 195:18 203:1.22 206:17 207:11,20 208:4 217:8,15 219:3 219:7 221:6 222:17 229:8.17 233:5 234:11 235:9 238:5 241:4,8,13,16 242:10 243:15,20 244:6,11 244:21 245:5,8,13,20 246:2,10,18 247:11 247:20 254:22 261:6 267:20 268:14,17 269:11 270:22 274:3 274:19 275:3 278:6,9 280:5 282:12,16,20 282:22 283:4 289:6 289:18 290:1 305:17 305:19 306:19 307:14 307:21 308:9 314:21 315:4,7 316:3 319:18 320:3,14 321:8,17 323:22 324:4,9,13,17 325:2,11 based 14:4 17:12 27:14 27:15 42:15 45:16 47:11 48:21 67:7 84:18,20 88:15 93:3 96:21 100:3 117:13 134:1 135:13 136:10 154:18 179:21 180:21 187:22 191:3 282:13 288:4,10,15 298:3 310:12 bases 202:21 basically 310:18 basis 11:17,20 39:3 43:2 48:7 53:3 69:20 87:17,20 115:18 149:17 152:16 172:1 172:1 188:4,6,7,13 202:8 244:11 279:10 301:10 313:18 basket 21:11 Bates 31:5,8,14 58:8 60:18 61:11,14,16 160:6,9,17 166:17 176:17 177:22 178:13 296:2 306:10 bear 158:18 becoming 209:17 beg 46:3 204:20 252:16

211:9 213:8 224:22 227:2.16 beginning 5:13 34:22 88:10 212:3 223:14 223:17 266:15 271:11 307:5.6 beains 213:17 253:10 254:10 256:8 262:10 behalf 2:2,7,17 17:22 20:19 36:3 60:8 61:17 64:11,15 65:13 74:17 75:2,5 98:15 124:7 196:20 197:4,13,19 198:5,15 199:10,18 203:15 206:15 216:10 228:17 232:18 238:20 239:10 252:19 265:12 265:12 266:22 284:7 284:12 295:4 322:6 323:6,17 behemoth 143:16,19 145:4 belabor 51:16 55:4 118:18 244:8 believe 8:5 11:15 16:22 34:22 37:19 42:19 44:18 51:8 52:2 64:13 64:22 65:16 67:16,19 71:18 79:19 80:5 81:21 93:20 101:9 104:22 108:7 110:16 120:18,19 121:9 123:12 124:3,21 125:13 126:21 131:11 134:14 135:12 138:19 147:16 152:18 155:13 160:9 164:1 166:9 173:20 177:14,22 178:10 185:5 187:6 207:9 229:17 240:19 247:9 255:11 257:3 257:16 261:11 262:21 265:5 276:4 278:19 280:16 303:11 309:12 318:10 319:9 321:5 believed 79:8 Bell 48:10 50:20 52:2 **BEN** 2:13 benefit 290:3 **Benny** 202:6 best 167:9 187:8 213:5 better 39:13 158:21 261:21 267:1 beyond 10:9 97:22 108:18 109:5,12 110:18 111:5,7,11

began 40:13 202:20

112:16 125:21 133:20 135:16 138:14 143:5 144:7,20 147:20,21 149:9 174:15,19 175:20 176:3 178:8 202:10 292:20 bias 195:19 big 137:14 138:8,11 139:10 143:3,7,15 bigger 60:20 bill 74:15 325:5,9 binder 22:10,10 23:3 26:6 31:4 76:14,16,19 153:10.13 214:22 242:8 247:8 254:20 binders 16:15 242:5 315:20 316:2 bit 23:18 60:19 136:7 280:22 311:9 blanket 172:1 blanking 174:18 blizzard 114:8 board 15:22 16:7 37:5,8 56:22 200:4 Board's 193:19 194:4 Bob 74:9 196:20 197:4 197:11,13,15,18,19 197:22 198:5,10,15 202:11 203:3,4,15 204:6,7,8,9,9,10,17 204:19 205:14,22 206:4,4,11 209:2,17 210:3,18,21 211:1,5 211:10,22 212:10,13 212:14,15,20 213:9 213:15,19 215:22 216:1 224:21 230:20 231:1,13 232:18 233:11 235:21 238:19 238:20 240:2,8,12 248:13 250:20 253:4 253:18 254:14 256:11 262:16 265:4,12 270:3 271:6 274:13 277:8 279:1 Bob's 211:11 **boo** 206:5 book 58:20 153:8 260:5 260:10 282:11 books 209:7 261:8 booth 277:8 bother 325:9 bottom 33:12 87:8 133:15 149:8 215:21 250:15

boy 50:3 217:9 Boydston 2:4,4 3:4,6 4:11 5:8 6:10 8:17,20 9:3,7,12,19,22 10:4 12:17,21,22 13:6,13 13:20 14:6,16 15:1 24:3,4,7,11 27:7 31:21 32:2 35:4 39:17 41:17 43:6 44:17 45:13.21 46:3.15 47:4 47:9 49:3 50:22 51:5 51:10 52:14 53:2.5 54:4 56:12 58:12.19 59:3,7 63:10,20 64:17 66:17,20 68:3,6,21 69:2,22 70:11 72:20 85:9 87:12.19 90:14 90:21,22 91:13,15,16 92:10 94:7 97:2.6.10 97:12 98:2.19 101:12 101:13,20 102:12 105:21 106:8 107:20 108:20 109:7,14,17 109:18 110:1,19 114:12,18,19 115:4 115:14,20 116:7,20 118:4,14 119:12 120:1,8 125:20 126:9 127:2 128:2,8,15 129:7 130:1,2,13,17 131:6,11,20 132:1,6 132:10,14,17 133:1,6 133:12 134:6 135:1,8 135:22 136:2,12,20 137:1,5,13 139:9,14 139:18 140:3,7,16 142:5,8,13 144:18 145:9,13,16 146:17 146:22 147:14,15 148:17 149:5,8,10,14 150:1 151:12 152:17 153:1,3,8,12,17 154:9 154:13 156:4,8 157:1 157:9,15,22 158:4,5 158:16 159:2,4,5 160:7,13,15 161:7 162:2,13 164:1,17 165:10 167:16 172:2 175:12 179:12 180:5 181:20 184:19 187:20 188:7,21 189:4,8,11 190:3 191:8,9 192:12 193:1 194:13,22 195:3 204:1,2 207:4 208:1 217:14 218:21 221:4 222:14 229:2,5

229:21 233:4 234:10 235:1,7 237:5 238:3 241:4,8,9,15,19,21 242:2,11,13,16 243:17,21 244:15 245:2,11,22 246:5,7 246:15 247:3,7,13,21 248:3 254:19 255:1,8 261:2,7,14,20 262:1 264:20 265:9 267:13 267:21 268:9 269:16 271:11 272:6 273:18 274:4,14,20 275:7,13 275:19 278:17,22 279:8,13 280:3,6,13 282:10 283:5,7 289:3 306:17 307:12,15 308:3 314:18,22 315:5,11 319:7 321:5 321:13 322:6,12,18 324:6,11 325:1,7,15 Boydston's 250:21 Brain 237:4 break 91:1 97:4 158:15 193:18 210:10 241:14 286:14 breakdown 233:17 Brian 2:4 91:16 235:1 241:21 269:16 brief 158:12 briefly 130:21 182:3 275:22 319:8 bring 242:12 **broad** 78:3 79:5 broadcast 20:15 135:11 205:3 318:17 broadcaster 29:7 broadcasters 29:9 287:19 **Broadcasting** 66:3 124:18 125:17 204:14 205:1 broadcasts 304:17 broader 169:22 302:9 303:12 Brosnan 34:4,17 brought 10:20 54:2 131:13 205:10 **brushes** 213:13 Buck 123:13,18,22 132:2 165:18,22 167:4 **Building 1:16** bunch 242:5

burdens 201:17 business 8:9 29:8 42:3 42:5,13 43:1,4,7,13 43:15,19 44:19,20 45:11,18 46:10 57:19 58:4 62:18,19 66:11 69:16,18 70:6,8,19 75:22 77:7,8 83:20 150:19 181:11

#### С

**C** 60:18 61:11 219:13 219:17 221:3,10 256:7 287:6 C's 221:6 **C04426** 148:8 **C04428** 148:8 **C04991** 148:9 **CA** 2:5 cable 1:6 3:17 11:19 20:15 23:11 35:3 46:8 55:16 56:22 57:13 60:5 61:4,6,7,10,12 61:20 99:10 116:14 118:11 119:3 128:22 140:18 171:21 172:13 178:1,4,8 196:12,13 196:20 198:16 215:20 304:22 318:18 cable-only 21:14 calculation 314:3 calendar 113:6 141:13 318:17 call 189:12 195:11 196:3 207:6 248:10 265:1 called 6:19,20 33:4 55:14 64:12 88:14 102:21 151:20 194:12 198:4 208:11 213:5 294:3 298:5 calling 27:10 325:12 calls 6:11 27:8 39:18 44:18 274:22 Canada 18:10 301:8 Canadian 205:3 candor 231:10 capacity 29:1 47:20,22 62:15 66:5 101:18 capture 184:6 191:21 capturing 191:19 care 273:5 career 96:19 carefully 194:8 Carmen 160:10,21 161:2

**Bunuel** 61:9

burden 82:16 290:3

**CARP 23:10** case 54:5 88:6 109:17 167:13 169:15 182:5 182:10,11 183:9 211:16 295:7,14,18 310:22 313:12 case-in-chief 206:19 cases 81:18 103:14 120:6,11 309:13 cashed 204:10 catalog 26:12,19,20 72:14,16 catalogue 291:18 294:9 294:18 295:2 296:17 297:11 298:11 301:19 302:20 316:10 318:22 catalysts 182:21 categories 205:16 292:17 296:22 categorize 303:9 category 204:14,15,16 205:1,5,8 cause 255:16 caused 86:19 CBS 3:18 4:4 66:3 67:6 67:7,10,16 104:18 107:21,21 124:18 125:17 126:4 127:6 284:11,20,22 285:2,4 cc'd 236:5 CCC 15:11,19,21,22 16:1 17:13,20 18:9 28:21 CD 1:6 23:10 celebrity 211:6 Century 127:17 certain 17:22 38:17 92:22 175:20 179:14 186:8 203:9 206:18 246:16 272:10 298:9 312:21 314:9,11 322:15 certainly 15:17 19:8 22:21 57:20 73:22 80:12 81:20 93:22 112:2 122:2 125:11 136:17 206:10 308:3 322:18 certainty 20:8 29:4 152:4 certifi 118:8 certificate 117:14 180:22 certification 3:17,18,20 3:21,21,22 11:16 12:11 25:17 30:1,3,18

30:20 35:22 74:17 100:3 115:16.17 118:8,9 119:1 121:22 134:18 136:19 140:17 141:20 143:21 144:10 147:18 150:18 151:5 166:2,7 167:15 168:12,13 170:9 175:12 176:1,13 178:9,18 182:14 183:17,22 190:9,19 318:10,13,17,21 certifications 3:19 7:11 7:14 8:6 10:12,22 12:20 22:13,20 47:20 48:5 76:9 77:2 78:7 78:12 79:1 113:5 118:20,21 119:3 131:14,16 141:18 145:6 151:7 156:10 170:11.12 179:7 186:21 190:7 319:4 320:5 certified 74:8 76:4,7 83:22 152:1 165:21 177:11,15 178:1 209:8 **certify** 175:19 cetera 117:11 213:14 277:12 chain 44:7 307:9 chairman 15:22 challenge 41:4 48:16 81:14,16,17 199:3,11 challenged 28:9 38:16 39:7 48:10 71:18 75:13 78:11 83:2 157:2 311:4 challenges 77:15 81:11 challenging 202:4 chance 24:10 205:18 207:12 change 232:4 280:10 282:3 287:10 changed 192:4 285:13 314:6,7 322:16 changing 268:4 channels 21:14,15 212:8 chapter 141:1 character 204:4 characterization 17:14 characterize 101:3 287:3 charaterizing 147:7

198:10 225:8 226:8 226:15,19,21 233:18 233:21 234:7 235:16 235:17 270:16 275:15 275:17 280:7,8 291:20,20 292:1 checking 113:2 197:15 checks 204:10 225:21 226:2,4 227:4 249:21 250:3 262:22 263:1,2 263:13,18 264:9,12 265:15,21 266:3,5,12 266:16 267:2 Children 312:7 children's 297:5 318:5 chronology 182:3 Church 202:5 211:21 CIA 209:20 circumstance 274:16 297:20 circumstances 86:17 200:15 295:9 304:18 citizens 240:22 civic 240:18 claim 4:15 18:20,21 20:2,6,9 21:1,3,5,9 22:1 28:2 36:5 56:4 60:7 64:15 65:12 71:11,17 74:8,9,17 75:3 97:17 98:13 123:1,13 127:7 134:3 135:18 136:4 140:12 141:5 144:1,19 151:6 152:1 165:17 167:10 168:14 169:7 181:1 194:11 196:6,9,15 198:15,19 199:7,10 199:17,20,22 200:6 203:6,17,19 214:11 228:16 233:22 240:1 252:19 284:12 293:6 301:12,17 304:11,18 305:13 310:6,8,10,16 310:21 311:4,14 319:3,4 claimant 26:2 27:19,22 41:8 47:15,16,17 49:20 62:3 64:8 77:22 79:8,10 83:12 84:17 107:3 112:17 138:22 147:22 159:20 161:4 167:3,7 168:16 208:11 295:4 309:15 316:19 claimant's 71:16 claimants 2:8 7:14

12:11 17:22 19:13 20:6,18 21:2,3,7,12 28:22 29:3 32:22 36:14 37:3,10 39:6 40:12 42:11,22 46:11 48:1 50:18 59:20 60:8 60:16,20 61:18 62:4 65:14 75:13,19,20 76:5 78:18 80:6,7 83:1 98:10,11,16 99:22 118:10 167:9 167:10,12 168:5,9 169:7 170:5,11 182:15,17 185:18,19 185:20 190:1 191:3 198:22 202:2,19 203:11,12 207:6 208:19 259:17 310:3 322:15 claimed 29:15 33:1 64:10 71:21 73:17 74:16 75:1,1,6 83:19 92:22 112:1 139:1 141:10 147:21 156:10 156:11 169:15 202:20 322:5 claiming 19:14 34:14 34:16 60:9 64:7 67:7 72:9,11 93:1 105:1 112:6 124:6 198:21 288:12 309:18 312:19 claims 4:5 18:13 28:20 28:22 36:2,16,16 48:8 49:2 52:1 56:20 57:13 57:14 59:20,22 61:17 71:7 72:3 78:2,3 138:12 139:4 143:4 143:16 155:22 156:6 170:1 182:15 196:9 196:12,19,21,21 197:4,13,19 198:5 199:13 201:20 202:1 202:5,10,13,15,18 203:14,16 204:22 205:14,15,20 206:14 206:18,21 213:20 238:20 239:10,21 248:13 253:6 265:3 265:11 303:18 310:2 310:7 clarification 135:1 278:16 289:2 clarified 96:2 118:17 clarify 65:2 69:3 96:1 129:20 151:14 165:16

check 106:10 168:21

171:15 175:6,18

264:6 293:19 322:19 class 210:11.15 211:10 classes 211:14 clean 257:16 clear 14:17 20:21 70:16 87:14 90:5 113:14 116:10 118:3.6 145:3 146:2.6 149:5.18.21 150:14,14 175:14 181:19 184:14 188:8 264:6 279:13 285:8 288:3 292:18 cleared 272:15 clearly 10:2 13:20,22 99:19,20 305:12 323:9 clears 250:13 Clearwater 210:12 clerk 56:13 76:20 85:20 246:4,16 client 70:22 74:18,20 112:6 253:10 311:13 client's 322:7 CLIFFORD 2:9 clipped 146:4 close 16:2 242:16 closely 212:13 277:6 closest 83:17 closing 80:20 **CMO** 18:4 CMOs 15:10 16:10 96:12 co-founder 16:3 Coast 211:15 228:8 collaborated 49:1 colleagues 37:7 collect 27:1 56:2 92:13 93:18 122:12 131:15 216:10 285:17 286:2 286:5 collected 206:1 216:18 231:14 232:18 233:20 252:15 279:22 286:6 **collecting** 37:5 281:3 collection 223:11 Collections 3:20 Collective 301:8 collectively 69:4 column 24:19 298:4,14 299:1,1 come 13:1 83:3 155:6 158:22 210:16 214:14 222:10,22 224:21 227:18.22 comes 107:9 311:20 coming 225:10 241:11

325:10 comment 91:21,22 101:7 125:21 comments 180:10,15 180:17 321:10 commercial 211:20 commission 233:19 237:11 **committee** 16:5,6,9 common 314:19 communicate 267:9 communicated 241:21 communicating 266:8 309:2 communication 230:17 238:14,16,19 270:18 communications 3:18 30:2,20 93:15,16 216:22 220:6,10,19 275:8 304:11 305:15 309:4,9 compact 3:20 4:5 19:15 37:1 71:16 73:17 company 10:17 105:10 197:11 209:3 212:19 255:21 258:4 compare 138:19 compared 179:18 comparing 25:19 comparison 33:6 89:16 258:19 310:18 comparisons 251:17 compel 187:4 compels 200:11 compendium 90:16 141:9 compensation 19:6 20:13,14 compilation 90:16 151:4 compiled 117:13 293:14 complain 206:16 complete 133:10 142:19 completed 309:10 completely 51:3 205:20 302:7 completeness 244:18 complicated 126:15 comply 186:19 187:8 compound 274:2 compromise 237:6 conceding 82:15 concern 90:7 135:5

concerning 104:22 concessions 210:2 conclude 9:17 25:19 62:1 126:21 200:14 201:14 295:3 297:14 concluded 21:22 252:2 concludes 232:3 conclusions 268:1 conducted 232:7 confer 70:22 confidentiality 9:16 131:8 confirm 39:3 44:9 52:6 83:21,22 92:19 98:17 106:6 114:11 138:21 143:22 177:16 178:2 268:10 318:8 confirmation 83:1 105:11,16 107:22 108:8 confirmed 74:7,16 84:3 84:3 102:16 confirming 48:21 71:17 115:6 confirms 64:7 65:12 100:13 179:7 conflict 169:14,17 311:15 312:18 conflicting 28:19,21 310:6,8,10 conflicts 310:19 confused 85:11 114:9 114:18 116:19 140:2 147:1,9,10 150:2 173:12 239:4,14 257:1 confusing 12:15 117:20 123:16 175:16 184:19 231:5 confusion 32:22 64:10 149:6 Congress 1:17 23:9 conjunction 152:6 connect 134:17 connected 181:12,15 225:15 connection 250:5 293:22 300:17 301:5 322:12 consider 48:3 125:18 consistent 112:8 145:6 150:9 constitute 63:13 constructed 288:20 contact 84:5 88:19 106:4 232:5 322:16

323:19 contacted 86:20 87:7 88:13 103:18 104:3,4 106:1 203:4 contained 183:16,17 230:2 contains 7:10 47:19 Conte 2:5 content 89:19,22 90:1 contention 254:13 318:20 contents 3:1 31:20 88:10 140:6 149:12 context 260:8 continuation 239:3 255:17 continue 9:19 158:14 159:2 194:2 199:1 256.22 continued 15:13 47:13 197:19 204:7 continuing 224:14 continuously 213:2 contract 28:5 100:9,19 100:21 101:14,19 121:13 206:12 223:12 258:10 262:19 291:7 contracted 227:6,7 contracting 297:3 300:17,20 contracts 100:6 102:6 204:6 contractual 95:13 contribution 240:21 control 170:3,8 controls 27:1 controversion 207:2 Conveniently 122:4 conversation 84:5 187:6 238:15 290:5 313:7 conversations 218:10 220:2 convinced 305:12 cooperated 231:2,7 Copeland 202:8 copies 5:12 7:10 8:8 56:16 67:9 74:22 85:19,20 182:13 copy 23:6 26:11 33:21 41:12 48:18 62:22 63:7 150:18 151:7,21 152:11 221:17 243:1 243:2,18 244:19 246:16,17 260:1 copyright 1:1,22 3:13

concerned 10:6 133:16

damage 200:8

data 13:22 14:1,4,5

26:12.16 27:18 28:1.1 28:8,16 35:19 38:18 38:19 39:4 48:20 52:22 55:16 56:21 72:16 73:9 92:3,6 100:13 106:10 122:12 152:8,12 154:19,20 155:4 161:4 179:13 180:22 213:20,21 224:22 287:20 290:16 290:18 291:17 294:9 295:11 296:16 297:10 297:12,15 299:21 301:8,14 302:21 303:2,13 310:15,16 316:10,19 318:12 copyrighted 27:5 core 70:6 corner 135:4 258:16 Corporation 124:9.11 correct 5:5 7:15 8:22 10:2 14:22 18:3 22:15 26:21 30:11,12 31:22 38:14 41:12 57:17 61:19 62:22 63:7 91:22 94:2 97:12 100:7,9,11,19 104:13 108:10 112:3 114:5 114:11,17 115:8 116:4 120:5.15 125:1 126:4 127:4 128:17 129:11 130:4 131:17 134:3 148:13 154:10 160:11 161:5 165:2 169:2 183:12 186:3 218:17 222:9 240:11 247:10 249:22 250:3 250:6 251:5 252:21 253:14,15 255:6,14 257:21 259:18 260:2 260:21 261:12 265:7 266:6 276:6 279:2,14 279:15 284:20 285:6 285:9.19,20,21 287:1 287:11,16 288:1,2,6,9 288:13 291:4,11,12 291:18 294:12,13,18 298:11,12,15 300:13 304:4 305:1 306:13 306:14 308:20,22 309:5,11,15,16 312:9 312:11,13,14 313:10 313:13,14 316:10,11 316:17,18 323:18 corrections 304:3 correctly 126:10 291:6

correspondence 4:1,2 4:3,4 41:12 42:21 48:18 52:4,7 63:1 66:4 67:9 74:13 75:9 75:12 77:22 81:4 82:14 83:3 108:20 198:9 214:16 238:18 239:2 248:11 254:11 254:13 265:2 268:6 270:17 300:3,21 301:5 Cosgrove 34:9,10,12 34:14 73:4,12 304:10 305:14 309:3,4,9 310:20 312:2 cost 137:15 counsel 5:6.21 6:17 14:13 31:9 39:1 44:8 44:13.14 65:1 77:13 86:8 89:11 105:13 106:1.3.6.10.14.18.19 106:19,22 119:11,18 150:15 155:15 183:20 186:8 228:19 232:11 244:6 259:19 260:11 260:12,13 270:18 271:16,17 276:5 278:4 282:13 283:16 287:9 297:22 counsel's 107:4,10 counted 269:4 countersign 221:19 counting 261:12 countries 18:14 93:2 country 18:4,7,9 couple 50:13 110:8 121:5,7 145:12 148:4 175:16 209:21 288:6 course 14:18 38:7 42:5 43:14 45:10 52:19 57:10,11 62:19 69:15 77:7,9 96:18 108:16 111:19,20 151:6 169:8 170:8 193:18 200:19 210:21 236:6 243:12 254:1 court 30:7 37:21 55:9 60:10 68:20 80:22 81:1 171:16 172:5 199:11,13 244:1 322:19 Court's 193:20 courtesy 250:12 courtroom 9:15 131:8 cover 4:9,10,10 67:20

67:21 73:16 90:10

117:13 136:19 158:6 215:18 218:1 219:18 221:14 227:5 256:8 258:11.14.17 301:1 covered 115:1 124:20 185:17 277:3 covers 141:14 149:21 CRB 1:6.11 4:6 25:8.9 35:1 95:10,10,12,16 create 11:22 12:9 77:1 77:3 114:3 184:1 186:9 created 10:20 11:1.4 14:10 117:9 186:15 188:12 creating 182:21 187:9 277:1 creation 187:3.15 credible 200:3 credit 289:21 Creflo 202:6 crime 314:19 critical 290:2 Critter 105:10,12 cross 3:2 12:21 91:14 158:10 161:10 165:11 193:3,5 206:22 241:5 241:18 271:1 283:13 315:13 324:10,16 cross-examination 283:21 319:16 cross-reference 302:5 crossed 12:5 115:1,22 116:1,4 crowded 308:6 Culture 55:11 curious 111:8 227:3 266:18 current 46:16 121:13 122:11 200:15 **currently** 16:6 18:20 28:9 36:18 213:5 custody 44:7 cut 283:20 311:6 cute 297:18 Cutler 90:14 322:5,8,10 322:11,20 323:5

184:20 185:15 186:7 249:18 database 13:17,21 14:1 14:2,4 183:15,19,21 184:11,15 189:5,8 290:16 303:1 databases 184:20 date 53:9 170:20 171:3 280:22 287:10 dated 23:8 66:3 110:10 122:17,19 127:8,11 163:8,17,22 243:3,8 247:22 254:8 261:10 269:16 278:18 dates 251:11 313:5 daughter 212:17,18 228:7 276:18 **DAVID** 1:22 day 5:10 16:11 210:20 214:16 253:3 day's 283:20 days 288:6 dba 50:2 **DBL** 50:21 DC 1:18 2:11,15 deadly 34:4 deal 28:19,21 71:22 123:22 163:15 209:3 284:20,21 dealing 20:12 119:13 129:22 130:8,9 189:18 255:13 256:14 deals 21:13 73:2 Dear 89:10 271:11 decades 16:1 **December** 1:15 23:8 103:15 decide 245:19 decided 201:2 214:19 decision 23:7,14 95:10 95:10,12 199:13 200:5,17 Declarant 195:12 declaration 11:5,7,9,11 41:19 47:7 49:6 63:11 109:5 175:4,5 176:9 195:13 196:1,4 215:10,12 217:5 304:9 311:1,18 312:3 312:4,6,10 declarations 41:22 69:6 **Decode** 157:12 deep 210:14 defendant 85:21

D 24:20 221:12,13

255:4 258:9

d/b/a 2:3 248:17,18

D.C 1:2 2:22

222:13,17,21 223:2 224:18 229:16 255:3

deference 155:1 definitely 81:19 285:2 degree 257:16 delay 158:14 222:2 deleted 89:14 deletions 151:8 delivered 253:14 demanded 129:6 205:22 demanding 198:4 demands 129:3 demonstrate 28:8,15 187:10 287:22 demonstrating 100:8 131:15 demonstrations 211:16 denied 286:1 Denise 220:11 230:14 239:18 307:11 depends 249:1 266:14 deposit 225:8 275:17 depressed 210:8 depression 210:10 derived 119:7 describe 44:6 64:3 72:8 described 103:1 describes 41:2 description 3:10 112:20 descriptions 55:21 designated 100:14 141:4 designates 99:8 designation 290:1 despite 52:21 144:3 186:12 detail 55:5 detailed 268:2 details 314:20 determine 303:17 determined 25:8.9 devotional 2:7 194:11 207:6 208:19 259:17 DIC 161:5 317:17 dictate 27:18 differ 224:7 difference 34:19 187:3 224:10 258:22 differences 251:22 different 18:7 29:17 72:10 73:20 74:1 75:4 99:22 123:3 131:21 134:19 136:21 205:8 205:13 211:12 223:20 223:20 242:12 251:11 252:12,13 258:1,4,5

268:19 287:4 294:11 311:10 differs 41:20 difficult 103:17 difficulty 189:18 190:11 diligence 230:22 dinner 102:20,22 dire 3:4 8:18 9:21 12:20 13:11 14:9 45:4,8 direct 3:2 7:6 12:13 15:13 16:13 22:6,22 29:21 31:2 40:16 47:13 76:3 101:4 103:14 106:13 116:15 118:11 120:11 150:14 171:13 172:21 182:10 182:11 183:9 204:18 208:14 242:18 253:10 284:13 288:11.19 295:15 297:19 324:6 directed 34:8.9.12.13 34:17 39:1 40:12 49:5 52:7 53:1 150:15 directing 85:2 242:14 Directive 55:17 directly 15:21 21:3,6,8 21:9 107:12 228:16 246:22 260:12 director 73:11,16 102:16 disagree 109:14 201:5 201:9,9 disagreed 254:1 discovery 4:6 5:17,18 8:12 52:11,17,21 57:22 58:7,13 63:21 69:13,21 76:10 150:20 187:11 232:12 266:20 306:9,11 discuss 90:12 discussed 25:3 64:13 64:14 75:9 96:15 133:8 discussing 70:17 189:6 189:10 discussion 16:20 17:3 26:7 56:9 195:7 discussions 119:18 dispute 130:3,5 155:5 168:22 170:13 205:9 205:21 267:10 disqualified 198:22 disqualify 198:20

distant 20:13,14

distinguish 72:4

distinct 152:3

distribute 169:16 distributed 168:16 169:1 271:12,14 272:1 276:3 distribution 1:5,10 3:16 23:11 25:12 35:2 50:21 77:4 92:18 127:10 169:12 198:1 198:2 200:9 203:5 227:19 228:1 284:20 284:21 distributions 203:15 distributor 95:2 284:11 285:1,3,3 distributors 29:11 92:14 287:19 divert 175:10 docket 1:5,9 23:10 324:21 document 4:6 6:8 14:18 17:10 23:5 24:14 26:22 33:15 35:7 43:14,18 47:1 51:16 53:19 59:15 62:13 63:2,18 64:3,19 66:1 66:10,22 68:11 71:14 76:18 85:14,18 86:3,6 86:16 88:9 93:9 124:11 132:21 134:2 134:8 136:11 139:10 143:7 145:4,12,13 149:18 150:10 152:9 157:7 163:14 165:13 174:3 179:19 180:11 187:9 188:12 208:6 215:16 217:6,18 219:10 221:9 222:20 223:15 229:12 233:8 234:14 235:12 236:4 238:8 242:21 246:13 264:1 273:3 294:15 300:20 304:1,2 305:22 306:4,7,21 307:3 315:17 document's 35:11 documentary 285:12 documentation 36:14 42:12 49:14,18 51:22 54:2 78:13 93:8 256:21 documents 5:16 7:17 8:11 11:22 15:4 19:2 38:9 42:21 52:10,15

52:19,20 57:21 58:3

70:17,19 71:4 77:17

78:21 79:12,17 89:1

91:19 92:16 93:21 95:18 101:5 104:7 107:11,12,14,17 127:14 128:17 142:2 156:20 170:2 179:21 181:4 182:7,19,20,20 242:6,7 262:11,14 265:19 272:10,13,19 273:1 274:10 287:14 292:5,16 293:4,8,11 295:22 296:4,21 297:3 298:4 299:22 300:2,15,16,17 doing 10:8 56:17 69:4 190:14 211:22 216:7 255:22 **Dollar** 202:6 double 39:18 40:2 doubt 304:20 dozen 133:18 draconian 201:3,6 drafted 309:13 **Dragon** 22:19 23:19 25:2,11 26:3,13 27:2 DragonBall 93:14,18 95:1 179:17 180:11 296:11,13 297:2,7 299:15,19 300:9 301:12 drama 34:3 drawing 150:17 drawn 134:15 226:2 drive 120:14,19 121:3 due 154:22 230:22 279:19 duly 208:12 duplicating 211:20 **DVDs** 209:6 dwell 243:4

---

E 228:21,22 229:1,4,8 229:13,19 eager 210:10 Eagle 202:7,7 earlier 15:9 38:12 64:14 69:19 87:13 96:15 179:17 189:6 223:22 224:9 229:10 230:13 237:6 249:3 250:7 257:2 278:16 287:18 early 204:12 256:2 earned 192:18 Earth 160:10,21 161:2 easier 160:6 231:1 303:7

easily 134:17 East 211:15 educational 209:16 effect 78:7 255:10 effective 222:3 effort 22:3 53:15 184:2 184:5 191:17.21 289:20 efforts 103:1 169:11 205:10 EGEDA 36:22 37:8.18 38:1 48:11 51:19 54:17,18,19 55:1,6,10 55:18 56:1,2 59:20 60:10,11,12,16 61:1 62:3,3 96:3,17 98:20 99:1,4,5,8,12,16 100:1,2,9,14,17,20,21 101:14,18,22 102:7 102:13,15 163:22 164:4 EGEDA's 55:20,22 61:13,15,18 eight 284:15 Eighteen 289:10 290:8 either 83:16 96:11 108:1 132:11 147:11 205:15 226:4 240:12 243:11 255:10 260:16 286:13 287:13 elect 232:4 electronic 150:16 183:15,19,21 184:11 184:15 185:15,16 186:6,14 187:15 188:9 292:21 293:1 elicited 150:4 email 3:12 86:7,17,19 88:20 89:14 90:11,13 90:17 230:11 243:7 244:14,15 247:1,22 248:14 250:14,16,17 250:18,19 251:10 253:1,2,8,16 254:7 261:10 262:19 263:2 264:15 269:15,20 280:20 307:9 321:8 321:21 322:3,4 emails 243:10,22 Emmy 104:19 105:1 Employee 209:19 encoded 190:16 encounter 171:19 encountered 29:14 endeavor 312:15,17 engaged 211:10 228:19

232:12 278:4 322:13 323:14.16 engaging 209:21 engine 155:7 294:10 enormous 19:12 119:13 143:3 enquiry 88:16 ensure 167:10 169:11 entered 28:12 entertaining 33:6 Entertainment 125:7 126:18 157:13 159:21 316:20.21.22 317:1.5 317:6 318:4 320:12 320:17 entire 95:5,7 101:3 213:15 220:4 232:20 232:21 233:11 entirely 18:7 239:4 entities 36:4,15 37:9 48:9,17 49:22 50:4 78:1 91:20 92:15 104:11 124:4 257:14 277:18,21 entitle 117:14 entitled 21:21 25:12 32:16 33:22 36:5 56:2 56:3 74:19 168:13 201:20 202:13 228:12 230:1,6 231:20 284:12 290:3 291:2 295:5 297:13,15 entitlement 17:21 74:7 93:18 118:9 141:18 152:1 180:3 181:1 231:14,17 entitles 124:13 182:15 entity 13:18 36:4 112:15 125:18 126:14 127:22 156:16 203:6 223:20 226:15 248:22 255:13 256:13 257:6 257:7 258:22 281:5 317:10 entrepreneurial 209:21 **entries** 133:15 enumerated 182:16 episode 26:14 111:15 erred 323:9 escrow 169:20 especially 52:2 **ESQ** 2:4,9,9,10,13,13 2:19,19,20

essentially 275:8

established 44:20

establish 92:5 136:5

92:21 141:1 166:22 establishes 94:22 estimate 192:20,22 et 117:11 213:13 277:11 European 21:12 evening 210:16 event 27:12 175:15 228:7 232:1 276:19 eventually 81:14 88:17 evervone's 132:17 158:13 evidence 5:15,19 6:8 15:4 24:14 35:11 45:20 47:1 52:21 59:15 63:18 66:16,22 68:11 79:13 126:8 134:5 137:4 154:8 157:7 199:17 200:20 203:20 206:20 207:9 208:6 217:18 219:10 221:3,9 222:13,20 229:4,12 230:9 233:2 233:3,8 234:9,14 235:6,12 238:2,8 242:22 243:13,16 246:13 267:18 285:12 290:4 291:14 295:16 306:21 311:2 315:19 evident 266:20 evidentiary 201:16 exact 199:4 exactly 33:11 67:22 84:20 88:6 96:8 146:2 178:13 263:10 examination 7:6 9:21 12:21 15:13 45:8 47:13 91:14 161:16 189:3 191:10 193:4,6 206:22 208:14 241:18 268:19 269:1 272:5 283:13 315:13 examine 241:5 examined 208:13 example 20:22 29:10 167:6 169:9 Excel 141:6 150:21 151:4,19 181:21,21 184:1,3,7 185:1,7,8 191:18 288:4,7,8,15 288:18,21 310:12,13 excepting 151:6 exception 43:7 45:18 46:20 294:16 excerpt 3:14 32:12

excerpted 288:17 excerpts 29:2 288:17 exchange 86:7,11,13 exchanged 293:16 exchanges 223:7 exchanging 256:20 excitement 213:17 excluded 117:3 exclusive 28:4 exclusively 288:21 291:5 295:8,11,17 excuse 28:6 32:2 50:8 105:19 111:7 127:5 153:19 157:20 177:18 200:7 247:16 260:15 293:1 299:4 excused 192:11 282:19 execute 48:4 218:2,5 executed 22:13 29:9 214:12 221:16 execution 218:8 executive 16:6,7 exemplar 96:13 exemplars 37:21 50:16 **exhibit** 3:10 5:11 6:5.9 7:9 10:22 12:1.3.9 13:15 15:5 16:14,15 16:19.21 17:5 22:7 23:2 24:15,18 25:20 26:5,9 29:22 30:10,13 31:3,18,22 32:4,9 33:9,14,14,17 35:1 40:17 41:11,16 45:5 46:21 47:2,11,19,19 47:22 48:12 49:21 51:5 56:8,11,18,19 58:11,20 59:16,18 62:7 63:4,9,19 65:10 65:22 66:16 67:1,3 68:1,8,12 70:12 71:2 71:5,13 73:1,8 74:4,4 74:5,12 76:7,13 77:11 78:20 85:3,19 86:2 87:11,20 88:3,22 89:1 89:12,20 90:2,3,5 91:19 94:5 95:5 109:19 110:14 112:18 113:4 115:15,18,19 115:22 116:6,9,12 117:10,12 123:11 124:17 126:3 127:17 128:6 130:14,15,16 132:19,21 135:20 136:17 138:6 139:11 140:9,20 141:6,10,13 142:22 143:14,19

133:2

144:14 145:15,15 147:3,19 148:20,20 148:20,22 149:11 152:6,8 153:18 154:3 154:18 156:18 157:8 157:11,21 159:17 160:2,22 161:1 162:2 162:7,10 163:2,11,12 163:19 164:13,14,19 164:19 165:1,1,8,8,11 165:13 166:9.13 170:19 172:22 173:2 173:6,9 175:11 176:5 176:7 178:21 182:17 182:18 184:22 203:19 207:9,22 214:22 215:7,13 221:3 222:13 228:21 242:18 242:21,22 243:19,19 244:7,22 245:4,14 246:14,19 247:10,16 247:17 253:1 255:2 259:13,18 260:5,10 261:9 263:6,8 264:16 264:20 269:6 271:2 279:5,7 284:2 286:17 286:18 287:6,21 288:3,10,16 289:9,17 290:11,13,15,22 291:8,20 292:8 296:3 299:13 300:9,19 302:3 305:22 306:16 306:22 307:17 308:12 311:3,8,10 315:22 316:2 318:9,10,16 319:2 321:3 323:21 323:21 324:15 exhibits 7:12.21 8:15 11:17 12:3 13:12 15:1 37:20 58:14 59:2.11 59:19 70:18.18 74:21 76:4 114:3 117:17.18 118:10 119:12 139:7 141:3 153:15 187:21 195:22 242:14 244:4 288:20 293:16 315:18 existence 101:14 317:8 exists 143:20 expect 80:12 expectation 240:17 expected 105:2 experience 27:15,20 37:14 38:5 179:22 expert 27:8,9,11 explain 17:16 32:19

86:21 87:3.5 194:17 194:19 195:5 266:10 267:14 276:20 explained 243:5 270:7 explaining 182:3 200:17 explains 32:20 explanation 39:5 54:7 268:6 explication 203:2 explicitly 300:8 exponentially 212:6 exposure 210:3 expresses 41:3 expressly 48:20 extended 197:7 extension 197:7 **extensively** 71:10 107:1 115:1 312:17 extent 69:9,11 109:11 115:21 203:9 297:9 external 92:2 extra 192:18 extract 33:20 35:1 extracts 74:22 extraordinary 200:12 317:8 extremely 20:4 Eyemark 125:6 126:12 126:12,13,14,18 127:7,10

F 229:10 230:8,10 233:1 face 119:1 159:1 facilitate 186:20 fact 19:10 20:12 28:7 42:8,10 43:21 58:1 67:10 74:8 78:6 79:10 82:2 92:16.22 98:10 107:7 112:5 123:5 128:19 144:3 151:21 156:17 167:2 170:5 172:8 186:12 199:3 203:10 228:3 252:6 266:21 278:1 285:16 291:1 305:6 factors 266:14 facts 188:15 fair 14:6 79:4,5 103:15 104:16 111:16 119:16 152:5 263:17 287:5 318:1 fairly 88:1 187:5 faith 187:10 faithfully 190:11

fall 298:4 Falls 211:21 false 125:18 **falsely** 287:19 familiar 16:10,12 37:8 37:13,22 38:9 54:22 55:3 93:4 96:4 98:3 103:12 112:14 220:13 220:15,22 230:14 248:18 257:6 263:4 317:1,2,4 318:4 familiarity 55:7 138:4,7 family 22:14,16,17 25:9 25:15,16,21 26:1,2 93:16,16,21 156:16 181:9,9 210:9 318:13 318:14 320:13,18 famous 32:13 288:4 far 7:5 36:20 84:6 97:3 107:2,15 177:17 249:1 260:14 fashion 279:2 284:1 fast 162:11 168:2 faster 68:20 fax 4:10 221:14 222:2,3 222:7 237:4 258:11 258:14,17 faxed 222:4 260:7 featured 60:11,12 featuring 34:3 75:5,7 February 243:8 246:22 247:22 253:2 254:8 264:15 269:16 272:7 FEDER 1:21 82:21 83:8 128:6,9 166:16 276:17 317:14 federal 23:6,7 45:19 209:19 fee 198:10 233:20 feel 101:1 232:5 257:1 fees 21:5 field 297:5 318:6 Fifteen 91:5 281:11 fifth 263:7 Fifty-three 261:15 figure 194:7 282:7 file 150:16 184:1,22 197:4 199:9 239:21 278:1 288:8 filed 20:2,3 21:17 57:10 60:5 61:17 103:5,14 118:12 120:6,11 155:18 182:5,10 197:13 198:14 199:17 206:9 240:1 252:18 252:18

filing 20:19 38:18 60:5 132:17 183:9 197:19 198:5 199:20 203:6 238:20 248:12 265:3 266:21 285:13 286:15 filing's 57:12,12 filings 62:6 265:11,18 fill 96:12 Film 290:18 291:8 292:4.18 Films 311:21 final 185:11 200:4 278:15 finalized 309:5 finance 16:8 financial 240:13 find 16:14 20:4 21:16 85:3 136:8 153:6 166:8 168:15 176:14 197:16 200:1,17 214:18 246:5 310:19 **finding** 160:13 fine 100:18 195:8 245:8 246:11 finish 109:17 finished 39:10 268:10 Fintage 4:2,5 7:11 19:15 36:22 37:22 41:1,8,13 47:11,15,19 47:20 48:1,16,20,22 50:1 51:6,8 52:1 53:10 54:20 56:21 57:14 59:20,21 60:9 61:17 62:2,6 100:9,13 100:16,20,21 101:15 101:16 102:14,17 121:9,9,9,12,20 122:10,15,16 123:7 162:17,19 163:5,16 163:20,22 164:4,15 165:4 Fintage's 50:16 121:16 firm 10:11 first 5:5 8:22 32:8 34:2 46:8 48:14 50:13 53:1 53:9 56:12 77:14 89:8 90:10,13 110:6 113:5 136:5 139:6 140:17 145:12 148:14 149:1 153:18,22 154:3 156:2,17 157:16 165:12 171:8 176:20 189:4 198:19 208:12 208:21 209:14 211:10 212:4 214:5 217:12

222:12 228:3,6,15

230:17 237:14,15 240:5,7 242:20 245:10 247:16 250:15 251:3 253:1 262:5 263:20 271:5.22 278:19 299:1 313:6,7 315:20,21 321:20 fishing 210:14 five 112:12 210:20 261:17 flesh 241:22 Flintstones 317:12 flip 33:13,18 73:6 162:7 163:11,19 165:11 174:8 215:5 244:7 307:2 flipping 74:3 178:10 Floor 2:21 Florida 210:12 211:15 fo 266:15 focus 148:19 207:12 focusing 13:15 78:15 117.6 follow-up 275:22 following 112:22 113:11 119:1 123:20 123:21 133:19.19 141:12 198:18 232:12 254:11 285:20 follows 131:2 199:14 208:13 243:9 283:12 319:9 food 210:1 footage 211:22 Force 211:2 320:7 foreign 92:14,15 287:19 forgot 5:14 102:12 forgotten 175:22 290:5 form 4:15 27:3 35:22 65:11 93:19 124:8 185:15,16 186:7,14 186:14 190:9 191:20 207:2 213:3 223:20 266:6 272:21 274:1 279:1 format 10:21 142:19 former 202:19 formerly 22:15,17 25:15 26:1 318:14 forms 65:16,18 190:19 203:17,20 forth 211:17 260:8 261:8 forthcoming 216:17 forward 90:22 127:4 197:12,14 205:19

254:12 273:22 279:2 forwarded 225:7 found 55:21 88:17 147:5,6,16 199:14 205:10 276:21 foundation 23:18 24:1 27:11 43:4,8,11 45:22 137:2 275:1 foundation's 149:19 founded 16:1 four 263:7 268:16,17 fourth 149:2 Fox 3:20 22:15,17 25:9 25:16,21 26:2 71:6 93:16,21 127:17 181:8,10 318:14 320:13.18.22 frame 113:13 220:4,11 220:17 frankly 19:4 168:12 205:21 305:6 fraud 88:14 90:5.7 205:20 fraudulent 196:6.15.19 199:10 205:11 Fred 284:7 free 232:5 Freewheelin 311:21 frequently 28:5 29:6 Friday 246:22 Friedman 236:6,11,13 front 80:4,13 88:22 94:18 95:4,4 185:11 214:21 263:6 269:6 271:2 306:5 316:7 full 25:6 115:17 175:5 235:19.22 236:14 253:13 289:21 fully 28:4 239:16 function 55:14 functioned 211:13 fund 20:16 funds 1:6,11 3:17 19:2 23:12 230:2,6 250:8 Funimation 25:10 296:19,20 297:4,6 300:12 301:12 further 14:7 54:1,1,2,3 70:13 90:19 155:14 161:8 188:18 192:9 200:1,16 204:1 216:21 224:19 232:3 235:22 236:1 238:20 239:21 241:2 268:13 270:17,20 275:20 282:10,12 309:18,22

G **G** 232:9,10 233:1,2,3,5 233:9 gain 240:17 Galanz 308:12 Galaxy 159:8,15 320:7 Galaz 3:7 15:8 17:9,17 35:13 64:14 79:6 81:17 152:20 154:16 193:6 199:19 200:20 201:15 220:7,19 283:10.15 290:10 292:8 299:6 304:21 306:3 307:2 315:15 320:16 324:18 Galaz's 7:4 15:15 17:14 22:18 81:22 82:1 135:8 200:2 gallery 132:15 Garaz 321:20 general 78:16 277:19 277:20 301:15 311:16 generally 53:3 93:7 generated 14:5 113:15 genesis 181:21 211:3 aettina 56:15 64:18 116:19 173:12 222:2 277:3 giant 143:16 Gitters 105:10.12 give 50:20 52:5 64:5 68:17 82:12 94:9 107:21 132:10 160:17 166:16 182:2 207:16 209:15 246:15 251:4 262:8 268:10 275:9 310:20 311:13 given 7:5 14:11 18:4 60:21 81:12 82:8 125:15 272:11 giving 216:9 glad 94:17 glance 148:14 Global 4:16 197:10,12 198:6 202:11,13,18 202:18,20 203:5,14 203:18,19 206:6,8,11 224:1 225:21 226:2,3 227:7 248:12 249:9 255:5,11 256:1,5 257:3 258:2,5,10 259:1,4,14,22 262:14

310:1.5 312:3 315:9

Furthermore 55:20

future 197:11

262:18 265:3,11,21 266:3 267:6 268:5 273:20 274:7 281:14 281:15 go 21:14 38:4 49:7 51:14 53:21 54:1,14 59:8 60:19 68:18 77:1 86:14,22 88:10 90:22 114:15 115:10 130:21 130:22 147:13 152:22 157:16 160:8 161:18 165:7 167:20 168:1,2 188:16 189:8 191:13 205:19 214:19 222:2 241:13 247:7 251:14 254:19 289:6 292:14 294:19 296:6 300:14 301:21 304:6,15 311:7 312:15 315:11 321:17 324:19 qoal 91:6 goes 33:6 127:3 271:12 going 5:4 23:16,19 26:4 31:20 37:17 39:9,21 43:6 50:22 51:16 52:14 56:7 58:9 60:14 68:14,15,19 71:2 82:6 85:17 91:2,5 97:19 101:6 113:1 115:6 137:4 148:10 149:11 152:15 158:4,8 161:18 162:11 166:14 166:15 172:21 175:22 193:1,2 197:14 210:17 242:18 246:21 249:2 253:16 264:5 279:2 281:6 283:6 289:13 315:7,21 318:9 322:1 **good** 5:3 6:15 19:3 59:5 96:9 106:17 113:3 145:10 153:13 187:10 193:13 208:16,17 241:20 273:6 278:10 278:12 283:15,18 315:15,16 governed 273:22 government 325:4 governmental 154:21 graciously 193:22 grant 185:13 granted 29:6,10,11 great 41:3 48:15 55:5 100:2 110:2 137:15 137:16 184:4 Greg 86:8 278:13

283:15 321:21 **GREGORY** 2:19 grew 212:6 ground 51:4 114:22 grounds 49:4 52:16 69:4,5,14 155:13 194:15 198:12,18,18 202:9 244:17 319:17 group 2:2,3 4:12 75:2,2 79:15 88:16 91:17 112:22 170:14 225:11 225:18 226:5.18.20 227:5 228:14 230:6 230:12 231:22 232:7 233:17 237:21 238:11 242:3.4 249:5 255:21 256:9,12,15 257:4,5,9 257:18,20 259:1 266:17 267:3,5,11 272:2 274:15 277:4 281:17 322:7 323:6.7 323:10,11,13 quess 6:19 10:5,6 39:14 48:21 50:2,18 68:14 96:9 226:11 230:16 262:7 279:5 286:18 296:3 guidance 244:1 Guilty 71:19 73:4 312:12 gun 161:13 guru 211:6 guys 56:15

Н H 233:14,15 234:3,9,11 234:15 half 133:18 halfway 60:18 **Hammerman** 4:11,13 232:11,16 235:1,2,15 236:5 237:4 271:20 271:21 276:5 hand 24:19 135:2,4 205:22 207:14 258:16 handed 5:12 86:7 138:6 139:16 140:20 144:5 206:15 267:15 306:3 handwriting 135:6,9 handwritten 135:3,10 146:4 184:7,10 189:17,18,19 handy 244:21 hang 8:1,1 33:16 50:19 86:9 94:9 125:5 128:3

148:9 159:12 324:2 Hanna-Barbera 317:14 317:16,16,17 happen 18:19 190:22 211:8 216:15 297:1.4 300:7 311:1 happened 183:13 211:21 happening 190:22 happens 29:18 happily 206:5 happy 23:21 122:21 189:12 195:5.5 241:9 hard 20:4 120:13.19 121:3 142:21 150:18 151:7 182:13 **HARRINGTON** 2:9 hassle 137:16 hate 151:14 head 138:18 306:8 Health 133:21 135:16 138:14 140:15 141:20 143:5 144:2,9,12,13 147:21 174:19 176:2 178:7 Healthy 74:19 108:9,18 109:4,12 110:5,11,14 110:17 111:5,11,22 112:15,20 133:20,21 135:15,16 138:13,14 140:13,14 143:4 144:1,20 147:19 174:11,12 175:19 176:2,3,11,11 177:3 177:10,14,18,22 178:6,7,17 179:2,5,5 hear 46:19 207:2 238:10 277:6 heard 15:8 34:9 40:1 46:18 81:8 188:1 214:5 228:6 237:21 254:10 308:21 hearing 1:19 35:1 240:5 240:7,9,14 277:9 293:21 307:16 hearsay 39:18,19,21 40:2,3 41:18 42:16,19 43:8 44:21 45:1,19,22 46:20 51:2,4,13 63:12 63:14 69:6,8 Heart 64:12 held 83:18 156:14 237:8 267:15

help 118:6 136:5,17

144:17 176:14 250:21

272:20 273:19 274:5

291:21 helpful 80:22 322:17 helps 136:8 151:14 Henry 189:12 heretofore 48:22 hesitate 200:22 hev 301:2 high 71:3 highlighted 71:10 highway 159:1 Hinn 202:7 Hochberg 74:15 75:6 178:21 Hochberg's 75:3 112:6 112:19 132:4 hold 19:9 22:8 25:21 160:12 162:12 169:20 173:3,10 175:21,21 177:19 241:14 244:20 308:2 holding 28:15 211:9 **holds** 18:15 hole 31:15 **HOLMES** 2:19 honest 214:5 Honor 5:7,8 6:4,10 8:14 8:17,20 9:13 12:12,22 13:3,14 14:7,16 15:7 20:2 23:16 24:4,6,7 24:16 27:7 30:8 35:4 39:17 41:17 42:2,14 42:19 43:5 45:2,13,16 46:6,15 47:3,4 49:3,5 50:22 52:14 53:5 54:4 54:11 58:12,16 59:7 60:3 63:10,20 64:17 65:1 66:17 68:4 69:2 70:7 78:14 79:3,18 81:9 83:6 87:12,22 88:5 91:7,15 100:22 106:7 107:1 109:7,18 114:16,21 116:12 117:16 120:1 130:13 134:4 135:19 136:12 136:16 137:2,6 139:10 141:17 142:9 146:22 148:3 149:15 152:13,15,17 153:4 154:6,9,11,17 156:19 157:9 158:1 159:3 161:8 164:22 167:16 167:20 168:11 169:3 172:2,18 175:3 177:3 180:5 184:18 186:4 186:21 187:18.20 188:21 189:11 192:12

192:13,19 193:9,16 194:10,13 195:4,15 195:20 201:4 204:2 204:21 207:4,5,8 208:1,8 217:12 218:19 219:2,6 221:2 222:12,16 229:3,7,14 229:15 232:22 234:8 235:5 238:1 241:7,10 242:9 243:11 244:10 244:13 245:2,17,22 247:9,14,19 254:21 261:4,20 267:17 268:9,12,22 269:5,10 270:21 275:6.14 278:8 280:3 282:14 282:15,18 289:12 298:16 299:3 300:14 304:5 305:16 306:15 307:12,15 314:18 315:3,10 317:17 319:7,20 320:10 321:5,13 322:18 323:20 324:14 325:1 325:7 HONORABLE 1:21,21 1:22 Hoogenberk 41:1,13 48:13 50:10 hope 74:9 149:6 House 51:6 housekeeping 5:9 houses 325:5 huge 86:19 87:4 317:13 **Humor** 114:19 hundred 146:5

li.e 231:15
ICG 50:2
ID 3:10
idea 113:3 313:20
314:15
identification 16:5
132:22 306:1
identified 7:12 19:1
87:14,19 135:2,3
156:7 293:11 297:2
307:17
identifies 185:16 247:1
identify 84:14,17
130:10 134:12 250:9
287:22 289:15 295:14

hunt 315:18

297:18

hypothetical 97:22

295:22 296:1 300:20 IFTA 3:21 4:5 19:16 37:1 71:16 73:17 II 1:5.7.10.12 46:8 IMDB 33:20.21 312:15 IMDB.com 303:1 immediate 231:12 immediately 312:22 Impe 202:6 impeached 146:7 impeaching 320:4 impeachment 315:2 319:21 implementation 55:15 implied 17:17 **imply** 90:4 important 108:16 122:6 171:18 258:20 impose 200:18 201:3 improper 199:21 incidence 20:5 incident 278:1 incidents 287:22 include 143:3,16 302:8 302:9,22 303:2,3 307:22 311:19 included 44:14,15 90:15 138:12 191:19 191:21 204:17 244:17 262:13 includes 118:22 133:14 139:4 302:20 including 3:19 29:3 56:5 262:10 inclusive 70:10 income 313:13 314:3 incorporate 246:4 Incorporated 108:7 209:3 232:19 274:13 incorrect 122:8 251:20 Independence 1:17 Independent 2:3 91:17 242:3 256:9,11,14 257:9,18,20 259:1 323:11,12 indicate 18:14 49:22 64:14 67:10 92:19,22 122:22 124:5 128:21 189:22 195:19 265:17 indicated 71:19 112:18 118:21 119:18 129:16 129:17 140:11 203:2 237:9 252:7 indicates 36:1 112:21 118:9 135:17 156:18 292:5,15 300:5,6

indicating 237:5 indication 270:13 indicative 17:21 18:5 19:8 180:22 indistinguishable 199:12 individual 47:15 60:1 61:18 79:20 99:22 102:7 individually 60:21 62:5 107:3 individuals 99:18 industry 317:7 inev 192:3 infer 104:4 inferring 100:15 Infomercial 303:5 inform 271:22 274:5 information 14:10,12 33:19 113:20 117:12 117:13 119:7 124:22 134:14 151:8 172:5 180:2 183:16,17,18 183:21 187:11 191:19 191:22 248:11 249:9 249:10 251:13,18 253:6,21 254:16 265:2,10 272:15 275:10,10 279:9,12 288:17,17 294:8,11 309:20 310:20 311:8 311:13 312:4 322:16 323:19 325:13 informed 21:22 67:6 239:16 272:12 informing 253:5 inherited 212:17 initial 157:2 217:1 initiated 86:11 initiation 86:13 inquire 39:1 192:20 inquires 239:8 inquiries 198:2 inquiry 89:9 90:5 232:3 232:7 insert 73:9 247:8 250:11 inserted 263:9 inside 74:10 86:20 170:13 instance 77:14 84:22 172:7 301:16 302:22 303:4,8 instances 106:2

Institute 290:19 291:8

292:5,18

instruct 106:3 235:2,15 278:22 instructed 105:14 106:1,5,9 183:20 instruction 209:3 213:11 instructors 209:7 insufficient 27:11 28:16 Int 4:1 integrity 200:8 intend 196:3 **intended** 323:12 intending 5:20 intent 206:9 intentional 117:4,5 interest 55:10 158:14 204:5 237:10 296:7 320:1 interested 148:18 interestingly 89:13 90:15 interject 185:9 international 15:10 132:4 133:16 135:15 138:13 140:13 143:4 322:7 323:6,7,10 internet 155:6 303:1 interpose 319:8 interpretation 274:9 279:10 interrupt 19:18 97:3 105:20 interruption 194:1,3 239:12 intimately 16:9 introduce 208:22 introduced 5:11 79:13 87:13 introducing 156:6 investigate 232:2 investigation 232:6 309:19 310:1,5 invite 132:15 involve 319:11 involved 15:18 16:9 35:8 210:4 212:19 223:5 224:4 236:14 involvement 240:15 involving 71:7 74:9,20 90:7 IPG 3:11,13 6:5,9 8:12 15:9 16:13,19 17:4,6 17:19 18:16 19:1,4 25:12 26:5,6,9 34:21 38:16 39:6 41:4 42:22 48:10,15,20,22 50:3,4

52:1.8.18 53:15 54:2 57:21 58:6.17 64:10 64:15 71:18 72:10 75:1,4,13 76:9,13 77:11 78:11 83:11 85:3 88:3,22 89:17,20 90:2 92:5,13,20,22 94:4 132:21 134:5 139:13.14 145:14 154:7 155:18 156:3 156:21 157:8 172:22 173:2,9,14,15 176:7,9 180:12 182:7 183:2,5 187:12 196:6,20 197:4,15 198:3,4,5,8 198:9,11,14,20,22 199:6,17,21 200:19 200:21 201:13,15 202:11,19 203:14 204:4,6 205:8,11,22 206:1,3 214:6,13 216:5,9 224:15,19 225:15 226:5 233:10 238:11,20 239:9,13 239:20 240:1 244:14 246:14 248:15,17,20 249:4 252:1 256:5 260:16 261:13 262:15 263:3,13 264:3,12 266:6 269:6 271:3 279:1 281:16 284:11 285:8,11,16 287:13 289:11 291:7 295:4 297:3 298:2,9,10,14 301:3,4 302:21 306:12 315:22 316:1 316:16 319:14 322:15 323:17 **IPG's** 7:3 16:15 17:21 38:12.22 41:9 42:22 53:16 75:11 77:15 78:15 81:10 88:3 89:9 89:13 90:17 91:19 103:3,9 165:18 186:20 199:1,20 200:5 201:20 202:14 204:18 285:17 286:22 319:11 **IPG-MPAA** 185:14 IPG-represented 301:9 **IPGP** 269:9,15 irregularities 228:10

irrelevant 97:10 109:11

267:19 319:10

issue 5:9 34:18 65:18

199:9 201:19 205:16

75:21 87:15 104:12 108:9 121:12 122:11 134:13 196:9 201:1 .238:16 304:21 305:8 305:11 issues 7:2 48:12 64:2 75:10 93:14 110:17 236:15 319:10,11 item 3:12 29:12 298:5,5 298:14,20 299:1,1,3,4 299:6,14 300:3,12,15 301:3,9 302:6,6,7,16 302:19 303:9,9,12,19 303:19 307:20.20 IWV 75:2,5 112:15,17 112:21

**J** 2:9 236:22 237:3.14 237:16 238:2.5.9 Jack 202:6 Jane 3:3 6:11 75:5.7 108:11.13 111:22 112:4,7,21 January 122:17 163:9 163:10 243:3 278:18 279:11 Jerry 34:13,17 **JESSE 1:21** Joan 250:20 job 39:13 249:15,19 jobs 28:20 John 34:9 joint 36:16 48:18 49:21 51:6,7 71:15 100:12 196:9 Joy 213:6,8 judge 5:3,20 6:5,13 8:19 9:10,14,20 12:19 13:4,9 14:15,22 17:1 19:18 22:4 23:22 24:5 24:10 27:10 30:4,9,14 31:5,8,12 35:10 39:8 40:4 42:6,17 43:3,10 45:3,6 46:1,4,12,17 47:8 49:10,12 50:7 51:5,14 53:2,6 54:8 54:13 58:18,21 59:5,8 59:12 60:2 63:2,5,15 63:22 64:20 65:3 66:19 68:5,9,21 69:19 70:2,9,14 77:12 78:4 78:9 79:4,22 80:8,11 80:18 81:7 82:4,18,21 83:8,10,15 84:2,8,13 87:17 88:7 90:21 91:4

91:12 97:2,7,11 98:6 101:9 105:19.22 106:9,13 107:6,9,15 107:19 109:16 114:20 115:10,12 116:8,13 116:16 126:11 128:6 128:9 129:21 130:8 130:11 131:4,7 132:8 133:6.11 134:9.20 135:6 136:1,4,22 137:3 139:13 140:5 141:11 142:1.5 145:17 146:9,15,18 147:13 148:1,6,10 149:10,22 150:13 152:21 153:5 155:9 156:4 157:4,20 158:2 158:7,13,18,21 159:4 160:5 161:14 164:18 166:16,21 167:18 168:4,8,20 169:4,21 170:10,16 172:5,9,11 172:15,19 173:1,5 174:2,3 175:7 176:16 176:20,22 180:8 184:13 185:9 186:1,5 186:11,17 187:13,19 188:2,3,5,10,14 189:2 189:7 191:14 192:10 192:14,17,22 193:5 193:13 194:5,20 195:1,9,16,18 196:11 196:14,18 197:1 200:11 201:7 203:1 203:22 206:17 207:11 207:20 208:4 217:8 217:15 218:22 219:3 219:7 221:6 222:17 229:8,17 233:5 234:11 235:9 236:3 236:10,16,19 238:5 241:4,8,13,16 242:10 242:13 243:15,20 244:6,11,21 245:5,8,9 245:13,20 246:2,10 246:18,21 247:5,11 247:20 254:22 261:6 261:18 264:19 267:20 268:14,17 269:11 270:22 271:1,5,8,10 271:17,21 272:4 274:3,19 275:3,21 276:12,16,17 277:15 278:5,6,9 279:17 280:2,5 281:1,9,12,15 281:19 282:2,6,12,13

282:16,20,22 283:4 289:6,18 290:1 297:22 298:8.13.17 299:4.9 300:11 302:2 303:11,16,20,22 304:6 305:17.19 306:19 307:14.18.21 307:22 308:5.9 314:21 315:4.7 316:3 317:14 319:18 320:3 320:14 321:8,17 323:22 324:4,9,13,17 325:2,11 Judge's 133:4 137:10 138:9 145:5 judges 1:1,22 53:21 56:16 183:11,14 185:13 187:14 188:19 200:1,10,14,16,17,19 200:22 201:2,14 208:22 209:15 210:5 214:10 217:22 219:16 221:12 228:21 230:10 234:20 237:16 295:3 297:14 judgment 267:8,14 judicial 154:18 juggle 153:15 July 53:22 60:5 88:19 133:4 137:10 145:5 150:8 183:14 185:10 185:13 189:14 218:22 240:2 286:15 288:9 jumping 161:13 261:7 June 183:7

K-O-W-A-L-S-K-I 209:2 keep 13:19 150:18

Kenneth 202:8 kept 45:10 69:15 kerfuffle 86:19 87:4 Kessler 32:13,14,19,20 120:16 kind 84:22 101:5 105:15 114:8 142:21 146:4 153:14 209:12 239:9 240:17 257:15 258:18 262:5 276:19 299:20

178:10 188:22

King 3:19 knew 214:4 272:12 277:21 knives 213:13

know 12:13 14:3,4

16:16 18:19 23:17 24:1 27:17 28:14,18 29:4 37:3 40:8,10 41:18 46:9 47:22 59:19 75:15 78:19 80:1,13 81:10 83:4,11 83:12 85:5 87:16 91:2 95:12 97:5,5,5,8,8 98:16 100:5 103:14 106:14,18 107:15 108:3,5,14 114:7 118:1,2 119:11 121:1 122:6 125:13,14,14 129:12 134:18 145:15 146:3 154:9 155:8,12 158:2 161:20 165:20 166:1 170:10.14 175:15,17,21 178:12 179:17,22 183:4 188:19 191:7 207:12 208:18 210:17,22 213:2 214:4 220:8 223:6,15 225:10 226:12,15,20,21 231:7,19 236:10,12 236:18 239:11,14 244:3 252:2,20 256:18 258:12 259:5 259:19 260:12,15 262:22 264:2 265:19 265:22 267:5,7 269:4 274:12 277:14 282:4 285:4 296:4,8,15,15 296:19 297:1,4 298:8 300:7,22 301:21 302:17 304:16 311:1 312:16,21 313:15 314:13 317:3 318:1 322:1,8,21

knowledge 17:13 27:15 35:6 39:14 55:7 97:21 98:1 107:7 136:6,7 141:8 179:22 181:7 181:10,14 189:15 252:13 270:12 314:20 318:5

known 22:15,17 25:15 26:1 37:2 126:17 150:16 242:3 252:9 317:6 318:14

knows 97:21 101:2 272:9

Knupp 2:20 Kowalski 3:5 193:21 194:3,12,14 195:6,10 196:2,5 197:3,9 203:3

203:9 207:3,7,19 208:10,16 209:1 217:10,20 218:1 219:14 221:14 223:3 229:22 233:12 234:18 235:17 236:4,9,12,18 236:20 237:1 238:13 240:8 241:6.20 242:20 244:15 247:1 247:15 248:2 255:4.7 261:13,22 265:8 268:8 269:3.12.18 271:4,7,9,15,19 272:3 274:8 275:12,21 276:7,15,17,22 277:20 278:11,12,20 279:3,15,17,21 280:6 280:11 281:1,8,11,14 281:18,21 282:5,8,11 282:21 Kowalski's 194:2

195:22 201:22 202:16 202:21

Kryle 67:6,17,17,18,18

lack 275:1 laid 149:19 **Lanard** 187:6 landlord 205:9,10,11 landscape 213:15 language 98:8 large 78:16 134:8 198:10 largely 21:12 late 16:4 104:19 183:14 197:21 324:19,19 Laughter 283:8 289:5 law 28:5 55:10,18 99:7 Lawrence 64:16 73:18 lawsuit 285:22 lawyer 90:14 187:2 214:17 234:22 322:20 322:20.22 lawyers 151:20 184:11 lay 24:2 43:3,7 Le 2:5 Leading 180:6 learn 67:5 71:5,13 74:5 74:13 271:13 learned 271:11 276:3,4 leave 37:11 124:15 167:22 left 21:11 135:4 258:16 legal 41:21 53:3 87:17 188:3,5,7 228:19

232:11 240:20 271:16 271:17 length 13:7 113:8 let's 8:21 43:10 54:16 57:9 60:17 62:7 65:21 68:1 72:12 73:6 94:3 97:14 108:22 115:13 121:21 122:2,3 123:10 124:17 127:5 127:16 130:14,21,21 132:12 148:4.19 150:13 157:16 165:7 179:10 189:7.7 250:10 252:22 287:5 289:9 299:14 301:3 302:1 312:1 letter 4:8,9,10,11,12,13 40:22 43:21 44:1,7,10 47:21 48:13 49:15,19 49:22 50:11 51:2,6 53:10 62:11 64:4 65:6 65:9,19 66:2 67:5,16 67:21 71:4,11 72:2,9 73:16 74:14 79:21 81:4 88:15 96:14 99:15 100:12,15 110:4,6,10,20 111:1 112:2,19 121:16,21 121:22 122:2,3,15 123:17 124:5,8 125:5 127:7,18 162:7,9,17 163:20 165:3,12 170:20 171:2 178:22 179:4 215:19 218:1 218:14 219:1,18 229:2,21 230:3 233:16,18 234:22 235:3,16 236:4 237:6 243:2,18 256:9 271:6 271:6,16 272:7 276:9 276:14 278:17,18 279:11 286:22 287:3 287:8 301:1 letterhead 271:6 letterheads 277:3 letters 40:11 46:10 104:5,8 106:6 227:5 level 51:2,3,3,13 63:14 69:7 71:3 81:16 167:9 library 1:17 23:9 317:13 Library's 325:12 license 28:10,11 71:8,9 125:15 licensed 21:15 27:6 licensee 28:12 licenses 29:9

licensing 21:13 29:3 67:8 71:10 74:20 lies 186:22 Life 127:18 291:2 Lifetime 290:19 light 304:13 Lightspeed 159:7,14 320:6.8 Likewise 63:22 limited 80:5 189:16 line 8:22 152:13 215:22 269:21 300:12 304:10 lined 190:17 lines 27:16 60:19 lining 189:21,21,22 190:4,5 link 23:18 92:21 linked 92:17 134:15 256:4 Lisa 220:19 list 9:9 19:5 36:15 50:4 60:8 78:19,20 80:15 82:12,13 87:20 88:3 93:10 113:10 115:21 117:8 119:1,8,9 157:19 248:5 263:1 307:6 308:14,16 listed 34:1 59:20,22 60:16,20 76:3,6 128:16 129:4,5 161:4 182:16 300:9 316:20 lists 10:1 12:2 13:16 14:5 49:20 113:14,22 117:1,10 150:9 251:11 320:5 litigated 34:20 litigation 77:2 little 10:8 23:17 28:13 39:13 60:19 141:21 169:22 175:15 268:2 280:22 311:9 Litton 105:10,11,16 191:6 Living 74:19 108:9,18 109:4,12 110:5,12,14 110:17 111:5,11,22 112:15,20 125:1,4,6,9 126:16 127:1,8 133:20,21 135:15,16 138:13,14 140:13,14 143:4 144:1,20 174:12,12 175:19 176:2,3,11,11 177:4 177:10,14,18 178:1,7 178:7,17 179:2,5,6 Living/Time 127:11

Living/Timemark 126:20 LLP 2:4,14,20 161:5 LM-403 1:16 local 211:20 locate 315:22 323:14 locating 322:14 location 60:12 logistics 212:11 London 34:13,17 long 69:4 75:21 241:16 262:5,6 314:16 longer 22:1 103:19 120:17 158:3 253:5 255:22 322:16 look 5:21 7:16 8:21 9:4 24:17,19 26:4 32:18 36:1 47:11,18 57:5 59:18 72:12 76:13 77:11 89:1 94:6,16 98:9 108:19 109:19 110:9 111:3 113:4 118:1,6 121:21 122:2 122:3,21 123:10 124:2,17 130:14 131:2 132:12 133:1 133:13 140:18 143:20 147:3 152:7 154:3 157:10,15 160:1 162:6 171:13 175:22 176:17 177:21 185:10 194:7 215:6,15,16,21 217:4,5,21 221:11 227:17 228:20 229:19 230:8 232:9 234:16 236:21 237:13,15 243:6 246:3 247:15 250:10,13,15 251:14 252:22 254:6 262:9 277:5,14 284:15 296:19,20 299:6,14 301:22 303:14.17 304:16 312:17 316:15 321:2 looked 166:9 176:5 179:8 251:19 287:14 looking 9:8 59:19 60:4 61:3 63:3 74:11 110:4 110:13,15 139:22 140:8 144:14 145:14 147:2 153:18 159:16 160:8,22 166:2 247:18 255:1 258:9 259:13 261:9,10 262:4 264:1 269:20 276:9 289:2 290:6

292:9,11,15 296:18 302:3 318:8 325:12 looks 89:4,6 166:18 loose 76:18 210:10 loosely 187:18 Los 2:5 losing 313:5 lost 69:19 159:7,15 210:7 262:7 320:7 lot 35:13 50:3 114:7 115:5 119:4 136:14 160:6 164:2 175:13 Ints 14.1 love 30:3,16 31:6 32:17 33:3,4,19,22 34:2,4,6 34:16,19 304:12,17 305:8 308:18 Loves 34:20 305:13 lower 135:4 Lucv 2:19 6:16 Luis 60:13 61:9 lunch 193:18 Lutzker 2:13,14,14 **LYNCH 2:10** 

#### M

M 1:21 M-O-H-R 34:7 ma'am 23:15 118:4 MacLEAN 2:9 3:6,8 6:3 24:5,6 45:2,3,4,9,16 70:3,4 87:22 91:7 114:14,16 134:4 135:19 145:7,21 154:6 158:8,10,20 161:9,11 173:21 175:3,8 180:7 192:13 192:19 193:8,15,16 194:9,10,16 195:4,15 195:17,20 196:13,17 196:19 201:8 203:2,7 207:5 208:8,15,18 217:11,20 218:4,18 219:2,12,15 221:2,11 221:20 222:10,22 229:3,10,14,18 230:4 232:22 233:10,13 234:8,16,19 235:5,14 235:20 236:21 237:2 238:1,10,17 241:2 244:10,13 245:6,17 250:12 267:17 268:15 268:16,21 269:2,9,14 269:19 270:20 272:8 274:18,22 275:16 282:14,18 306:18

315:14 316:6 317:20 319:19,20 320:10,15 321:18,19 323:4,20 324:3,14 MacLean's 284:1 Madison 1:16 Madrid 61:1,10 mail 88:14 90:4,7 214:16 218:15 main 224:10 325:12 maintain 43:19 57:18 186:13 maintained 44:3 48:8 62:19 66:11 75:22 77:6 154:20 287:17 maintaining 304:13 maintains 58:3 185:16 186:7 making 87:15 93:5 95:16,19 134:3 135:18 140:12 167:7 182:15 202:1 224:5 239:10 258:18 289:22 304:11 310:3,16 324:16 malls 211:17 man 34:5 153:22 211:14 managed 210:1 Management 62:17 manager 211:21 managing 102:16 211:11 mandate 4:8 215:19,22 216:4,8,12,14 217:1 218:3,5,9,15 219:1,19 219:21,22 220:5,18 223:21 224:8 256:10 257:8 273:11 mandated 56:3 214:12 257:17 273:5 Mangum 236:7,11,17 manually 10:21 77:4 119:7 Marcel 40:22 41:1,13 March 122:19 163:18 261:10 Marina 214:12 Marion 218:10 220:2 221:17,21 223:5 224:4 227:12,14 255:15 256:19 257:19 258:2,3,13 267:9,13 267:21 268:3 mark 236:7,11 246:16

marked 9:11 116:5

131:4 132:18,21 140:9,10 149:1,3 152:7 245:1,14 255:2 305:22 323:21 Marsha 32:13,14 Martha 125:1,4,6,9 126:4,16,19,19,22 127:7,11,13 Maryland 210:2 materials 112:3,5 123:20,21 131:15 254:4 311:22 math 282:7 matter 1:4,9,19 28:5 35:2 42:8 43:9 193:10 200:21 232:2 283:1 289:15 325:16 matters 35:8 257:2 311:19 Matthew 2:9 208:18 **MBAA** 48:12 McNeely 123:13,18,22 132:2 165:19,22 167:4 mean 68:14,18 78:2,19 82:15 97:13,16,21 101:7 103:13 109:10 116:9 117:22 132:4 134:13 139:13 140:1 146:21 149:17 155:2 155:12 161:17 172:21 177:13 193:1 196:8 230:2 231:6,19 248:21 273:14 295:1 302:16 311:1,6 314:19 317:3 318:7 meaning 98:22 277:5 277:14 means 96:10 meant 231:6,17 249:3 258:6 276:7 290:10 290:11 310:8 measures 201:3,5,10 mechanism 225:12 250:8 Media 4:16 75:2 86:9 112:21 197:10,13 198:6 202:11,18,19 202:20 203:4,5,14,18 206:7,8,11 224:2 225:21 226:2,3 249:9 255:5,11 256:1,5 257:3 258:2,5,10 259:2,4,14,22 262:14 262:18 265:21 266:3 267:6 268:5 273:20

274:7 281:14,15 Media's 202:13 203:19 248:12 265:3,11 meetings 218:9 220:2 member 16:5 56:4 96:11 members 38:2,3 56:4 60:11 102:1,2,7 124:4 124:7 membership 38:1 55:7 55:20 56:1 65:11 96:4 96:7,12,14,17,20 97:13,15 98:4,7,21,22 99:4,12 101:22 102:1 124:7 memo 163:15 memorized 80:4 memory 166:3 185:3 291:22 297:1 mention 36:21 102:12 mentioned 48:17 110:8 133:8 151:7 181:4 189:17 277:21 312:7 mentions 48:15 mere 28:15 merely 48:3 92:1 287:10 message 89:8 322:5 met 37:9 82:16 method 209:9 213:12 213:15 Meurer 305:14 312:2 mic 191:16 321:10 microphone 30:10 mid-October 103:11 middle 89:8 103:5 272:8 308:1 321:21 midpoint 283:20 Mighty 316:17 317:9 318:11 Mike 90:14 322:4,8,10 322:11 323:5 Millen 109:3 176:9 Miller 172:20 mind 91:7 96:1 108:10 110:6,12 174:12 176:12 177:4,15,19 179:3,5 232:5 256:4 267:8 277:1 308:2 311:20 mine 12:6 252:15 miniature 133:7 minimum 223:12,13 Ministries 202:8 Ministry 55:11 minus 198:10

minute 34:8 46:1 54:17 160:12 173:3.10 277:2 minutes 91:6 192:18 213:16 282:17 mischaracterizes 131:19 mischaracterizing 109:3 misconduct 200:7,11 200:20 misconstrued 146:21 misconstrues 126:8 misremembering 84:7 missed 30:4,10 145:22 missing 88:1 89:19 90:2 272:10.13 mistake 151:1 167:7.8 168:21 170:15 171:5 184:18 191:3 251:2 257:14 274:17 mistakes 167:13 168:6 168:10 190:22 misunderstanding 171:19 272:14,16,18 323:2 misunderstood 324:12 Mitchell 2:20 mix 81:18 mixed 290:10 mixing 257:14 MK 143:22 modifying 287:9 Mohr 34:7 308:18 moment 19:19 70:22 148:19 203:18 262:8 268:10 Monday 36:12 324:5,18 324:22 325:4 money 4:14 168:22 169:17,18 191:3,6 198:4 206:4,16 232:20 238:12 252:15 253:20 270:4,10,13 271:14 279:22 monies 18:1 92:2 93:1 168:16,18,19 216:10 216:17,19 232:17 237:22 Monitoring 303:5 month 40:14 monthly 313:18 314:6 months 280:19 Morgan 86:8,12,20 87:6 88:13,19 89:10 90:4,6

321:21 Morgan's 86:19 morning 5:3,5 6:15 60:6 91:5 96:16 193:21 304:14 308:22 324:5,22 325:4 Morphin 316:17 317:9 318:11 Mortgages 302:22 motion 2:17 54:2 185:14 283:16 motivated 229:20 Mountain 202:7,7 move 5:14 8:14 23:17 23:20 35:4 41:15 58:10 59:10 63:8 65:21 66:15 68:1,7 87:10 115:6 125:20 127:16 154:17 203:20 217:12 218:19 221:2 222:12 229:3,16 232:22 233:3 234:8 235:5 238:1 243:13 302:1 306:15 moved 5:18 308:7 **movie** 33:3 movies 29:19,19 33:4 moving 71:12 113:10 **MPAA** 3:14,15,17,18,19 3:20,21,21,22 4:4 6:11,17 7:9,12,13,21 8:6,15 14:17 15:5,22 19:10 20:4 21:3,9,10 22:7 23:1 24:18 25:20 27:16 28:20 29:2,14 31:3 32:4 33:9,13,14 33:17 34:14,20 35:14 35:18 36:13 37:6,7 38:17,17 40:17 41:11 41:16 42:13 43:15,19 47:2,11,18,21 52:11 52:17 53:9,22 54:17 56:8,11,18,19 57:18 58:3,11,14 59:16,18 62:7,15,20 63:4,8,19 64:8,11 65:5,10,22 66:5,11,15 67:1,3 68:1,12 70:17,18,19 71:2,5,12 72:22 73:8 74:4,4,5,12 76:4 77:1 79:9 83:12 84:1 85:18 86:2,20,21 87:4,7,11 88:2,13,17,22 89:11 89:11,17 90:4,5,6,8 99:11 102:5,6 113:17 113:20 115:16,18

117:18 133:3 134:3 135:17 136:17 137:9 139:1 150:17 151:20 151:22 162:1,9 163:12 165:1,8,13 168:9 170:4,14,19 175:11 176:21,22 177:1 178:21 179:10 184:22 185:5,14 186:6 191:2,5,5 203:11 219:4 241:5 276:13 278:14 285:5 287:15 288:7,12,18 288:22 293:2,15 294:4 301:11 305:20 305:22 306:16,22 311:9 313:9 318:9,20 319:2,12,14,15 321:2 MPAA's 7:10 8:8 24:15 35:13 36:6 44:9 45:11 48:3 53:13 76:5 78:17 156:3,11,21 164:19 288:18 MPAA-C-004428 178:11 MPAA-C-04428 177:22 MPAA-represented 310:15 MPAA-S-0922 166:19 **MSL** 126:19 multiple 283:22 Mysteries 108:9 110:5 110:12 174:12 176:11

# 177:4,14,18 179:2,5 N

N 2:10,11,21

N.W 2:21 name 25:22 29:15 60:21 184:22 208:22 213:4 220:8,13,15,21 230:14 236:18 256:17 257:19 258:4,5 263:19 268:4 278:13 297:12 named 62:5 223:20 277:18 names 49:21 60:1 118:10,10 236:5 259:1 294:12 299:8 narrative 53:4 86:15 narrow 302:11 narrower 286:4 302:13 national 55:15 **NCC** 301:8 near 24:19 191:15

necessarily 82:15 91:22 256:16 necessary 203:8 296:8 necessitate 201:16 need 21:10 23:17 24:2 43:3 46:19 65:2 80:2 94:6,16 244:8 250:21 282:7 needs 142:1 negotiated 29:12 250:3 neither 174:21 201:6 240:8 net 234:6 314:3 Netherlands 47:12 network 21:15 never 52:16,20 82:19 91:7 96:1 197:6,7,15 199:22 204:14,15,17 205:6,15 206:5,5,6,9 206:12 227:17 239:7 239:12 252:6 259:10 322:20,21 new 200:20 224:5 227:12 252:17 256:17 321:6 news 325:8 Nigro 284:7 **Nigro's** 286:19 nine 261:11 324:5,22 325:3 non-IPG 52:20 non-network 20:16 non-responsive 289:4 **NONNETTE** 2:20 noon 158:15 normal 29:8 42:5 43:14 69:15 normally 91:1 96:12 125:17 Nos 15:5 notably 202:4 note 24:8 91:1 223:18 225:4 241:11 258:12 258:13 noted 66:19 68:6 82:18 114:20 289:19 notes 113:2 146:4 184:7,10 189:17,18 189:19 229:9 notice 1:19 48:19 154:18 224:1 253:18 254:2 270:2,8 272:11 272:16 noticed 167:17 notices 273:6

notification 4:13

237:20 **noting** 225:9 November 40:14 53:10 53:11,12 62:12 66:3 74:15 112:19 122:15 171:3,10 number 16:18 19:12 23:8,10 30:10 31:5,16 67:1 91:19 119:13 122:4 157:12 160:6,9 160:17 166:17 173:8 178:11 216:16,19 222:3 251:12 290:5 325:12 numbered 133:14 165:14 174:9 numbers 135:10 148:6 173:11 282:6 289:14 289:16 290:11 296:3 NW 2:11,14 0\_\_\_\_ O 2:19 o'clock 324:5,22 325:3 O'Laneran 321:22

oath 6:14 151:19 object 27:7 39:21 41:18 44:17 49:4 51:1.4.12 52:15 58:13 63:12,21 69:3,5,6,9,14 97:19 98:1 114:22 146:13 146:19 149:16 152:15 155:13 194:15 244:8 244:10,17 314:18 319:17 objection 6:2,3 14:9,14 14:19 24:3 39:17 40:2 42:1 45:17 51:11 53:3 53:7 54:5,10 63:10,11 64:17 66:18 68:3,19 70:4,6 87:18,21 88:4 100:22 109:2,15 114:14 115:12 119:21 126:7 129:19,21 130:7 131:18 134:4 134:12 135:19 145:7 145:8,20,21 150:11 154:6 155:14 156:5 157:4 172:12 180:5 187:21 188:13 194:20 199:4 207:21 208:2,3 217:14 218:21 219:3 219:5 221:4,5 222:14 222:15 229:5,6 233:4 234:10 235:7,8 238:3 238:4 245:3,6 267:17

274:1,18,21 289:3 306:17,18 314:21 319:8,9 321:14 objection's 46:20 206:17 objectionable 246:6 objections 5:22 49:4 68:22 70:3 135:13 155:22 156:6 obligated 249:15 obligation 53:20 147:7 279:12 obscure 210:12 obtain 13:13 54:1 obtained 121:3 154:19 obviate 200:8 obviously 52:18 89:7 92:2 99:17 106:21 119:5 120:12 259:12 occur 267:4 272:13 273:10,19 274:4,15 occurred 205:6 288:1 297:21 October 103:6 110:10 127:14 155:18,22 odd 89:7 offer 59:4 68:18 194:16 194:18 195:5 207:8 224:5 317:18 324:16 offered 42:7.9 54:9 69:11 88:2 134:5.21 146:9.16 149:12 190:1 291:15 311:19 offering 90:11 206:20 212:4 223:9 237:6 244:22 offhand 80:1 office 3:13 26:12 40:13 43:22 44:4 48:20 72:16 92:3 100:13 106:21 152:8 154:20 213:20 290:16 294:10 299:21 303:13 314:4 316:10 Office's 291:17 296:16 297:10 officer 155:4 322:19 offices 60:22 official 66:5 236:13 officially 244:4 oh 9:12 11:13 12:10 14:7 16:21 30:5 31:19 33:16 39:1 41:6 44:12

84:10 85:5 103:21 106:8 110:2 111:19 114:15 121:18 122:3 127:13 130:19 131:6 139:19 144:16 148:12 153:9,12,13 157:22 159:9,9 160:8,17 162:3 166:4,11 167:18 171:6 176:19 176:21 178:16 193:2 195:16 207:8 215:4 217:10 218:19 234:5 239:8 245:20 253:11 256:6 269:13 275:15 282:11 283:5 292:1 310:4 315:11 321:11 324:11 325:15 okay 6:5 10:15,19 12:8 13:6 17:4 22:22 23:4 23:5 25:14 31:2 32:3 33:16,18 38:11 43:5 43:12 54:13 59:3,6 62:9 64:6 68:13 70:2 70:14 84:10 85:8 88:8 90:18 92:11 93:11.13 93:22 94:9.13 95:17 96:20 98:20 99:17 100:6 103:11 104:2,7 105:9.15 107:6 109:1 110:3 111:2.6.19 112:10.14 113:1 115:20 116:7 117:7 118:16,17,19 120:12 120:21 122:1 123:4 123:10 124:10,15 125:16 130:2,19 132:7,16 133:11 138:1,10,11,15,16 139:20,20 142:15,16 143:13 144:22 146:18 147:15 149:4,7 151:13 152:10,10 153:18 156:4 158:7 160:12,21,22 161:12 161:13,21 162:8,12 163:19 165:7 166:11 171:12 172:14,19 173:10,16,19 174:8 175:7 176:15 177:2,8 178:6,15 179:11 181:17 183:10 186:5 187:13 188:17 189:2 191:14 192:8 195:16 196:18 203:22 209:1 215:4 217:10 219:14 226:13 229:18 236:20

237:20 244:11 245:5 245:8.13.20 246:15 247:15 249:1 250:10 251:6,19 252:8,11 254:3 258:21 260:9 260:14,18 261:17,22 262:4.21 263:9.11.11 263:16 264:5.14.18 265:20 266:5 268:14 269:14 270:22 271:4 271:15 273:18 274:8 279:4 280:21 282:16 284:4.18 285:4.7.11 285:16,20 286:10,20 287:5,13 290:12 291:13 292:14 293:18 294:6 295:19 296:12 298:17 299:5,12 307:2,4 308:11 309:2 309:12 312:6 318:19 320:5 324:3,4 Olaniran 2:19 3:8 81:8 82:9 86:8 88:18 89:15 90:8 193:2.3 219:5 221:5 222:15 229:6 267:19 274:1 275:5 278:6,7,10,13,14,21 279:4,16 282:15 283:6,14,16 284:5 289:6.8.21 290:7 292:7,13 298:6,12,15 299:3,5,11 302:15 304:8 306:2,15 307:1 308:7,10 313:3 315:2 315:9 **Olaniran's** 89:9,14 old 83:21 104:1 omitting 298:20 omniscient 194:6 once 66:13 152:14 191:4 232:3 ones 10:6 14:18 77:18 78:10 80:1 81:2 84:15 99:18 104:5 114:1 129:4 145:1 178:20 263:19 298:18 302:12 307:19 313:1 ongoing 223:10 224:11 224:12,15 274:11 277:22 online 290:16 294:10 295:11,17 302:8,10 303:13 open 109:21 214:22 215:3 325:4

50:8,12,19 52:9 54:13

57:9 64:22 76:17,19

opening 87:14

operated 227:11 operates 18:12 operating 255:20,22 operations 15:21 16:10 operators 171:21,22 opinion 23:9 27:8,11 125:22 252:12 opportunity 246:3 opposed 106:15 129:9 oral 87:15 orally 80:19 84:3,11 orange 22:9 23:2 order 23:9 53:22 90:6 133:4 137:10 138:9 145:5 150:9 178:13 183:11 185:10.13.14 186:2,2,20 187:7,8 188:11 189:14 288:9 310:19 323:21 ordered 183:14 185:12 187:4 Ordering 187:15 ordinarily 257:11 organization 250:9 organization's 93:15 organizational 256:17 original 86:19 111:4 143:2 200:7 233:22 260:1,6,8 263:16 287:9 originally 165:21 Oshita 214:13 216:22 218:10 220:2 221:22 222:7 223:5 224:4 227:12,14 255:15 256:20 257:19 258:3 258:3,22 259:7,9 267:9,13,21 268:3 Oshita's 221:18 258:14 other's 147:6 outcome 240:13 Outdoorsman 64:13 123:13,18 124:6,12 132:2 165:18,21 167:4 outlining 233:17 outside 10:13 89:10 93:2 195:7,11 286:6 overlap 289:14 overnight 5:21 overruled 14:15 46:21 51:14 58:18 63:15,22 66:19 68:6 98:6 109:16 115:13 126:11

operate 18:11

147:13 150:13 152:21 157:5 188:14 206:18 321:17 overruling 14:20 owed 4:14 237:22 owned 107:22 112:12 156:11 210:1 owner 28:1.8 64:8.9 127:22 128:14,17 129:2,3,6,8,15 130:3 130:10 150:17 151:20 185:5 212:15 267:15 297:6,12,15 310:15 310:16 owners 35:19 36:3,3 38:18,19 39:4 52:22 106:10 117:8 294:12

ownership 112:15 129:12,22 130:9 170:10 181:1 212:18 267:10 287:20 296:15 Oz 33:7

P 2:13

P-032 173:15

P-115 298:2 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 5:1 p.m 193:11,12 283:2,3 325:17 page 3:4 9:5 23:14 24:18,20 26:11,13 30:17 31:6,13 32:18 32:19 33:18,21 60:2 60:18 61:11,14,16 72:13,22 73:6,6,7 87:8 89:8,12 90:10,10 90:10,13 110:6,10 112:22 117:14 119:1 123:17,22 133:14,19 135:10 139:6 140:9 140:10 143:17 144:17 146:3 147:18 148:1 149:9 153:21 154:3 156:17 157:16,18 159:13,13,16 161:1 165:12 166:12 170:22 174:9 176:20 178:18 185:12 215:16 217:6 236:7 237:14,15,15 237:16 242:20 243:7 243:17,17 244:14 247:16,17,18 250:11 250:13,15 252:22 254:6 263:7 264:15

269:15 278:19 279:5 279:7 284:16,16 307:3,6 308:11 316:16 321:20 pages 9:9 32:8 74:22 85:6 113:11 118:22 119:2,4,4,5,6,15,17 135:4,13 143:1,9,12 148:22 162:12 186:20 234:4 261:11,17,18 261:21 paid 18:15 92:2,15 204:9 205:12 225:3.5 252:1 314:4 painting 209:4,4,9 212:1 213:6.8.12.16 pallet 213:13 Pants 284:8 285:3.9.12 285:17,18 287:1,9 paper 10:21 76:9 95:4 paragraph 165:14 174:9 200:4 230:20 231:10 249:8 262:4 262:10 264:21,22 279:8 284:14,15,18 322:3 paragraphs 248:8 278:22 paralegal 323:1

pardon 46:3 204:20 252:16 Parenting 108:18 109:5 109:12 110:17 111:8 111:9,11 112:16 133:20 135:16 138:13 140:14 143:4 144:6 144:20 147:19,21 149:9 174:14,19 175:20 176:3 178:8 part 12:16 44:16 53:15 81:1 89:6,15,19 156:21 173:21,22 175:4 185:11,14 240:18 293:15 partiality 13:21 participant 200:21 participants 201:12 participate 206:9 participating 320:2

particular 26:14 37:19

134:16 148:15 180:3 186:1 206:2 209:4.8

213:13 225:13 277:18

289:16 292:20 293:6

71:22 80:6 95:18 129:17 130:3 134:13

295:15 297:19 300:21 302:12 305:11 307:10 310:14,17,17,21,22 particularly 21:18 29:19 39:5 263:15 parties 5:17 29:15 163:7 169:19 193:22 239:2 256:10 293:3 300:4 302:21 306:13 323:14 parts 7:4 89:5 211:12 283:22 party 95:20 113:15,19 157:12 169:16 204:4 223:5,9 239:15 240:9 289:16 303:4 party's 290:2 pass 325:9 passage 77:17 passed 14:12 113:20 325:5 patient 34:5 patrol 159:1 Paul 73:13 Pause 284:3 292:3,12 305:18 313:2 pay 21:4,16 171:22 172:1,16 payee 251:12 paying 313:8,18 314:16 payment 15:10 17:18 223:10,11 225:13 228:5,10,13 279:18 payments 17:19 93:5 224:22 252:6 277:2 277:11 PBS 197:22 198:2 203:4,11,14 211:20 228:1,7,12 236:13 253:20 266:21 270:4 270:10,14 271:12,13 271:16 272:1 276:3 276:10,18 277:7 penalty 41:19 pending 169:19 172:3 Penguins 60:17 people 103:18 104:2 189:12 240:21 315:5 percent 146:6 206:1 212:7 229:15 237:11 281:11,17,18,20,22 282:1,4,4 313:12 percentage 281:6,10 perfect 151:21,22

perfectly 128:5 150:4

perform 230:21

performance 240:18 period 121:14 122:13 122:22 204:8 206:2 perjury 41:20 permission 194:4 permitted 42:16,17,18 45:1 perplexed 231:10 person 103:20 211:5 personal 17:12 27:15 35:6 37:14 38:5 98:1 179.21 personally 37:2,7,9 40:12 43:22 66:8 102:15.17 perspective 249:17 pertaining 26:13 PGA 3:22 74:6.7 Phase 1:5,7,10,12 46:8 204:14 205:1 304:22 Phil 132:3 Phillip 48:10 Phillips 50:20 52:3 phone 84:12 218:10 phonetic 321:22 phrase 82:4 physical 60:15,22 Pick 2:4 90:14 322:6,12 picked 286:15 picture 2:17 211:19 283:16 piece 214:15 pieces 75:9 206:19 Pierce 34:4,16 Pillsbury 2:10 Pittman 2:10 place 201:19 262:7 269:13 plan 223:10 plant 319:22 please 5:3 9:17 11:7 16:14 23:14 25:6 32:7 44:6 46:1 64:3 67:4 87:4 91:12 94:8 101:4 101:12 109:19 113:4 121:18 129:20 131:9 152:5,7 160:1 161:22 166:17 167:22 175:18 178:2 182:2 193:14 203:18 207:13,20 208:21 209:15 232:5 253:10 283:4 284:2 286:17 289:7 Plovnick 2:19 3:3 5:7 6:1,11,15,16 7:7 8:14 9:1,2,6 12:12 13:3,7

13:10 15:7.14 16:21 17:4.8 22:5.9.12 23:16 24:16 25:1 26:8 26:17 27:14 28:17 30:5,12,19 31:1,7,10 31:18 32:1,3,6 35:12 35:17 39:12 40:6,7,15 41:15 42:2,9,18 43:5 43:12,17 44:22 46:6 46:12,14 47:3,10,14 49:11,13,14,17 50:10 50:14 51:15,21 53:6,8 53:12 54:11.15.21 56:6,10,13 57:4 58:10 58:16 59:1,9,10,17 61:2,5 63:4,8 64:1,21 64:22 65:4,8 66:15 67:2,13 68:7,13 70:7 70:15.21 71:12 72:4 72:12.18,22 73:5,11 73:15.20 74:3.11 75:8 75:15 76:2,8,12,17,22 77:6,10,21 78:8,14 79:18 80:2,9,14 81:2 82:11,20 83:9 84:18 85:2,8,13,17 86:1,5 86:14,22 87:3,9 88:5 88:8,21 89:18 90:1,18 97:19 100:22 109:2 109:10 114:21 117:16 119:21 126:7 129:19 130:7,12 131:18,22 134:11,20,22 136:16 139:21 140:6 145:8 145:11,14,18,22 146:13,19 147:1,8 149:16 150:11 152:13 154:11 155:2,11 156:19 158:12 161:9 161:12,17 162:5,16 162:21 164:20.22 165:3.6 166:18 167:1 167:5,19 169:5 170:17 172:20 173:2 173:7,11,14,17,20,22 174:5 175:9 176:19 176:21 177:1,5 180:9 184:21 186:4 188:17 189:17 191:11,15 192:2,8 208:3 235:8 238:4 241:7 plus 233:22 276:4 point 37:11 44:19 47:5 47:8 51:16 55:4 64:18 79:16 89:16 92:4,7,9

183:13 206:8 244:9 253:22 266:19 276:11 276:14 278:4 313:21 313:22 314:2 320:19 points 46:7 **Policy** 62:17 Polnick 27:13 portion 9:18 32:8 186:2 251:10 pose 321:14 position 35:9 93:3 236:14 249:19 252:4 272:22 274:6 285:13 297:11 298:3 possession 53:13 107:17 187:4 188:10 possibility 27:22 205:2 possible 151:1.2.2 184:6.8 206:11 272:11 posture 231:11 potted 319:22 Power 159:6,7,14,19 181:6 316:17 317:9 318:11,21 319:5 320:6,6,7 practice 29:8 pre-marked 305:20 306:4 precedes 256:8 264:16 precisely 276:11 preference 107:5 prejudice 59:13 premarked 7:17 23:1 56:7,19 65:22 85:18 86:2 premised 298:1 prepare 80:16 103:1 150:16,21 183:21 prepared 10:11 47:6 69:15.16 115:16 116:22 119:11.19 120:4,10 138:8 139:6 151:20 189:13,15 preponderance 266:16 prerogative 36:19 presence 195:7 present 35:8 194:3 presented 79:11 99:18 99:19 200:19 293:5 presenting 295:11 president 209:2 212:9 presumably 259:15 presume 193:1 316:1

presumed 55:18 71:19 73:3 312:12 presuming 246:5 presumption 201:21 202:14 227:11 presumptions 201:17 presumptively 99:8 pretty 245:11 288:5 289:16 previous 242:22 247:18 previously 15:20 25:14 34:20 85:10 100:16 128:20 156:7 189:14 255:14 258:15 283:11 293:20 301:4.10 primary 28:20 principle 223:6,8 224:4 262:15.15 printout 290:15 316:16 printouts 152:11 316:9 316:13 prior 11:17 14:13 112:9 206:3 209:17 212:20 213:18,18 256:21 259:15 293:16,21 295:6 privileged 119:22 privy 206:12 probably 79:5 193:17 217:2 223:6 225:6,7 225:12 238:15 249:14 277:5,13 301:5 311:2 probative 17:20 18:5 19:8,8 27:21 problems 277:16,17,18 proceed 197:17 241:9 253:19 270:4 proceeding 6:21 7:5 15:9 19:10,22 36:22 38:13 46:8,11 91:10 92:6 93:20 95:6.21 100:1 103:2 104:9 105:1 118:13 141:5 152:2 185:17 196:8,8 196:10 198:20 199:2 199:7,8,15,21 200:6,9 201:17 202:3 204:5 206:10 209:13 285:9 288:13 289:13 293:17 302:13 304:22 305:1 305:4 proceedings 11:19 42:1,15,20 54:20 85:10 122:14 129:13

324:18

100:18 106:18 144:18

196:16 199:5 201:12

204:19 207:16 293:5

320:1 322:14 proceeds 127:12 204:11 process 93:5 169:6 200:9 Processing 10:16 produce 102:19,21 103:22 104:9 183:15 184:15 185:15 186:6 186:7 188:9 287:13 292:21 293:10 produced 5:17 8:12 52:10,16,20 53:19 57:21 58:6,13 63:21 69:12,21 76:9 79:20 104:6 134:14 137:15 145:4 150:8.19 151:11 182:7 183:2,4 185:1 188:11 216:20 285:11 288:8.21 292:6,17,21 293:2,20 294:22 296:21 300:15 301:11 302:12 303:8 306:9,11 310:13 producer 97:15 128:11 128:11,12,18 130:6 297:6 producers 2:3 21:8 55:13,19 91:17 99:9 242:4 256:9,12,14 257:9,18,20 259:1 322:7 323:6,7,10,11 323:13 producing 187:11 production 3:14 73:4 133:3 199:5,7 209:5 Productions 25:10 126:17 161:5 199:8 199:16.18.20 200:6 202:6 296:19.20 297:4 301:12 professional 96:19 209:16 professionally 37:2 proffer 195:21,21 program 13:16 20:10 25:13 32:16 124:1 150:9 185:19,21 204:15 205:5 213:4 213:10 288:7 290:19 292:20 293:7 295:10 295:15 300:21 310:17 programming 239:9 304:19 319:5 programs 3:12 9:9 20:15 27:5 64:12

115:21 134:2 135:18 140:13 156:10 209:6 212:21 291:7 301:2,4 301:9 prompted 229:20 pronounce 26:15 proof 59:4 82:16 168:13 194:16.18 proper 25:11 26:2 27:18 property 64:9 128:1 130:4 153:21 prospectively 286:16 protection 201:11 provide 36:14 39:5 56:1 93:17 94:1 97:13 105:5 151:7 186:18 194:16 249:10,16 253:18,21 254:16 259:18.19 260:11.11 260:21 262:18 270:2 275:10 279:12 294:8 294:15 296:14,15 312:8,13 provided 90:17 103:7,8 108:7 113:16 137:9 142:22 143:8 240:21 248:15 259:16 260:15 263:3 273:20 287:21 297:9 300:16 301:4 303:18 311:17 312:5 providers 172:16 provides 169:14 providing 107:10,10 143:11 272:15 psychiatrist 34:5 **public** 3:13 24:9 26:12 26:18,20 27:17 72:14 72:16 154:19 155:3,7 179:13 201:11 204:13 204:22 205:3 212:7 291:16,17 294:9,18 295:2 296:16 297:10 301:19 302:18 316:10 318:21 publish 209:6 published 23:22 Publishing 163:16 punch 166:20 punched 31:16 244:20 punish 201:13 punitive 201:3,6,7 purely 310:12 purport 155:10,12

purported 149:20

104:12,22 113:10

287:21 310:15 purportedly 311:15 purports 156:13 purpose 6:21 7:1 purposed 244:14 purposes 19:14 42:7 77:4 248:21 281:3 pursuant 1:19 281:6 **pursue** 200:5 pursued 199:22 put 10:21 22:10 37:20 76:18.20 78:21 80:19 81:3 153:12 178:9 184:10 242:11 243:1 246:17.18 316:4 putting 183:22 309:20 O

qualifications 199:1 qualified 27:9 quality 170:3,7 quarters 157:18 question 19:3,22 23:20 35:9 39:10 40:5 58:20 64:21 65:17 77:1,13 78:5 79:3,5 81:14,15 82:5,21 86:15 97:1,3 101:9,12 102:18 105:4 110:16 111:3,4 113:3 116:22 122:9 122:14 123:3 125:10 129:15,20 131:1 135:12 136:3 138:16 142:3,10 143:2,14 146:20 150:2,14 163:1 164:8 168:5 169:22 172:3,6 189:16 191:12 192:5 197:2 200:10 202:17 227:1,21 243:12 257:2 263:11,12,20 264:4 268:22 269:4 275:22 278:8.15 279:6 280:4 282:3,13 283:22 289:4 294:7 294:15 295:6,13 297:8 298:1,7 301:16 303:6,12,15,20 309:7 311:9,12 question's 268:2 questionable 228:4 questioning 152:14 164:17 284:1 questions 9:3 27:13 49:11,12 81:20 83:9 90:19 91:18 94:4,15

111:18 114:7,8 115:5 137:8 152:18,20 158:8 162:1 164:2 175:13 188:19,20,22 189:6 190:21 192:9 227:10 241:3,5 244:3 246:8 248:21 249:7 268:15,18 270:20 277:1,11 315:10 319:10 324:1 quick 77:12 108:22 212:1 213:12,15 250:18 278:7 quicker 164:16 quickly 5:9 81:9 115:6 quite 37:22 71:9 145:3 151:10 210:8 211:6 212:19 312:16

R 1:22 racetrack 210:2 raise 155:22 199:3 207:13 raised 7:3 49:5 75:11 172:6 199:4 raising 156:2 ran 118:21 310:18 Rangers 159:7,7,14,20 181:6 316:17 317:9 318:11,21 319:5 320:6,7,7 rapport 210:18 rarely 240:21 Raul 3:7 220:6 283:10 **re-form** 40:5 reach 107:3 reached 82:22 90:9 212:5.7 reacting 164:16 reaction 225:11 read 25:6 46:2,5 64:2,5 71:4 110:20,22 128:3 156:15 165:13 188:10 188:11 248:4 302:20 320:4,9 325:8 reader 262:7 reading 67:4 74:12 232:14,14 234:2 ready 283:5 real 108:22 249:17 realize 69:10 129:2 197:1 really 22:2 29:16 31:19

39:18 64:4 97:9 140:1

1
142:14 147:8,9
155:12 161:18 189:9
191:1 204:3 213:18
214:4 216:18 218:11
240:16 243:4 245:10
240.10 243.4 243.10
289:15 291:15 311:12
317:21 319:13
reason 21:4 106:22
125:3,10,11 204:19
249:13 252:12 257:10
274:10 313:1
reasonable 54:7 139:9
18
143:6
reasons 49:8
rebut 79:16
rebuttal 7:3 33:17 38:13
41:9 44:16 53:16
58:15,17,21 59:1
69:10,11,12,17 75:11
77:16 103:3,9,9
17.10 105.5,9,9
155:17 156:3,9,21,22 157:2 207:1 293:9,15
157:2 207:1 293:9,15
293:22 294:2,4,5
309:21 319:12,14
rebutted 77:19
rebutting 59:4 78:1,6
recall 5:10 15:15 18:16
21:18 71:8,20 72:3
21.10 / 1.0,20 / 2.3
86:17 98:8 103:3,9
104:21 108:21 109:4
120:17,20 122:20
128:5 136:8 137:11
137:14,18 138:11,17
139:3,5,8 180:13,13
180:14,15,15 183:6
183:15 191:4 218:13
226:10,22 248:5,15
259:6 260:20 263:12
263:14,15,18,19
264:8,11 265:20
281:20 291:6 295:9
296:11 305:5 308:8
313:4,12 316:3 321:1
010.7,12 010.0 021.1
recalled 305:6,7 314:2
recalling 312:22
RECD 3:10
receipt 17:18 18:3
receive 18:6 43:14
62:14 67:11 75:12
104:7 106:16 128:22
225:20 226:4,8
235:21 266:2,5
270:17 280:7,8,16
received 6:8 15:4 17:22
10.17 21.14 10.11
18:17 24:14 40:11 41:13 42:4,8,10 43:22

```
44:11,12 45:10 47:1
  53:9,14 59:15 62:11
  62:14 63:1,18 66:2,5
  66:7,13,22 67:10
  68:11 74:15 75:10
  88:15 90:13 104:5
  106:15,20 107:7
  157:7 168:18 208:6
  221:17 226:1.14.19
  226:22 233:21 252:6
  252:9 262:21 263:13
  264:9,12 265:15,21
  266:17 279:18,22
  281:22,22 306:21
  322:4
receiving 15:10 19:2
  103:2 170:9 224:22
  227:4 248:6 259:4
  263:18 267:2 278:2
recess 91:5 192:17
  282:16 324:5
recessed 91:10
recession 287:2
recite 49:7
reciting 64:18
recognize 85:14,16
  86:2 215:6 306:7
recollection 20:1 84:21
  84:22 85:1 128:4
  134:7,10 135:21
  136:9,18 139:12
  140:1,5,11 143:15,18
  146:10,12 164:11
  239:1 276:8 286:11
  286:12 291:21 305:9
  305:10
reconsider 59:6
reconstruction 224:3
 255:12 257:4 258:6
record 6:16 12:14 14:17
  16:20 17:3 24:1,9
 25:6 26:7 42:3,13
 43:4,7,19 44:19,21
 45:10,17,18 46:9 47:5
 47:9 49:6,8 51:17
 56:9 62:18 66:11
 70:12,16 77:19 80:20
 82:7 94:21 95:4,5,7
  100:8 101:4,8 107:18
  110:21 111:1 116:10
  126:3 151:14,22
  154:19 164:4,10,18
  165:15 181:19 187:14
 187:21 193:11 198:9
 253:4 283:2 296:9,10
```

```
records 3:14 8:9 26:12
  27:18 43:1 46:11 53:9
  57:19 58:4 69:18 70:6
  70:8.19 75:22 77:7
  83:20 103:19 104:1
  150:19 154:7 155:3
  179:13 188:9 292:22
  293:1
recoup 168:19
RECROSS 3:2 189:3
  272:5
red 22:10 76:14,15
redacted 67:8 71:9
redirect 3:2 117:22
  158:12 161:16 188:18
  191:10 245:15,19
  268:15 269:1 324:7
redundant 289:12
refer 92:17 121:16
  139:11 141:13 318:9
reference 19:4 94:18
  111:12 112:20 132:2
  133:19 134:7 135:14
  144:5 151:11 152:6
  174:11,14 187:14
  291:6 298:2,18
  307:20 318:7,12,22
referenced 49:15
  110:15 112:4 152:18
  152:19 170:1 174:21
  245:4 311:3
references 33:9 123:17
  124:12 135:14 148:15
  159:6 160:10 253:1
referencing 3:12 90:12
  244:16
referred 143:19 293:9
  322:2
referring 52:18,19
  108:15 144:12 189:20
  196:11 231:4 271:18
  286:8
refers 33:2 98:10
  140:18,20 248:4
reflect 319:4
reflected 310:14
reflective 149:18
reflects 149:20 196:4
 251:1
refresh 134:7,10 135:20
  136:9,18 139:12
  140:3,10 143:15
  146:10,12 164:11
  166:3 185:2 212:22
  291:21
refreshed 140:2 147:11
```

refreshes 136:7 refreshing 139:22 refuse 317:18 refused 198:11 regard 13:12 27:9 39:6 41:21 45:21 52:2 53:1 68:22 93:13 94:3 95:22 96:3 100:6 102:22 105:9,16,18 108:6,17 111:21 112:5 113:22 117:7 121:8 123:10 129:17 131:3,13 156:1 157:17 168:22 169:22 171:7 179:17 180:11 181:3 189:5 190:21 200:2 277:17 295:20 304:3 312:2,12,18 regarding 4:13 23:10 34:18 45:22 50:20 75:10 93:14,17 104:19 105:5 108:9 123:11 124:17,22 127:17 179:2 180:2 181:8 237:22 238:12 253:22 265:10 regardless 252:9 281:2 regards 48:8 105:3 152:19 296:13 Register 23:6,7 registering 18:13 registration 290:18 registrations 155:5 180:1,18 303:3 regular 45:10 62:19 77:7 regularly 41:22 regulations 42:16 rejected 69:20 154:12 154:13 190:2 199:11 related 33:5 34:10 relates 318:12,22 relating 239:9 249:4 262:14 relationship 55:5 92:19 181:8 239:4,13 249:17 255:18 273:4 279:14 320:12,17,21 relative 228:4 relatively 93:4 relevance 155:13 194:15,21 199:4 275:2 314:19,22 319:22 relevant 97:11 124:4

319:8 325:2,17

140:22 143:1,9,12

129:9,14 180:2,21

148:22 198:17 199:2 202:1,16,22 272:19 319:21 reliance 274:12 relied 95:16,18 182:14 182:20 rely 301:17,18 relying 35:6 78:6,11 295:8 298:10 remain 6:14 remainder 244:7 remaining 313:17 remark 277:13 remedy 200:13 remember 17:9 84:7,19 85:9 86:12 103:6 119:12 143:6 150:4 164:6 276:10 280:18 282:5 286:14 296:9 300:10 313:22 321:16 remind 11:7 39:9 remiss 251:20 remotely 305:7 remuneration 55:12 56:4 remunerations 19:7 renew 14:9,13 110:16 187:21 rent 205:13 repeat 30:8 68:22 101:11 309:6 321:15 rephrase 303:6 reply 221:21 222:1 report 12:11 25:18 88:14 90:6 143:21 144:10 147:18 178:18 183:18 276:20 reported 277:12 REPORTER 30:7 reports 10:12 11:16 100:4 115:16,17 141:20 150:18 151:5 176:1 182:14 183:22 represent 17:21 35:14 35:18,19 36:2,18 37:4 37:10 38:17 54:17,19 55:12 91:17 92:1 133:2 197:10 203:11 203:12 208:19 242:2 248:17 278:14 279:1 285:8 290:14 representation 35:14 36:6,10,11,15,19 37:21 39:4 44:9 48:9 48:16 50:17 51:7 58:2

78:22 80:7 101:17 122:17.18 123:6.7 141:7 162:19 163:6 163:21 164:9 169:13 286:22 291:10.13 294:17 295:1.21 299:20 300:6.8 301:20 302:17 representative 20:11 36:4 48:5 55:19 62:4 99:9 100:14,17 198:13 214:8 representatives 102:15 represented 3:12 19:15 19:16 20:10,18 36:20 38:18,19,20 50:1,2 59:22 78:18 79:9 131:16 285:5 301:3 322:15,21 representing 259:16 represents 19:11,12 48:1 50:5 135:11 185:18,19,20 reproducing 190:12 reprogrammed 213:1 request 4:11 51:9 184:14 193:20 232:16 312:3 requested 39:15 42:11 44:2 201:4 260:20 311:17 requesting 254:12 279:9 requests 52:21 require 58:1 131:2 required 53:22 186:6 254:2 272:17 273:7 274:13 requires 230:21 Rescue 159:7,15 320:6 320:8 research 299:20 316:12 researched 214:18 reserved 237:9 Resnick 10:11 resolution 169:14,19 resolve 237:7 resolved 169:17 respect 12:2,10 19:11 20:9 49:1 56:22 64:15 74:18 99:3,9 102:13 168:16 199:5,16

202:2 203:17 224:7

respected 154:21

respectfully 201:9

235:15 296:14 319:22

respectively 138:21 182:17 respond 53:7,8,15 76:1 104:3,18 107:1 108:17 156:5 205:18 responded 75:20 90:9 104:11 237:5 239:7 313:11 responding 81:14 253:9,17 responds 41:3 response 38:22 40:9 44:22 47:6 53:14 69:16 78:5 82:3,8 88:2,19 89:10,11 106:16 116:21 133:3 137:10,18 138:8 145:5 150:8 189:6,14 189:16 230:20 248:14 249:8 254:4,17 262:19 265:6 288:8 293:14 294:4 responses 83:19 106:15,20 responsibility 186:22 responsible 15:21 responsive 107:11,11 107:13,17 rest 110:13 324:20 restitution 313:8 314:17,20 restricted 9:11 16:22 131:5 132:13 157:21 161:15 167:17 174:2 174:4 307:13 315:8 restructured 213:1 result 115:20 297:13,14 resulted 231:12 results 251:11 291:16 294:8,17 295:1,3 296:16 297:10 301:19 resume 193:1,3,5 283:5 283:6 resumed 91:10 193:11 283:2.12 retain 231:14.17 retained 28:10 retired 120:16 121:1 209:19 retiring 211:2 retransmission 17:19 18:3,6,20,22 19:20 20:3,14 21:1 22:1 27:1,19 28:2,3,11 29:5 48:6 56:5 57:1

65:13 67:11 128:22

181:2 285:18 286:2 retransmitted 20:5 retreading 114:22 retreating 173:8 retrospect 225:6 return 191:3,6 222:5 232:17 233:11 235:17 253:20 270:4,9,13 returned 115:17 198:11 222:8 270:16 280:8 280:14 revealed 199:17 revelation 252:14,17 review 46:9 118:20 168:9 170:8 186:20 214:17 244:2 reviewed 38:12 86:16 179:8,20 reviewing 74:5,13 revisit 200:22 right 7:20 9:1,2 11:1 13:14 18:6 21:1 24:19 25:5,22 27:1 28:2,4 29:13,21 32:7 33:8 38:8,9 40:7,16 41:15 47:18 50:19 51:10 52:13 54:15,16,22 57:11,12 58:10 59:17 62:7 65:4,21 67:2,11 68:1,7 70:21 71:1,17 73:5 76:12,17 77:10 80:4 81:7 82:20 83:4 83:19 89:18 94:1 95:22 109:21 111:9 113:12 121:2,6 122:12 123:18 127:3 128:21 134:6,22 142:14,19 144:17 145:19 146:1 148:11 150:6 161:22 163:8 163:11 167:14 171:12 171:12 172:17 173:6 176:4 179:9.9 181:17 181:17 188:19 190:10 191:22 207:14 208:20 215:14 216:5,6,9 232:15 234:3,5,5,6,18 240:10 242:1 245:20 248:5 253:5,11 254:9 255:18 256:15 257:1 257:22 259:13 269:13 278:20 284:12 285:5 285:17 286:2 288:10 289:20 290:12 296:10 296:17 299:2 304:12

308:12 323:18 rightly 156:11 rights 18:15 19:9 28:2,3 28:9,11 29:5 48:6 55:12,13 62:17 83:5 83:12,13 92:5,13 95:1 95:14 99:6,10 105:12 107:22 125:19 127:6 128:19 131:15 156:14 163:15 221:15 223:1 223:19 224:7,18 237:9 rises 81:16 road 212:14 role 29:1 room 1:16 167:22 195:6 308:6 Ropes 74:10 Ross 196:20 197:4,11 197:13,15,18,20,22 198:6,11,15 202:12 203:3,4,15 204:6,7,8 204:9,10,10,17,19 205:14,22 206:4,4,11 209:2,18 210:3,21 211:5,10 212:10,15 212:20 213:9,19 215:22 216:1 224:21 230:20 231:1,13 232:18 233:11 235:21 238:19,21 240:2,9,12 248:13 250:20 253:4 253:19 254:14 256:11 262:16 265:4,12 270:3 271:6 274:13 277:8 279:1 roughly 211:9 routinely 36:13 royal 216:10 royalties 18:4,6,17,21 18:22 19:12,14,20 20:3 21:1,5,9 22:2 27:2,19 35:3 36:5 57:1 65:13 67:12 71:20 72:1 74:9 77:5 92:6,14 93:18 97:18 98:13 122:12 129:1 129:10,14 140:19,21 152:1 165:18 171:22 172:16 180:21 181:2 198:1,16 213:21 223:11,11,17 225:1,3 225:5,13 226:1 228:5 228:10 252:1,1,19 253:13 277:11.17.19 278:2 281:4 284:12

285:18 286:2,3 291:2 295:5 297:13,16 royalty 1:1,6,11,22 3:17 17:19 19:6 21:19 23:12 56:21 57:7 71:22 75:20 119:13 134:13,16,19 135:5 141:4 143:21 146:2 165:22 166:14 175:20 177:6 179:6 180:2 204:11 214:19 226:8 227:20 228:1 236:15 240:3 285:22 rule 45:19 46:20 172:9 ruled 53:21 130:8 rules 45:19 169:14 ruling 46:7,13 95:16,19 207:10 rumbling 325:6 rumblings 228:9 277:10 runaround 198:8

S

s 31:15 61:14,16 176:21 205:21 265:12 **S-I-M-E-O-N-E** 73:19 Saban 159:20 181:3,8 181:11 316:20,21,22 317:1,4,6 318:4 320:12,17,22 **safe** 192:15 Salem 202:5 Sam 31:15 sample 20:11 sanctions 200:18 Sandiego 160:10,21 161:2 **Sandros** 48:19 **Sandy** 67:18 Sanford 67:17,18 satellite 1:11 11:19 55:16 56:22 57:13 61:7,8,13,15,21 113:6 116:14 118:11,21 128:22 140:19 171:21 172:15 178:4,9 196:12,13,21 198:16 318:17,18 **Saunders** 3:3 5:4 6:12 6:13,16,18 7:8 8:21 9:22 12:15 15:8 17:2 19:19 22:5 23:13 24:17 25:5 26:8 27:14 28:18 29:13 32:3

35:12 39:8 41:5 42:3

43:13 45:9 47:10 50:6 51:15 54:16 56:6,10 56:18 59:18 64:1 67:3 68:13 70:15,20 71:6 71:15 72:7,15 73:2,10 73:13,18,22 74:6,14 75:8,14,17 76:6,11,15 76:21,22 77:3,9 79:11 79:17 81:12 82:22 83:6,14,17 84:4,10,16 84:20 85:4,11,15,22 86:4,6,18 87:2,6,9 88:12,21 89:3,21 90:3 90:19 91:16 92:8 97:20 98:7 101:3,11 101:16 106:5,11,17 107:8,13,16 109:21 114:15 115:11,14 116:3,11,14,18 118:7 120:6 126:5,12 128:10 130:5,15 131:19 132:3,7,13,16 133:5 136:18 137:7 137:12 139:16,17,19 141:12,16 142:4,7,11 142:12 146:7,10 147:3,5,15,17 148:3,8 148:12 149:4,7 150:15 152:19 153:2 153:6,9,16 157:10,14 158:2,9,22 160:12 161:22 162:3,14,18 164:21 165:2,4,5 167:2 168:2,5,7,11 169:2 170:7,18 172:4 172:14,17,21 173:3 173:10,13,16,19 174:1 176:18 177:2 179:10,12 181:18 184:17 185:22 186:10 186:16,19 187:17 188:17,20 189:21 191:12 192:1,6,15,16 288:11 304:13 308:21 saw 93:12 211:22 251:21 252:5 saying 11:21 28:14 33:3 39:19 79:8 83:3 101:2 114:12 173:8 196:14 225:10 231:19 250:16,18,21 257:17 275:8 298:21 301:2 302:19 311:5 317:19 savs 95:13 154:4

157:11,12,19 159:19

159:20 161:2 173:15

185:13 223:13 230:20 232:1 251:11 256:9 256:16 261:1 262:13 269:21 272:9 285:2 285:10 300:8 302:20 308:18 322:4 scanned 251:16 schedule 158:3 300:19 schedules 283:20 **scope** 20:16 101:6 125:21 Screenrights 36:22 37:18 38:2 62:12 64:6 64:11 65:11,12 96:3 96:13 97:16,17 98:13 98:18 99:12,14 100:4 102:2,9 123:12 165:16,20 167:3 171:2 Screenrights/AVCS 4:3 screwed 251:2 SD 1:11 **SDC** 4:7 173:1 193:19 195:22 200:13 201:4 203:18,19 205:9 206:18,20 207:8 208:7 215:6,9,13 217:13,19,21 218:20 219:11,13 221:3,10 222:13,21 228:21 229:4,13 230:9 232:10 233:9 234:9 234:15,17 235:6,13 236:22 238:2,9 243:19 260:12 276:13 319:11,15 se 1:17 250:9 sea 210:14 search 26:18,20 27:17 72:14,15 73:3 155:7 251:11 291:16,17 294:8,10,11,17 295:1 295:3,12,17 296:16 297:9,12,14 298:11 301:14,18 302:8,10 302:18,21 303:1,13 searches 155:3,6 179:13 316:13 searching 194:6 249:18 273:2 274:10 seated 5:4 91:12 130:12 193:14 207:20 283:4

second 6:18 9:8 25:6

33:16 50:20 51:2,3,12

69:7 73:7 87:8 94:9

105:20 113:11 123:17 123:22 128:3 132:11 133:13 140:8 149:2 153:21.22 157:16 159:16 165:12 173:4 174:8 201:19 230:19 237:16 243:7 247:17 248:8 249:8 250:13 262:5 264:15,21,21 279:8 307:3 308:11 308:16,17 316:15 secondary 163:15 221:15 223:1,19 224:7,17 227:13 seconds 158:17 section 36:11 200:4 see 12:5 19:4,5 22:19 24:20 25:2 29:2 30:2 30:15 33:8,15,17 36:2 51:17 52:9 57:9 60:17 61:9,13,15 73:6 87:7 89:15 90:12 92:20 94:3 100:19 105:2 110:4,9,14 111:12 114:16 118:3 122:7 122:22 124:10,11 125:9 126:21 127:5 127:16 128:2 130:19 130:21 135:10 141:17 142:7 143:16,22 144:3,5,19 147:17 148:4,14,14 154:1 159:6,14,19 161:1 168:10,21 174:11,14 176:2,3,12 177:3,10 177:17,19,20 178:6 190:18 193:17 214:22 215:1 227:3 236:7,9 241:22 243:20 245:9 246:1 253:9 261:3 262:2,11 269:21 270:5 273:21 284:8 284:18,19 287:12 296:20 300:14 301:3 304:16 306:9 307:7 308:14,19 312:17 318:16 321:22 324:21 325:3 seeing 104:21 seek 19:20 seeking 88:14 198:21 201:5,10 203:4 seen 37:18 40:19 42:20 62:10 66:1 96:18,18 99:4 100:4 101:19

139:6 sees 101:2 send 42:11 221:18 235:2 248:10 253:12 254:4 265:1 sending 19:6 221:15 266:12 315:17 sense 82:7 99:21 133:7 195:10 209:16 247:4 sent 40:22 42:12 48:19 74:7 96:15 100:12 106:6 107:14,18 198:10 235:18 237:12 249:21 251:4 265:6 271:15 275:15 276:9 278:17 293:2 309:14 311:21 sentence 25:7 165:14 231:9 232:1 253:17 262:5,6,9 272:9 separate 28:3 50:4 152:9 196:15 separately 245:1 321:6 September 163:22 197:21 series 40:11 111:22 124:6 144:6 212:2 **serve** 37:5 Service 303:5 services 121:1 session 192:21 set 211:14 settlement 48:21 194:11 237:10 Settling 2:7 207:6 208:19 259:17 Seymour 75:5,7 108:11 108:13 111:22 112:4 112:7,21 SFC 214:22 Shannon 34:7 308:18 **shape** 27:3 share 71:20 shared 106:19 Shaw 2:10 sheet 258:11,15,17 shopping 211:16 short 80:15 263:8 shortcut 136:13 shorthand 187:16 show 56:7 85:18 92:12 104:19 105:1,3,10 110:11 112:21 128:20

129:7,8,12,16,18

242:7 285:12

136:6 144:6 167:3

showed 143:10 179:12 179:18 showing 54:3 85:9 277:8 shown 117:17 190:16 198:22 shows 33:21 82:7 138:22 141:2 side 212:13 242:12 sign 97:15 98:16 218:2 218:15 224:14,19 signal 20:13,14 signature 25:18 216:2 219:20 221:18 222:6 signed 9:15 41:19 127:8,13 131:8 206:12 212:18 214:11 216:4,8,18 220:5,18 222:11 223:1,18 224:9 227:12 255:5 256:11 259:14 262:19 267:6 271:8 273:21 significant 231:15 286:7 signing 216:12,14,22 219:21,22 223:22 224:17 Silberberg 2:20 **Simeone** 73:18 similar 10:13 29:16 72:6 98:21,22 99:3 133:10 150:10 203:10 322:5 similarly 307:10 simply 19:5 35:6 43:7 49:7 106:11 124:3 147:3 196:2 213:8 231:1,6 246:4 250:8 300:16 303:17 319:13 319:16 320:10 single 20:5,10 197:17 Singsation 108:1 sir 101:19 106:12,12 107:16 209:11 219:12 271:8 sit 207:13 sitting 252:11 321:12 situation 29:14 72:5 97:22 180:20 199:13 situations 298:10 six 307:17 slate 257:16 slow 39:14 small 20:17 60:19 societies 37:6

301:17,18 solemnly 207:15 solicited 90:4 soliciting 262:16 somebody 278:2 somewhat 211:6 286:4 287:3 289:12 son 210:7 soon 53:14 266:20 sorry 7:18 8:1 9:10 11:15 12:10,17 25:21 30:5,7 31:7,19,21 34:17 39:11 41:6 46:14 50:7,12 56:2 57:9,12 58:2 59:22 60:2 61:11 65:7,17 72:20 74:22 84:10 85:5 87:2 89:5,21 90:8,10 91:8 95:3 97:3 101:11 103:8,10 103:21 104:14 106:8 108:20 111:19 113:2 115:11 116:11,12 120:1 122:9 126:19 130:18,18 131:6 132:3,10,12 137:5,5 138:3,5,10 139:19,20 139:20 144:11,16 148:3 152:10 153:2 156:15 157:22 159:9 159:10 160:8,13 162:16 166:4 167:17 167:18,19,20 170:19 170:21 172:21 173:7 178:3,14,16 183:6 188:2,6 189:11 197:1 197:21 204:21 232:14 233:1,2 234:1 250:19 259:20 262:7 263:5 271:20 274:20 277:16 280:22 288:14,18 292:8,10,11 296:1 299:16 306:16 307:12 308:17 309:6 310:4 311:6,10,11 315:11 317:15 323:3,8 324:11 sort 5:11 90:16 92:13 93:8 94:22 133:7 211:2,4,13 212:6 213:1,14 216:18 227:11 228:9 237:7 265:14 276:18 307:5 sought 187:12 sound 103:11 240:19 263:4

solely 78:11 180:21

sounds 103:15 source 279:18 sources 92:2 Southern 210:2 Spain 55:13,19 99:10 **Spanish** 55:10,15,19,22 99:7,9 spare 307:16 speak 258:21 304:1 speaking 10:5 187:17 speaks 49:9 304:2 specific 36:11 77:22 80:9.17 81:3 82:14 99:5 101:5 142:10 157:3 202:1,2 249:7 specifically 18:13 35:22 60:4,9 64:11 82:8 97:14 98:9 127:18 181:13 255:3 260:22 261:10 292:19 317:9,11 specifies 179:6 speculate 84:9 Speculating 276:22 speculation 44:18 84:14,16 275:1 speech 172:3,4 speed 176:13 spell 208:21 spiked 276:19 spirit 284:1 spoke 79:6 103:20 259:6,8 spoken 102:14 spreadsheet 137:9,14 137:19 138:8,12 139:3,4,5 140:6,11,20 141:6 142:17,18 144:4 150:8,22 151:4 151:19 181:21,22 184:3,7,16,17 185:1,7 185:8 186:14 187:16 189:9,13 190:12 191:18,22 263:8 288:4,7,15,21 310:13 310:14 spring 120:5 square 104:15 St 253:2 stages 220:16 320:2 stagnant 314:12 stake 240:13 stamp 31:15 85:20 176:17 296:3 stamped 58:8 60:18

61:11,14,16 177:22 stamps 178:13 306:10 stand 6:12,19,20 196:3 208:13 214:21 241:17 283:12 standard 29:8 standpoint 41:21 Star 112:12 starring 34:8 start 85:12 161:22 started 195:13 227:9 313:7 314:14 317:10 322:14 starting 61:10,14 140:21 255:3 starts 25:7 state 77:18 126:10 188:15 227:21 253:4 stated 14:19,20,21 17:18 32:21 38:12 128:20 249:21 314:22 statement 38:13 41:9 53:16 75:11 92:18 103:3 155:17 157:2 183:18 204:18 288:19 293:10,15,22 294:2 309:21 statements 87:15 301:7 states 18:8,18,22 19:13 19:21 20:7 21:2,13 22:2 67:12 126:10 248:9 286:6,9 stating 136:2 station 211:21 stations 20:16 212:5 **Staying** 106:8 272:7 step 203:1 STERNBERG 2:13 **Steve** 236:6,11,12 **Stewart** 125:1,4,6,9 126:4,16,19 127:1,8 127:11,13 stick 312:1 sticker 246:19 **stipulate** 44:20 70:8 stipulated 45:14 70:12 stipulating 70:13 stipulation 70:5 stop 91:3 97:6 148:17 story 34:7 308:18 Street 2:11,14,21

**Streisand** 71:8 127:20

130:4 290:20 291:3

**STRICKER** 201:7 247:5

stretch 128:4

Strickler 1:22 19:18 22:4 31:5,8,12 63:2,5 77:12 78:4,9 79:4,22 80:8,11,18 81:7 82:4 82:18 83:10,15 84:2,8 84:13 105:19,22 106:9,13 107:6,9,15 107:19 116:8,13,16 132:8 133:6,11 135:6 139:13 141:11 142:1 142:5 148:1,6,10 160:5 161:14 164:18 168:4,8,20 169:4,21 170:16 172:9 173:1,5 176:20,22 185:9 186:1,5,11,17 188:3 188:10 196:11,14,18 218:22 236:3,10,16 236:19 242:13 245:9 246:21 261:18 264:19 271:1,5,8,10,17,21 272:4 275:21 276:12 276:16 277:15 278:5 279:17 280:2 281:1,9 281:12,15,19 282:2,6 297:22 298:8,13,17 299:4,9 300:11 302:2 303:16,20,22 304:6 307:18,22 308:5 Strickler's 282:13 303:11 strictly 240:18 strike 35:5 121:10 125:20 260:18 266:10 309:3 321:1 strikeout 191:20 striking 46:18 **string** 321:9 strongly 98:12 students 210:19 studios 29:4 317:11 stuff 115:17 264:16 subject 77:16 129:13 183:10 193:19 206:22 207:1,1 submission 202:15 submit 199:12 232:17 235:19 submitted 56:21 168:10 170:5 233:18 submitting 255:16 subsection 24:20 subsequent 78:12 198:14 subsequently 27:6

Subsidies 225:16 Subsidy 2:2 4:12 88:16 225:11,18 226:5,18 226:20 227:5 228:14 230:6,11 231:22 232:7 233:16 237:21 238:11 242:3 249:5 255:21 257:4,5,10 266:17 267:3,5,11 272:1 274:15 277:4 280:1 281:17 substance 41:7 161:19 substantial 41:21 substantiate 39:2 291:1 293:6 301:11 311:14 substantiated 71:6 substantiation 180:20 **success** 317:8 sudden 231:11 sued 285:16 sufficient 53:4 75:18,22 suggest 79:13 137:3 176:16 suggested 82:19 83:20 137:2 212:1 suggesting 239:3 314:5 suggestion 289:22 suit 246:10 **Suite** 2:15 sum 118:7 252:15 286:7 summarizes 185:12 suppliers 204:15 205:5 support 71:11 72:2 206:20 249:19 297:11 303:18 310:21 supporting 36:14 **suppose** 169:21 supposed 111:17 129:16,20 151:3,4 190:15 sure 9:6 25:22 56:15 86:10 87:13 105:21 107:4 141:12 146:5 147:9,10 150:6 151:18 152:22 154:12 161:13 170:4 171:17 176:18 184:14 225:9 229:15 234:2 236:13 239:19 245:10,12,18 247:5 258:18 260:7 261:2 273:1 282:9 288:20 289:14 292:2 294:19 298:6 312:20 314:2 319:1 320:3

168:15

324:21

surprise 41:4 48:15 surprised 193:17 surprising 119:14 surrebuttal 156:20,22 suspicions 276:20 suspicious 266:15 276:21 sustain 180:8 sustained 35:10 40:4 49:10 64:20 129:21 149:22 172:12 267:20 274:3.19 315:4 SUZANNE 1:21 **SVP** 62:16 swear 207:15 sworn 208:12 283:11 swung 211:14 syndicated 212:21 Syndication 64:16 syndicator 25:11 syndicators 29:10 system 240:20

T tab 153:15 173:14 261:13.15 **TABLE 3:1** tabs 85:6 tad 261:8 take 5:4 7:16 32:18 38:21 76:13 91:1,5 97:17 104:17 109:19 111:3 113:4 133:1 152:7 158:16 160:1 168:12,12 169:9,15 170:11 200:11 201:18 215:5,15,16 217:21 221:11 228:20 230:8 230:19 232:9 234:16 236:21 237:13,14 243:6 246:2 247:15 251:14 281:6 289:21 299:6 316:15 321:2 taken 20:11 107:2 273:4 talk 24:11 39:3 54:16 talked 22:18 35:13 36:21 101:21 113:8 136:13 179:16 181:20 talking 39:13 95:3 106:2 118:5 141:22 185:11 186:3 194:17 288:5 291:9 298:19 300:11 talks 284:10,19,22

Tanya 48:19 tapes 211:20 teach 209:8 teaches 213:11 teaching 211:11 technically 10:5 39:20 technique 209:4 212:1 Ted 232:11 telefilm 34:3.8 telephone 220:1 248:10 265:1 Televisa 48:10 51:18 121:15 122:13.18 162:20 163:5 television 205:3 211:18 212:8,21 tell 20:8 21:19 26:22 39:2 55:9 67:5 79:22 122:7 141:8,10 151:17,19 152:3 160:14 210:5 214:10 215:6,17 217:21 219:16 221:12 228:21 229:22 230:10 234:20 237:16 267:22 277:15 277:16 295:16 307:19 telling 245:12 tells 122:16 127:6 template 309:14 ten 204:8,11 tendered 44:12 term 101:5 terminated 120:22 123:6 206:5 224:11 254:14 273:3 terminating 286:22 termination 253:18,22 254:2 270:3,8 273:6 287:2,11 terms 49:1 56:1 131:14 151:8 195:21 224:8 231.7 testified 7:9 8:5 10:1 11:17 14:12 15:20 25:15 35:7,13 36:12 85:13 113:13 131:12 146:11 191:17 199:19 208:13 209:12 230:13 265:5 267:22 283:12 287:17 303:5,10 304:22 309:12 312:16 testify 15:9 85:15 114:17 136:11 149:12 196:5 197:3 203:10

308:21

testifying 17:10 32:11

42:4 84:18 97:20 testimonies 76:3 116:15 182:18,22 testimony 6:22 7:2,4 9:18 11:13,13,14,18 12:14 15:15 18:16 22:18 79:11,12 81:11 114:2,4 117:9 118:12 120:4 131:19 138:20 141:3 156:9 182:4,8 182:10.11 184:9 188:1 190:10 192:3 194:2,7 195:2 198:17 200:2,3 201:22 202:16,22 207:16 255:9 263:17 278:16 288:11 304:14 321:1 thank 6:10 8:20 9:12 14:22 15:7 17:1 22:4 23:13 24:16 29:13 30:14 32:2 46:2 47:3 47:9 50:9 53:5 54:8 54:13 59:7 63:5 65:4 66:20 68:5 70:2,14 77:10 80:11 83:8 85:22 90:18 91:15 93:13 94:3 97:7 102:11 107:19 109:18 111:14 116:7 117:7 121:8 128:9 130:13 131:9,22 142:8 152:5 153:13 157:9 160:20 160:20,20 161:7,11 164:20,22 169:4 170:16 172:17 175:7 177:2,2,3 187:19 191:9 192:8,14,16 193:8 194:9,10 207:4 207:5 208:8 209:11 209:11 229:14,18 236:16,19 241:2 247:13 258:8 261:20 263:22 264:11,14 268:12,14 272:4 275:3,14,19 276:16 278:5 279:16 280:2 282:21,22 283:19 289:1 290:9 299:17 307:21 317:16 319:18 324:4 325:1,15 thanking 90:11 thanks 105:9 308:4 theoretically 205:2 therefrom 188:12 thereto 137:18 thing 5:5 72:6 89:3

102:13 143:3 158:6 171:15 192:7 211:3 227:3 239:10 291:19 323:13 325:3 things 47:6 78:22 83:2 121:20 128:5 144:18 205:17 265:14 266:15 324:20 think 8:22 10:1 12:3,15 17:18 21:17,20 25:14 36:11 44:19 49:8 50:4 51:12 53:20 59:5 69:22 76:18,20 78:19 80:15 81:9 84:4,6 88:10 91:22 92:4,12 92:17 93:12 94:13 96:8 98:11,12 100:2 108:14,15 109:3,7,11 109:15 114:11 115:2 117:19 118:12,17 120:4,14 121:17 123:15 125:10 126:9 127:3 132:11 136:16 137:4 146:10 147:11 151:14 154:10,11,15 154:22 158:16,21 161:17,19,20 166:9 166:21 170:22 171:18 175:14 177:13 178:18 181:4 186:21 194:8 195:9 217:2,8 221:16 222:1,2 223:3,4,12 225:2,11 226:13,14 227:10 230:2,13 236:14 237:8,8 238:14 239:5 240:20 247:3 249:21 250:12 251:1,17,20 252:5,8 254:18 255:12 256:6 256:19 257:7 258:20 259:8 263:16,17 268:9 269:12 271:15 274:12 276:22 277:13 277:21 278:21 279:8 280:9,11 283:7 286:5 286:11,13 287:2,18 287:20 291:5 294:20 295:18 297:21 303:16 304:1,9,10,15,20 308:7 313:4,5,6 316:5 320:4,21 321:15 325:5 thinking 174:18 255:17 257:12 third 6:20 9:9 51:13 110:9 113:15,19

115:2 135:9 140:10 149:2 157:18 159:13 262:4,10 269:15 302:21 303:4 322:3 thought 11:9 37:10 70:5 85:6 117:5 129:13 135:20 139:21 140:22 145:22 224:2 227:6,16 240:22 243:15 255:11,16 256:13 273:15,16 310:4 thousands 209:7 thread 141:22 three 68:22 157:18 184:9 188:22 189:1 192:18 220:5 234:4 236:5 244:20 257:8 263:7 Thursday 1:14 tie 296:14 tight 45:14 time 6:18,20,22 7:1 16:11 20:2 22:3 29:2 29:2,18 35:9 37:3 45:15 54:6 75:18 77:17 83:19 90:20 103:16 107:2 115:2 121:4,14 122:13,22 123:7 126:17 128:3 156:2 167:12,12,12 171:9 181:18 183:13 184:14 192:20 196:6 197:12 203:21 209:14 210:13 211:7,19 212:14,19 214:5,18 220:4,9,11,17,22 223:18 224:12,21 225:4,7,16 227:18,22 228:11,15 240:5,7 252:4 260:14 262:16 266:14 296:8 307:16 315:20 317:2 320:7 324:1 times 60:13 110:8 115:15 175:16 184:9 184:19 191:2 tipoff 278:3 title 12:6 20:5 22:19,19 23:18 25:2 28:10 29:16 30:2,15,17 33:1 33:2,19 34:14,17 64:15 74:2 109:12,13 111:8 141:9 144:6 148:16 150:17 151:20 151:22 153:22,22

154:1,4 156:15 157:11 159:19 161:2 165:21 168:17 169:7 169:15 171:22 172:1 183:16 185:5 190:6,7 290:21 291:2 295:10 301:18 308:16.17 310:21 316:17 titles 12:2,4 18:14 19:5 19:7 29:19 32:21 65:18 67:20 72:6 76:3 76:6 79:20 80:6.8.10 80:17 81:3 82:13 84:1 84:17 92:22 93:10 98:17 110:15 115:19 116:3,5,6 117:2,6 134:19 141:3 147:4 151:5,9 155:16,19 156:2 157:3 167:11 174:11 175:19 176:4 176:8,10 178:20 179:14 182:17 185:19 185:21 190:1,16 288:12 294:7,11,12 294:14,22 307:7 308:14,17 309:18 311:14 312:19,21 today 104:6 135:15 212:15 240:9 252:11 252:17 315:20 324:1 324:19 Today's 133:21 135:15 138:14 140:15 141:20 143:5 144:1,9,12,13 147:20 174:19 176:2 178:7 told 228:13 268:4 top 24:19 89:12 138:18 269:20 306:8 307:6 topic 32:15 115:3 130:12 total 239:1 275:18 totally 140:1 239:14 touch 276:13 Tour 3:22 74:6,7 Tracee 199:5,6,8,16,18 199:20 200:5 track 161:21 trade 277:7 **Trading** 124:9,10 traditional 69:18 transcript 32:8,11 33:10 194:8 transmission 99:10

transmitted 252:10

transmitting 4:8 215:19

250:8 transpired 239:16 Transworld 4:1 108:6 132:4 133:15 135:14 138:12 140:12 143:3 175:12.19 176:12 travel 107:1 158:3 travels 192:15 triangle 34:4 Tribute 127:19 130:4 291:3 trick 82:5.6 tried 154:15 298:1 triple 40:2 trite 240:19 true 41:11 61:2,6 62:22 63:6 116:1 135:17 250:1,4 251:6 297:20 truth 42:7 207:17,17,18 245:12 try 39:12 60:14 84:14 118:7 161:20 166:18 167:9 176:13 181:19 187:8 241:14 244:2 264:6 266:9 323:14 325:11 trying 12:17,22 14:17 81:5 82:5 98:4 140:3 147:4 154:14.14 155:16.19 164:2 168:2 192:6 226:10 242:17 318:19 Tuesday 313:6 turn 9:8 23:14 32:7 62:7 136:18 162:11 175:10 179:10 215:11,12 218:18 222:5 233:14 256:7 269:14 284:2 286:17 287:5 289:9 298:1 turned 28:13 turning 170:18 251:9 264:14 TV 48:11 51:18,19 86:9 121:14 122:12,19 126:17,20 127:11 163:16 209:5 211:17 212:2 213:16 Twenty 281:18 TWI 74:18 75:2,6 108:17 112:6 twice 113:3 146:11 two 16:1 21:4 29:15,16 33:4,22 34:19 46:7 49:20 57:1,2,3 63:13 67:7 72:5 74:1 75:4

89:1,4,13 96:11
121:20 133:17 163:7
169:7 188:22 215:16
217:6 218:8 248:8
257:14 258:22 261:18
261:21 263:7 278:21
284:16 286:11 303:14
305:12,13 310:18
type 186:13
typed 119:8
typically 300:18 311:18

typed 119:8 typically 300:18 311:18 U **U.S** 18:20 19:12,14 20:3 21:8,9 93:2 209:9 211:12,12 285:17 286:10,10 287:18 290:16 314:4 ultimately 170:2 198:9 Um-hum 96:6 102:4 110:7 113:7,9,18,21 122:5 124:19 133:5 133:22 142:12,20 153:16,16 159:18 160:3 165:9 168:7 174:10 179:1,15 underlie 182:8 underlied 182:9 underline 165:17 underlying 39:4 48:6 127:22 understand 10:15 13:1 14:20 39:19 44:16 58:22 78:4 79:2 81:5 81:9 82:10 98:11 100:18 102:5 103:16 118:5 119:16 128:10 151:18 186:10 187:2 203:7,13 216:7,15 224:6 248:22 256:6 257:13 258:8 260:9 265:16 273:8,10,14 274:14 275:9 282:9 294:6 296:7 298:7 311:5 318:20 understandable 191:1 understanding 9:7 11:2 34:15 42:15 52:12 55:6 94:19 96:21 110:19 114:2 121:11 123:14 127:21 134:1 150:7 155:21 186:12 223:16 225:17 231:3 231:16,21 249:2,3 250:7 270:9 272:21

273:9 281:4.10

290:17 318:15 understood 92:11 99:11 101:21 112:8 117:15 118:15 136:12 216:16 228:16 257:18 259:12 267:1 281:2 undertaken 170:4 undisputed 199:16 unfortunate 119:14 230:21 unfortunately 75:17 167:13 186:22 unfounded 205:21 Unilaterally 213:22 uninterrupted 213:3 unique 210:19 289:17 unit 58:2 United 18:7,18,22 19:13 19:21 20:6 21:2,13 22:2 67:12 286:6,8 unnecessary 125:15 200:18 unsure 280:22 upper 258:16 urged 187:7 200:12 use 30:10 82:4 213:12 usually 93:9

vacated 24:8 vague 276:8 Valentine's 253:3 valid 198:22 199:1 310:3,7 validity 201:21 202:14 valuable 151:10 Van 202:6 variety 292:16 311:21 various 7:3 131:14 230:22 300:4 vendor's 113:19 vendors 10:14 113:15 venture 51:6,7 Ventures 126:17,20 verifying 254:13 vernacular 48:3 Vernon 220:11 230:15 231:4.17 239:18 verse 141:1 version 133:7 versus 187:3 vetoing 325:6 victim 30:2,16 31:6 32:17 33:2,4,19,22 34:2,6,16,18,19 274:16 304:12,17

305:8,13 308:18 VICTORIA 2:10 videos 209:6 view 211:4 249:14 voir 3:4 8:17 9:21 12:19 13:11 14:8 45:4,8 volume 1:13 23:7 94:11 94:13 voluminous 52:4 60:8

### W

W-E-N-D-K-O-S 73:14 wait 9:16 85:4 131:9 173:3 194:18 321:11 waited 216:19 Walt 250:21 Walter 3:5 193:21 194:12 207:7 208:10 209:1 want 9:4 23:20 25:22 29:5 46:13 49:3 55:4 64:2,5 68:17 71:3 80:12 81:10 84:6 86:21 87:10 91:2 110:20,22 123:16 141:11 142:6 143:1 150:5 172:9 188:13 195:18 243:13 244:1 244:2 245:1,9 269:4 275:4 278:15 299:9 319:7 324:2 wanted 46:7 82:7 114:10 115:8 161:12 175:6 187:10 192:3 256:22 275:22 298:8 warrant 82:3 Washington 1:2,18 2:11,15,22 wasn't 22:3 35:8 39:21 82:5,5,6 87:13 112:2 161:13 205:4 222:3 230:1,6 249:4,15 257:5 263:20 266:13 275:18 311:4 way 18:2,5 19:7 21:16 27:3 33:5 78:10 88:17 88:17 104:18 108:14 131:21 151:15 157:18 160:6 192:4 194:14 227:1 239:19 246:16 249:15 261:21 264:7 294:21 297:8 299:9 317:7 we'll 109:16 194:8

195:11 207:2 245:14

255:1 307:22 324:21

325:3 we're 9:5 10:6 31:21 45:14 56:17 59:5 68:19 69:4 82:12 91:5 103:15 114:22 119:12 121:14 129:22 130:7 130:8,11 132:8 141:22 149:11 153:14 161:20 172:12 176:22 184:14 185:10 186:2 191:15 192:17 194:5 198:20,21 199:7 201:5 259:13 289:19 290:6 295:10 309:18 we've 42:20 64:13 70:17 78:21 81:10 113:8 114:22 124:20 136:13 137:7 189:5,9 210:22 212:17 213:2 241:21 243:1 279:21 290:4 320:2 web 155:3 website 55:22 86:21 87:7 88:13 152:12 154:19 325:13 Wednesday 87:8 week 293:16 Welk 64:16 Wendkos 73:13 went 55:5 193:11 205:10 228:12 283:2 317:11,17 325:17 weren't 58:14 West 228:7 whatsoever 305:9 **WHITNEY 2:20** Whitt 10:2,7 13:16 14:3 120:13,18 Whitt's 10:16 120:22 Whittle 153:10,13 wife 122:8 210:8,21 212:12 wife's 210:3 211:4 Willie 202:5 Wilson 202:5 . Wind 33:7 Winthrop 2:10 wipe 257:15 wish 165:16 243:12,13 withdraw 54:5 withdrawal 230:1 233:19 withdrawn 120:2 withheld 281:16 witness 3:2 7:1 8:18 10:3 13:11,19 14:11

15:12 17:6 20:1 22:8 22:11 24:22 26:10 27:20 30:15,21 31:14 32:5 35:16 39:11,16 40:10 43:16 45:12 47:12 49:7,16 50:8,12 51:19 53:11 54:19 56:20 59:21 60:3 61:4 63:6 64:6 65:7 67:6 77:14.18 78:5 82:22 90:20 114:17 117:16 139:11 158:11 176:17 192:10 193:20 195:8 208:11,12 214:21 242:14 243:12 246:17 282:19 283:11 284:4 292:4 300:13 302:4 303:21 304:4 316:5 317:15 319:17 320:11 321:7,11 323:2 witness's 195:1 witnesses 156:9 Wizard 33:7 wonder 227:16 word 13:20,21 104:17 137:16 194:6 255:12 words 25:7 227:10 255:10 312:8 work 17:13 27:16 29:15 35:21 37:2,14 71:7,17 71:18,21 72:8,10,18 73:3,3,12,17 74:9,19 74:22 75:5,6 108:11 108:12,13 111:13 112:4,7 128:12 171:20 210:13 249:16 322:22 worked 16:4 20:4 41:1 working 38:6 212:13 257:19 322:6 works 29:16 33:22 39:6 64:10 67:7,15 73:21 74:1 75:4 322:11 world 3:19 18:12 20:13 56:5 98:14 209:10 Worldwide 2:2 4:12 25:10,16 26:1,2 88:16 156:17 225:11,15,18 226:5,17,20 227:5 228:14 230:5,11 231:22 232:7 233:16 235:18 237:21 238:11 239:3,13 242:2 249:5 255:21 256:3,4 257:4 257:5,9 266:17 267:2

267:5,11 272:1

274:15 277:4 280:1 281:17 284:8 285:3,9 285:12,16,18 287:1,9 318:14,15 320:13,18 worth 22:3 wouldn't 53:18.18 92:5 152:15 294:3 WPI's 285:3 write 229:20 written 12:14 38:12 41:9 53:16 75:11 76:3 80:19 155:17 182:4 204:18 288:11.19 293:9,22 294:2 309:21 wrong 11:10 82:2,2 92:1 100:7,19 173:8 wrongful 201:15,18 wrote 101:16 276:2,14 WSG 51:8 90:7 100:16 230:21 232:2,3 233:10 238:19 239:20 248:11,16,17,20 249:20,21 250:6 251:22 253:5,12,19 254:15 260:15,16 263:3,13 264:3,9 265:2 266:6,9,10,11 267:16 268:4 270:3,9 270:13,16,18 272:9 272:12,18,20 273:11 273:15,19,20 274:5,6 WSGs 231:14,17

# xls 185:6

Y yeah 82:11 85:7,13 86:22 year 11:6,8 21:17 113:6

120:5 134:13,19 135:5,11 140:19 141:4,9,13 143:21 149:6 166:15 171:10 177:6 178:3 179:6 185:17 197:18 206:14 206:14 211:1 213:19 214:11 215:20 216:5 216:11 217:3 218:3,6 219:19 223:12,14,14 223:17 226:9 227:20 228:2 240:2,3 273:6

279:20 281:3 310:17

years 10:18 19:21
21:19 41:2 57:7 71:22
75:20 102:18 119:13
121:6 134:16 136:19
138:22 140:12 141:15
146:2 149:21 165:22
175:20 177:12 196:7
197:5,11 204:9,11
206:3 209:20 216:17
216:19 224:12 225:2
225:20,22 226:2,5
227:6,15 249:4,22
266:1 286:1,5 314:6,7
319:3

yesterday 5:10,13 7:8 8:5 10:1 13:8,22 51:9 79:6 133:9 141:1 155:15 166:10 287:18 309:13 324:19

Yesterday's 312:7 you'da 308:6

# <u>Z</u>

Z 22:19 23:19 25:2,11 26:3,13 27:2 93:14,18 95:1 179:17 180:11 296:11,13 297:2,7 299:15,19 300:9 301:12

#### 0

00425 178:15 **02179** 60:18 02447 61:11 **02654** 61:15 **02917** 61:16 **03** 141:19 04 141:19 178:5 **04266** 31:15 **046** 160:18 **04666** 160:9,19 05 140:21 148:13 266:1 053 244:14 06 61:4 266:1 06529 177:1 **08** 57:6 09 140:21

# 1 122:18 163:9,10,18 243:8,17,18 246:22

1-2 49:21

1:00 158:22

**1:30** 192:18 193:12 **10** 133:20 145:1 147:6 300:3 **10:30** 193:21 **10:55** 91:10 **10:56** 60:6 **10:59** 91:11

**100** 206:1 229:15 **101** 1:17

**104** 16:14,19 17:5,6 91:19 **10786** 2:5

**11** 1:15 133:20 145:1 147:6

115 291:20,20 292:10 292:11,15 293:12 296:3,18 298:14 299:16,18 302:3 303:17 307:17 311:3 311:8,10

**12** 60:17 **12:26** 193:11 **120** 34:7 85:7 **122** 3:12 5:11 6:5,9

**123** 85:5 **1233** 2:14

**124** 76:13 77:11 85:3,4 88:3,22 89:9,9,13,16 89:17,20 90:2,3,17 **125** 3:13 143:14 152:8

152:18 153:6 154:4,8 154:18 156:18 157:5 157:8,11 159:17 161:1,1 315:22 316:16

**127** 3:14 132:19,22 134:5 139:11,13,14 140:20 141:6 142:22 144:5,9 145:16

148:21,22 **130** 153:19

**132** 3:14 **14** 33:9,14,17 269:16

**14th** 253:2 272:7 **15** 3:18,19,20,20,21,22

4:1 79:7 155:18,22 282:17 292:11 299:6 299:13,14

**157** 3:14

**16** 243:3 278:18 279:11 **161,191** 3:3

18 289:9,11 1818 2:21

**1887** 32:8 **1888** 32:8,18,19 33:12

**189** 3:4 **19** 163:22

**1980** 211:9 **1982** 211:19 212:4 213:3 1986 127:8 1992 34:3 1993 23:11 1993-97 3:16 1995 127:11 1996 127:9,15 1997 46:8 95:19 304:22 1999 93:19 199:4,14 1999-2009 1:10,11 1st 247:22 264:15

**2** 89:12 144:2 165:14 236:7 244:14 304:22 **2:30** 241:12 20 237:11 281:17,20,22 282:4 **2000** 30:20,21 127:7,12 148:12 150:17 151:21 185:5 286:12 2000-2 23:10 2000-2001 318:18 2000-2003 322:13 **2001** 23:8 127:4 163:22 197:5 213:18,19 214:11 215:20 216:5 216:11 290:19 2002 197:5 213:19 217:3 218:3,6 219:22 286:21 318:18 2003 110:11 113:6 122:18 140:19 141:14 163:9,10 177:7,8,15 197:5 219:19 220:1 286:13 **20036** 2:15,22 20037-1122 2:11 2004 122:19 135:5,7,18 140:21 141:13,14 143:22 144:3,10 148:19,20 149:1,1 163:18 178:1,4,8,17 196:7,21 197:9 202:9 202:10,19 223:14,17 224:13 225:22 226:6 266:1 286:13 2004-09 1:7 2004-2009 1:6 2005 135:10,11 148:11 149:3 225:2,22 226:6 267:14 273:21,22 **2006** 57:5,10,11,12,14 60:5 61:3,7,13,20

62:5 148:15 177:19

226:1,6 266:2 286:15

318:18

li .	1	1	1
<b>2007</b> 57:2,2,11,12,15,16	<b>283</b> 3:8	47:2,11 48:12 122:4	6
61:7,7,10,12,15,20		162:2,10,16,17	<b>6</b> 3:12,13 31:17 144:2,8
62:5 226:9,14 286:16	3	163:12 164:19 165:1	144:9,11,21 163:12
2008 57:2,3,5 206:2	<b>3</b> 51:6 110:10 112:18	170:19	300:15
227:20 228:2 253:14	144:2	<b>347</b> 4:2 47:22 62:8 63:4	<b>602</b> 195:22 215:1,2,7,13
279:20 281:3	<b>3,400</b> 119:4	63:9,15,19 65:1,6,10	217:13,15,19,21
<b>2009</b> 10:8,12 93:19	<b>3:18</b> 283:2	123:11 132:5,11	218:20 219:11,13
121:14 123:13 150:17	<b>3:41</b> 283:3	165:8,13 170:19	221:3,10 222:13,21
151:21 166:14,15	<b>30</b> 53:22 133:4 137:10	171:1,2 187:22	228:21 229:4,13
185:5 196:7,22	145:5 150:8 185:10	<b>348</b> 4:3 65:22 66:16	230:9 232:10 233:9
<b>2010</b> 120:14,16	185:13 189:14 209:20	67:1,3 70:18 124:17	234:9,15,17 235:6,13
<b>2011</b> 88:13	213:16 281:18,22	<b>349</b> 4:4 68:2,8,12 71:2,5	236:22 238:2,9 255:2
2011/2012 227:16	282:4 288:9	127:17 128:8	<b>602A</b> 4:8
<b>2012</b> 220:16 227:2,9	<b>305</b> 31:3 32:1,4 33:14	349's 68:9	<b>602B</b> 4:9
266:15	35:1	<b>350</b> 68:15,22 70:9 71:13	<b>602C</b> 4:10
2012-6 1:5	306 3:16 4:6 23:2 24:11	73:1,8	<b>602D</b> 4:10
2012-7 1:10	24:15,18 25:20	<b>351</b> 74:4,5	602E 4:11
<b>2013</b> 197:22 198:16	309 76:4 117:18 118:12	<b>352</b> 68:15 69:1 70:9,18	<b>602G</b> 4:11
204:12 240:3 243:3,8 246:22 253:3 254:8	30th 60:5 310 76:4 117:18 118:12	74:12 109:19 130:18 130:22 132:12 178:21	<b>602H</b> 4:12
261:11 269:17 278:18	315 3:8	355-7900 2:22	<b>602l</b> 4:13
280:17	31st 219:1	<b>358</b> 321:4	602J 4:13
<b>2014</b> 1:15 53:12 62:12	<b>32</b> 173:8,9,13	<b>359</b> 4:5 56:8,11,18,19	<b>610</b> 4:15 203:19 207:9
66:3 122:16 133:4	<b>332</b> 172:22 173:2 284:2	58:11 59:16,18	207:22 208:4,7
137:10 171:3 183:7	333 184:22	<b>360</b> 85:19 86:2 87:11	<b>624-1996</b> 2:6 <b>63</b> 4:3
202 2:12,16,22	<b>335</b> 31:22	88:2,7 89:1,12,17	<b>6308249</b> 185:6
208 3:6 4:16	<b>336</b> 22:7 25:20 152:6	90:5,11 321:3,8	<b>64</b> 133:14 140:9 143:17
20th 2:14 127:17	153:19 156:13 157:17	<b>361</b> 4:6 305:20 306:1,4	149:9
<b>21</b> 122:16 290:10,11,13	157:17,18,20 159:10	306:16,19,22 308:8	<b>65</b> 140:10 143:17
290:15	159:11 160:1 179:10	321:4,7	<b>6529</b> 176:17,18
<b>213</b> 2:6	179:11 187:21 318:10		66 4:4 23:7
<b>217</b> 4:9	318:16	4	<b>663-8000</b> 2:12
<b>219</b> 4:9	<b>337</b> 3:17 7:12,21 8:15	<b>4</b> 1:13 144:2,20 174:9	<b>66435</b> 23:14 24:18
21st 53:11,12 66:3	9:1,8 13:15 15:1,5	298:5,20 299:1 302:6	<b>68</b> 4:5
22 26:5,9 94:4,5	29:22 30:6,11,12	302:7,16 303:9,19	6th 286:21
221 4:10	31:18 187:22	307:20	
222 4:10	<b>338</b> 166:9	<b>4:29</b> 325:17	7
<b>223</b> 166:20	<b>339</b> 3:18 7:13,21 8:1,15	4:30 241:14	<b>7</b> 31:17 133:20 144:2,8
229 4:11 2300 2:11	15:1 187:22 339-340 15:5	<b>40</b> 15:1 209:6 <b>408-7600</b> 2:16	144:9,11,22 162:12
233 4:11	339-340 15:5 340 3:19 7:13,22 8:2,15	<b>45</b> 15:2 115:22 158:17	163:19 164:13,14,19
<b>234</b> 4:12	<b>340</b> 3.19 7.13,22 6.2,13 <b>341</b> 7:9,18,19 47:19	<b>46</b> 4:2	165:1 178:15 301:3
<b>235</b> 4:13	<b>342</b> 3:20 7:13 8:3,16	<b>47</b> 171:1	<b>7,15,47</b> 3:3
238 4:14	15:2		<b>70</b> 195:14
<b>24</b> 3:17 171:3,10 185:12	<b>342-345</b> 15:6	5	<b>703</b> 2:15
241 3:6	343 3:21	<b>5</b> 144:2,20 162:7 163:2	8
<b>246</b> 3:12	<b>344</b> 3:21	261:11	<b>8</b> 133:20 144:2,8,9,11
<b>247</b> 23:8	<b>345</b> 3:22 7:13 8:3,16	<b>5,800</b> 118:22 119:2	144:22 178:15 299:1
24th 62:12	113:4 114:1 117:11	<b>50</b> 212:5	301:9
<b>25</b> 127:14 313:12	130:21 131:3,4 132:1	<b>52</b> 130:14,16,16	<b>803</b> 46:19
<b>26</b> 23:8 112:19	132:3,9 136:17	<b>53</b> 3:12 242:19,21	<b>80s</b> 16:4
<b>269</b> 3:6	140:18 141:13 142:15	245:14,16,18 246:4,8	8th 2:21
26th 74:15	143:21 144:15 147:3	246:14,20 247:10,17	
<b>27</b> 254:8	147:19 148:20 150:10	261:9 264:20 269:6,9	9
272 3:6	162:13 175:11 176:2	269:13,15 271:3	
<b>27th</b> 88:19	<b>346</b> 4:1 40:17 41:11,16	<b>59</b> 4:6	
II.	1		